## Indirect dark matter detection in mass degenerate scenarios

Alejandro Ibarra

Technische Universität München



Based on M. Garny, AI, S. Vogl, JCAP 1107 (2011) 028
M. Garny, AI, S. Vogl, JCAP 1204 (2012) 033
T. Bringmann, X. Huang, AI, S. Vogl, C, Weniger, JCAP 1207 (2012) 054
M. Garny, AI, M. Pato, S. Vogl, JCAP 1211 (2012) 017

HAP Dark Matter meeting Münster 18-20 February 2013

### **Dark matter annihilations: standard picture**

#### Thermal production of WIMPs



### **Dark matter annihilations: standard picture**

#### Annihilations in galactic dark matter haloes



Canonical value of the velocity weighted annihilation cross-section

$$\langle \sigma_{\rm ann} v \rangle \simeq 3 \times 10^{-26} {\rm cm}^3 {\rm s}^{-1}$$

**Target value for experiments** 

However, here it has been implicitly assumed that the velocity weighted annihilation cross section does not depend on the velocity. Decompose the annihilation cross section as:

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = a + bv^2$$

 $a,b \rightarrow$  calculable in a given DM model  $v \rightarrow$  depends on the astrophysical conditions

Freeze-out 
$$\langle v^2 \rangle \sim \frac{6T_{\text{f.o.}}}{m_{\text{DM}}} \sim 0.3$$
  
Galactic center  $v \sim 10^{-3}$ 



Decompose the annihilation cross section as:

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = a + bv^2$$

 $a,b \rightarrow$  calculable in a given DM model  $v \rightarrow$  depends on the astrophysical conditions

Freeze-out 
$$\langle v^2 \rangle \sim \frac{6T_{\text{f.o.}}}{m_{\text{DM}}} \sim 0.3$$
  
Galactic center  $v \sim 10^{-3}$ 



• Consider the annihilation DM DM  $\rightarrow f\overline{f}$ , with DM a Majorana fermion or a scalar particle

$$\underbrace{f_{\mathrm{L}}}_{\Leftarrow} \underbrace{f_{\mathrm{L}}}_{\Leftarrow} \underbrace{f_{\mathrm{L}}}_{\mathsf{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbf{J_{z}=1}$$

In the limit  $v \rightarrow 0$ , no preferred direction

**J**<sub>z</sub>=0

• Consider the annihilation DM DM  $\rightarrow f\overline{f}$ , with DM a Majorana fermion or a scalar particle



Rate of DM DM  $\rightarrow$  *ff* suppressed by  $(m_f/m_{DM})^2$  if v=0. Otherwise by  $v^2$ .

• Consider the annihilation DM DM  $\rightarrow ff$ , with DM a Majorana fermion or a scalar particle



Rate of DM DM  $\rightarrow$  *ff* suppressed by  $(m_f/m_{DM})^2$  if v=0. Otherwise by  $v^2$ .

• Relative contributions to the velocity weighted annihilation cross section  $\langle \sigma v \rangle = a + bv^2$  for annihilations into light fermions:

For m=300 GeV,  $\frac{a}{bv^2} \sim \frac{m_f^2}{m_{\rm DM}^2 v^2} \sim \begin{cases} 10^{-6} \text{ for electrons} \\ 0.1 \text{ for muons} \\ 10^{-5} \text{ for up-type quarks} \end{cases}$  $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm G.C.} \sim 3 \times 10^{-6} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\rm f.o.} \sim 10^{-31} \,\mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ 

Indirect detection hopeless?? Not really... higher order effects become important.

• Consider the annihilation DM DM  $\rightarrow f\overline{f}V$ , with DM a Majorana fermion or a scalar particle and V a vector

In the limit  $v \rightarrow 0$ , no preferred direction  $J_{z}=0$ 

No suppression by mass insertion. Suppressed, however, by the extra coupling constant and by the 3-body phase space (and by the mass of the mediator of the interaction).

**J**\_=**0** 

Bergström Flores, Olive, Rudaz

In the mass degenerate scenario, the dominant annihilation channel *today* can be DM DM  $\rightarrow ffV$ , while at the time of freeze-out, DM DM  $\rightarrow ff$ 

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{G.C.}^{2 \to 3} \sim \frac{\alpha}{0.3\pi} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{f.o.}^{2 \to 2} \sim 10^{-28} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}$$

Target cross section for this class of scenarios, instead of  $3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ .

## Outline

1- Search for signatures of DM DM  $\rightarrow f\bar{f}\gamma$  with the Fermi-LAT

2- Antiproton limits on  $2 \rightarrow 3$  processes



3- Interplay direct detection – indirect detection



## Outline

1- Search for signatures of DM DM  $\rightarrow f\bar{f}\gamma$  with the Fermi-LAT

2- Antiproton limits on  $2 \rightarrow 3$  processes



3- Interplay direct detection – indirect detection



Consider a toy model with a Majorana dark matter particle,  $\chi$ , an intermediate charged scalar particle,  $\eta$ , and a light SM fermion, *f*.

Interaction Lagrangian:  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = -y\bar{\chi}f\eta + h.c.$ 

 $2 \rightarrow 2$  annihilations



Annihilation cross section for  $2 \rightarrow 2$  annihilations:

$$(\sigma v)_{\chi\chi\to f\bar{f}} = \left[ \qquad \qquad \qquad \right] \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{m_{\eta}}\right)^4$$

Consider a toy model with a Majorana dark matter particle,  $\chi$ , an intermediate charged scalar particle,  $\eta$ , and a light SM fermion, *f*.

Interaction Lagrangian:  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = -y\bar{\chi}f\eta + h.c.$ 

 $2 \rightarrow 2$  annihilations



Annihilation cross section for  $2 \rightarrow 2$  annihilations:

$$(\sigma v)_{\chi\chi\to f\bar{f}} = \left[\mathcal{O}(v^0)\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_f^2}{m_\chi^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(v^2)\right]\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_\chi}{m_\eta}\right)^4$$

Consider a toy model with a Majorana dark matter particle,  $\chi$ , an intermediate charged scalar particle,  $\eta$ , and a light SM fermion, *f*.

Interaction Lagrangian:  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = -y\bar{\chi}f\eta + h.c.$ 

 $2 \rightarrow 3$  annihilations via nearly on-shell final fermions



 $S_F \sim \frac{1}{(p_f + p_\gamma)^2 - m_f^2} = \frac{1}{2p_f p_\gamma}$ 

Collinear/soft divergence

Annihilation cross section for FSR:

$$(\sigma v)_{\chi\chi\to f\bar{f}\gamma}^{\rm FSR} \simeq \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{1+(1-x)^2}{x} \log\left[\frac{4m_\chi^2(1-x)}{m_f^2}\right] (\sigma v)_{\chi\chi\to f\bar{f}}$$

Consider a toy model with a Majorana dark matter particle,  $\chi$ , an intermediate charged scalar particle,  $\eta$ , and a light SM fermion, *f*.

Interaction Lagrangian:  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = -y\bar{\chi}f\eta + h.c.$ 



Annihilation cross section for internal Bremsstrahlung (FSR+VIB):

$$(\sigma v)_{\chi\chi\to f\bar{f}\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{\pi} \left[ \mathcal{O}(v^0) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{m_{\eta}}\right)^8 + \mathcal{O}(v^2) \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{m_{\eta}}\right)^4 \right]$$



The cross section of the  $2 \rightarrow 3$  process is enhanced when  $m_{\eta}/m_{DM} \simeq 1$ .

Bergström Flores, Olive, Rudaz









Prompt gamma-ray spectrum from dark matter annihilations



Bringmann, Huang, AI, Vogl, Weniger arXiv:1203.1312

<u>Traditional approach</u>: select a fixed region of the sky and search for features.

e.g region |b|>10° plus a 20°×20° square centered at the Galactic Center (Fermi coll.)



<u>Disadvantage</u>: in the chosen region the background could be too large and bury the signal

**<u>Our approach</u>: choose regions where, for a given dark matter profile, the signal-to-background ratio is maximized** 



Consider a generalized NFW profile

$$\rho_{\chi}(r) \propto \frac{1}{(r/r_s)^{\alpha} \left(1 + r/r_s\right)^{3-\alpha}}$$

Target regions which maximize the signal-to-background ratio:



Bringmann, Huang, AI, Vogl, Weniger arXiv:1203.1312









Dark matter relic density from  $\chi\chi \leftrightarrow ff$ 

$$\Omega_{\chi} h^2 \simeq 0.11 \frac{1}{N_c} \left(\frac{0.35}{y}\right)^4 \left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{100 \text{ GeV}}\right)^2 \frac{(1+\mu)^4}{1+\mu^2}$$



Limit on the total annihilation cross section from dwarf galaxy observations  $\langle \sigma v \rangle < 8\pi \frac{m_{\chi}^2}{N_{\gamma}^{\text{tot}}} \times 5.0 \times 10^{-30} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \text{GeV}^{-2}$ Geringer-Sameth, Koushiappas arXiv:1108.2914

Prompt gamma-ray spectrum from dark matter annihilations







- Tension with very cuspy profiles from adiabatic contraction
- For NFW, the maximally allowed boost factor is 5-50.

#### A possible hint of dark matter annihilations?



#### A possible hint of dark matter annihilations?



#### A possible hint of dark matter annihilations?



• We consider a Majorana dark matter particle which couples to a light SM fermion and a complex scalar,  $\eta$ .

Various possibilities for the SU(3)×SU(2)×U(1) quantum numbers of  $\eta$ , from requiring an electrically neutral and colorless DM particle.

	RH lepton	LH lepton
SU(2) singlet DM	(1,1,1)	(1,2,-1/2)
SU(2) doublet DM	(1,2,-1/2)	(1,1,1)

	RH quark	LH quark
SU(2) singlet DM	(3,1,1)	(3,2,-1/2)
SU(2) doublet DM	(3,2,-1/2)	(3,1,1)

• We consider a Majorana dark matter particle which couples to a light SM fermion and a complex scalar,  $\eta$ .

Various possibilities for the SU(3)×SU(2)×U(1) quantum numbers of  $\eta$ , from requiring an electrically neutral and colorless DM particle.

	RH lepton	LH lepton
SU(2) singlet DM	(1,1,1)	(1,2,-1/2)
SU(2) doublet DM	(1,2,-1/2)	(1,1,1)

	RH quark	LH quark
SU(2) singlet DM	(3,1,1)	(3,2,-1/2)
SU(2) doublet DM	(3,2,-1/2)	(3,1,1)



• We consider a Majorana dark matter particle which couples to a light SM fermion and a complex scalar,  $\eta$ .

Various possibilities for the SU(3)×SU(2)×U(1) quantum numbers of  $\eta$ , from requiring an electrically neutral and colorless DM particle.

	RH lepton	LH lepton
SU(2) singlet DM	(1,1,1)	(1,2,-1/2)
SU(2) doublet DM	(1,2,-1/2)	(1,1,1)

	RH quark	LH quark
SU(2) singlet DM	(3,1,1)	(3,2,-1/2)
SU(2) doublet DM	(3,2,-1/2)	(3,1,1)



• We consider a Majorana dark matter particle which couples to a light SM fermion and a complex scalar,  $\eta$ .

Various possibilities for the SU(3)×SU(2)×U(1) quantum numbers of  $\eta$ , from requiring an electrically neutral and colorless DM particle.

	RH lepton	LH lepton
SU(2) singlet DM	(1,1,1)	(1,2,-1/2)
SU(2) doublet DM	(1,2,-1/2)	(1,1,1)

	RH quark	LH quark
SU(2) singlet DM	(3,1,1)	(3,2,-1/2)
SU(2) doublet DM	(3,2,-1/2)	(3,1,1)



• We consider a Majorana dark matter particle which couples to a light SM fermion and a complex scalar,  $\eta$ .

Various possibilities for the SU(3)×SU(2)×U(1) quantum numbers of  $\eta$ , from requiring an electrically neutral and colorless DM particle.

	RH lepton	LH lepton
SU(2) singlet DM	(1,1,1)	(1,2,-1/2)
SU(2) doublet DM	(1,2,-1/2)	(1,1,1)

	RH quark	LH quark
SU(2) singlet DM	(3,1,1)	(3,2,-1/2)
SU(2) doublet DM	(3,2,-1/2)	(3,1,1)



## 2- Antiproton limits on 2 → 3 processes SINGLET DARK MATTER

Annihilations into SU(2) doublets

Annihilations into SU(2) singlets



#### Limits from the PAMELA $\bar{p}/p$ measurements



Limits for  $\sigma v(\chi \chi \rightarrow Wev)$  very similar, although with some (mild) dependence on  $m_{\eta 0} - m_{\eta \pm}$ .

#### Limits on the astrophysical boost factor



 $m_{\eta^{\pm}} - m_{DM} \, [\text{GeV}]$ 

#### Interplay with gamma-ray limits



Maximal boost factor: 5-50

Maximal boost factor: 20-100

Limits on the annihilation cross section into light quarks from gamma rays and antiprotons are comparable.

Naive connection between direct detection and indirect detection:



Naive connection between direct detection and indirect detection:



However, in direct search experiments it is probed the DM coupling to *a light quark*.  $\Rightarrow$  The 2  $\rightarrow$  2 annihilation into light quarks is suppressed.

 $\implies$  The 2  $\rightarrow$  3 annihilation is usually the dominant channel



- We consider a toy model with  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = -f\bar{\chi}\Psi_R\eta + h.c.$
- Indirect detection limits become more stringent when  $\eta$  and  $\chi$  are degenerate in mass, due to the larger 2  $\rightarrow$  3 cross section.



- We consider a toy model with  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = -f\bar{\chi}\Psi_R\eta + h.c.$
- Indirect detection limits become more stringent when  $\eta$  and  $\chi$  are degenerate in mass, due to the larger 2  $\rightarrow$  3 cross section.
- Also the direct detection limits, due to an enhancement of the effective WIMP couplings in the degenerate limit.



Limits on the coupling f from PAMELA and XENON-100





Direct detection can probe boost factors of O(1) in the range  $m_{\chi}$ =200-600 GeV Observation of  $\gamma$ -ray signals from  $\chi\chi \rightarrow u \overline{u} \gamma$  are unlikely

## 3- Interplay direct detection – indirect detection – collider searches

Note that in the limit  $m_{\chi} \approx m_{\eta}$ , the limits from collider searches are weak



ATLAS, arXiv:1109.6572

## 3- Interplay direct detection – indirect detection – collider searches

Note that in the limit  $m_{\chi} \approx m_{\eta}$ , the limits from collider searches are weak



Dreiner, Krämer, Tattersal, arXiv:1211.4981

ATLAS, arXiv:1109.6572

## Conclusions

• In scenarios with Majorana (or scalar) dark matter particles which couple to light fermions, the higher order annihilation process DM DM  $\rightarrow f\bar{f}V$  can be important (even dominant).

• We have searched in the Fermi-LAT data for a signal from DM  $DM \rightarrow f\bar{f}\gamma$ . the limits are fairly stringent and are only one-two orders of magnitude above the cross sections expected from thermal production. In fact, we already find a hint for a signal at  $m_{\gamma} \approx 149$  GeV.

• Limits on the process DM DM  $\rightarrow$  *ffV* also follow from the non observation of an excess in the PAMELA measurements of the  $\bar{p}/p$  ratio.

• Interesting interplay between direct detection limits, antiproton limits, gamma-ray limits and collider limits in the case that the dark matter particle couples to light quarks.

## Munich Institute for Astro- and Particle Physics

Submission of proposals for 2015 is open!

#### **MIAPP Workshops 2014**

The Extragalactic Distance Scale 26 May – 20 June 2014 L. Macri, W. Gieren, W. Hillebrandt, R. Kudritzki

#### Neutrinos in Astro- and Particle Physics 30 June – 25 July 2014 5. Schönert, G. Raffelt, A. Smirnov, T. Lasserre

Challenges, Innovations and Developments in Precision Calculations for the LHC

28 July – 22 Aug. 2014 M. Krämer, S. Dittmaier, N. Glover, G. Heinrich

Cosmology after Planck 25 Aug . – 19 Sept. 2014 N. Aghanim, E. Komatsu, B. Wandelt, J. Weller

Submission of proposals/application for workshop participation: www.munich-iapp.de







PARTICLE & NUCLEAR PHYSICS

