Resurgence and Quantum Field Theory

Gerald Dunne University of Connecticut

DESY Workshop "Non-Perturbative QFT", September 24-27, 2013

with Mithat Ünsal: arXiv[:1210.2423](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1210.2423) (JHEP), [1210.3646](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1210.3646) (PRD), [1306.4405,](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1306.4405) ...

also: Gökçe Başar [1308.1108](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1308.1108) (->JHEP), Robert Dabrowski, [1306.0921 \(PRD\),](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1306.0921) Daniele Dorigoni, Aleksey Cherman, Ünsal, [1308.0127](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1308.0127) related: Argyres & Ünsal: arXiv[:1204.1661](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1204.1661) (PRL), [1206.1890](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1206.1890) (JHEP)

Physical Motivation

- (ii) $I\bar{I}$ interaction: non-pert. instanton gas ill-defined • Infrared renormalon puzzle in asymptotically free QFT (i) IR renomalons: perturbation theory ill-defined
- non-perturbative physics without instantons

The Bigger Picture:

- non-perturbative definition of QCD in the continuum
- `exact'' asymptotics in QFT and string theory
- analytic continuation of path integrals
- dynamical and non-equilibrium physics from path integrals

IR renormalon problem

 $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills on \mathbb{R}^4 and \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} on \mathbb{R}^2

- asymptotically free
- instantons, theta vacua, ...

two serious long-standing problems:

• perturbative sector: infrared (IR) renormalons ⇒ perturbation theory ill-defined • non-perturbative sector: instanton scale moduli ⇒ instanton gas picture ill-defined

't Hooft, 1979; Affleck, 1980; David, 1981

new idea: ``resurgence''

J. Écalle (1980); Stokes (1850), ...

• unify perturbation theory and non-perturbative physics

• "trans-series":
$$
f(g^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{k-1} c_{n,k,q} g^{2n} \left[exp\left(-\frac{S}{g^2}\right) \right]^k \left[ln\left(-\frac{1}{g^2}\right) \right]^q
$$

- mathematics: differential equations, improved asymptotics
- physics: quantum mechanics, and recent applications to QFT

analogue of IR renormalon problem in QM: Bogomolny/Zinn-Justin (BZJ)

degenerate classical vacua: double-well or Sine-Gordon

single-instanton sector: (i) level or band splitting (ii) real and unambiguous $\sim e^{-S_{\rm instanton}}$ analogue of IR renormalon problem in QM: Bogomolny/Zinn-Justin (BZJ)

degenerate classical vacua: double-well or Sine-Gordon

single-instanton sector: (i) level or band splitting (ii) real and unambiguous $\sim e^{-S_{\rm instanton}}$

perturbation theory is non-Borel-summable: (i) imaginary contribution to real energy (ii) ambiguous (iii) $\sim \pm i e^{-2 S_{\rm instanton}}$

analogue of IR renormalon problem in QM: Bogomolny/Zinn-Justin (BZJ)

degenerate classical vacua: double-well or Sine-Gordon

single-instanton sector: (i) level or band splitting (ii) real and unambiguous $\sim e^{-S_{\rm instanton}}$

perturbation theory is non-Borel-summable: (i) imaginary contribution to real energy (ii) ambiguous (iii) $\sim \pm i e^{-2S_{\rm instanton}}$

recap: basics of Borel summation

often identified with vacuum instability

recap: basics of Borel summation

resolution in QM: Bogomolny/Zinn-Justin (BZJ) mechanism

degenerate classical vacua: double-well or Sine-Gordon

perturbation theory is non-Borel-summable:

 (i) ambiguous imaginary contrib. to real energy (ii) $\sim \pm i e^{-Q/S_{\rm instanton}}$

BZJ idea: non-perturbative sector: $\mathcal I\mathcal I$ attractive rotate $g^2 \rightarrow -g^2$; interaction repulsive; rotate back again $I\bar{I}$

ambiguous imaginary non-perturbative contribution $\mp i\,e^{-2S_{\rm instanton}}$ which exactly cancels the term from perturbation theory

Bogomolny, 1980; Zinn-Justin, 1981; Balitsky/Yung 1986

resolution in QM: Bogomolny/Zinn-Justin (BZJ) mechanism

degenerate classical vacua: double-well or Sine-Gordon

perturbation theory is non-Borel-summable:

``

 (i) ambiguous imaginary contrib. to real energy (ii) $\sim \pm i e^{-Q/S_{\rm instanton}}$

BZJ idea: non-perturbative sector: $\mathcal I\mathcal I$ attractive rotate $g^2 \rightarrow -g^2$; interaction repulsive; rotate back again $I\bar{I}$

ambiguous imaginary non-perturbative contribution $\mp i\,e^{-2S_{\rm instanton}}$ which exactly cancels the term from perturbation theory

Bogomolny, 1980; Zinn-Justin, 1981; Balitsky/Yung 1986

analogous problem in asymptotically free QFT

SU(N) Yang-Mills on \mathbb{R}^4 and \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} on \mathbb{R}^2

• asymptotically free, instantons, chiral symmetry breaking, ...

two serious long-standing problems:

• perturbative sector: infrared (IR) renormalons ⇒ perturbation theory ill-defined

$$
\pm i e^{-2S_{\rm instanton}/\beta_0} \qquad \qquad \beta_0 \sim N
$$

• non-perturbative sector: instanton/anti-instanton attraction ⇒ instanton gas picture ill-defined

 $\mp i e^{-2S_{\rm instanton}}$

't Hooft, 1980; David, 1981

analogous problem in asymptotically free QFT

SU(N) Yang-Mills on \mathbb{R}^4 and \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} on \mathbb{R}^2

• asymptotically free, instantons, chiral symmetry breaking, ...

two serious long-standing problems:

• perturbative sector: infrared (IR) renormalons ⇒ perturbation theory ill-defined

$$
\pm i e^{-2S_{\rm instanton}/\beta_0} \qquad \qquad \beta_0 \sim N
$$

• non-perturbative sector: instanton/anti-instanton attraction ⇒ instanton gas picture ill-defined

 $\mp i e^{-2S_{\rm instanton}}$

cannot cancel!

perturbation theory remains incomplete and inconsistent

't Hooft, 1980; David, 1981

resolution: correct BZJ mechanism for SU(N) YM or CPN-1

Argyres/Ünsal, GD/Ünsal, 2012

- instanton gas picture has another problem: instanton scale moduli
- regulate with compactification: instantons fractionalize
- \mathbb{R}^1 x S_6^1 •temporal compactification: information only about deconfined phase

• spatial compactification: semiclassical (small L) continuously connected to large L: ``principle of continuity''

 $S_L^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1$

"*continuity*"

 \mathbb{R}^1 supported that the contract of the

SUSY (Witten); non-SUSY (Ünsal, Yaffe, Poppitz, Shifman, Argyres, Schaefer, ...)

Fractionalized Instantons in the CPN-1 Model on SL¹ x R¹

we re-derive this re-derive this result in an alternative way. We show that a 2d instanton decomposes into a 2
The composes into a 2d instanton decomposes into a 2d instanton decomposes into a 2d instanton decomposes into

 \mathbb{CP}^1 on $\mathrm{SL}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1$: $v_{\mathrm{twisted}} =$ \mathbb{Z}_N twisted boundary conditions: $v(x_1, x_2 + L) = \Omega_N v(x_1, x_2)$ $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline \end{array}$ $\left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 e^{-\frac{2\pi}{L}z}\right)$ $e^{\frac{2\pi}{L}\mu_2 z}$!

 $(twist in x₂) + (holomorphism) \Rightarrow fractionalization in x₁ direction$

 \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} : Q=1 instanton splits into N distinct Q=1/N "kink-instantons" $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{P}^{16}+1}$: Q=1 instanton spills into in distinct Q=1/in kink-instantons

*A*² = 1 @¹ ln *v† v* = $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ • technically analogous to 3d monopole constituents of 4d calorons ϵ to the index index the 24 more

that A 2 behaves like two separate kinks, each of charge 1*/2, one located at 2, one located at 2, one located* at

Thus, *^A*² ! *[±]*⇡

L as *x*², and so *Q* and so *Q* and so *Q* = 1. However, inspection of the form of *A*2 shows a show of *A*2 shows a show of *A* an Baal; et al.

Fractionalized Instantons in the CPN-1 Model on SL¹ x R¹

we re-derive this re-derive this result in an alternative way. We show that a 2d instanton decomposes into a 2
The composes into a 2d instanton decomposes into a 2d instanton decomposes into a 2d instanton decomposes into

 \mathbb{CP}^1 on $\mathrm{SL}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1$: $v_{\mathrm{twisted}} =$ \mathbb{Z}_N twisted boundary conditions: $v(x_1, x_2 + L) = \Omega_N v(x_1, x_2)$ $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline \end{array}$ $\left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 e^{-\frac{2\pi}{L}z}\right)$ $e^{\frac{2\pi}{L}\mu_2 z}$!

 $(twist in x₂) + (holomorphism) \Rightarrow fractionalization in x₁ direction$

 \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} : Q=1 instanton splits into N distinct Q=1/N "kink-instantons" CP^{N-1} : Q=1 instanton splits into N distinct Q=1 / N "kink-instantons"

*A*² = 1 @¹ ln *v† v* = $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ • technically analogous to 3d monopole constituents of 4d calorons ϵ to the initially incleaned to 2d more

that **A2 behaves like two separate in the separate kinks, each of charge 1/2, one located at** $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

^L as *x*¹ ! *±*1, and so *Q* = 1. However, inspection of the form of *A*² shows

ln 1,

Bruckmann; Brendel et al.

an Baal;

Thus, A2 2 | 2 |
Thus, A2 = 2 7 7 12
Thus, A2 = 2 7 7 12

Resurgence in 2d asymptotically free QFT: CPN-1

GD, Ünsal: [1210.2423,](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1210.2423) [1210.3646](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1210.3646)

perturbative sector: Borel-Écalle summation

$$
B_{\pm} \mathcal{E}(g^2) = \frac{1}{g^2} \int_{C_{\pm}} dt \ B \mathcal{E}(t) \ e^{-t/g^2} = \text{Re} B \ \mathcal{E}(g^2) \mp i\pi \frac{16}{g^2 N} e^{-\frac{8\pi}{g^2 N}}
$$

non-perturbative sector: bion-bion amplitudes

$$
[\mathcal{K}_i \bar{\mathcal{K}}_i]_{\pm} = \left(\ln\left(\frac{g^2 N}{8\pi}\right) - \gamma\right) \frac{16}{g^2 N} e^{-\frac{8\pi}{g^2 N}} \pm i\pi \frac{16}{g^2 N} e^{-\frac{8\pi}{g^2 N}}
$$

Resurgence in 2d asymptotically free QFT: CPN-1

GD, Ünsal: [1210.2423,](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1210.2423) [1210.3646](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1210.3646)

perturbative sector: Borel-Écalle summation

$$
B_{\pm}\mathcal{E}(g^{2}) = \frac{1}{g^{2}} \int_{C_{\pm}} dt \ B\mathcal{E}(t) \ e^{-t/g^{2}} = \text{Re}B\ \mathcal{E}(g^{2}) \Bigg[\pm i\pi \frac{16}{g^{2}N} e^{-\frac{8\pi}{g^{2}N}} \Bigg]
$$

non-perturbative sector: bion-bion amplitudes

$$
[\mathcal{K}_{i}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{i}]_{\pm} = \left(\ln\left(\frac{g^{2}N}{8\pi}\right) - \gamma\right) \frac{16}{g^{2}N} e^{-\frac{8\pi}{g^{2}N}} \Bigg[\pm i\pi \frac{16}{g^{2}N} e^{-\frac{8\pi}{g^{2}N}} \Bigg]
$$

resolution: correct BZJ mechanism for CPN-1

• spatially compactified ℂℙN-1 generates fractionalized instantons and bions, cancelling perturbative IR renormalon ambiguities against nonperturbative ambiguities in instanton/bion gas picture

GD, Ünsal: [1210.2423,](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1210.2423) [1210.3646](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1210.3646)

graded resurgence triangle and extended SUSY a structure that we refer to as the *graded resurgence triangle* (7.22), where the rows are at fiancy resurgence mangle and extended to

graded resurgence triangle and extended SUSY a structure that we refer to as the *graded resurgence triangle* (7.22), where the rows are at fiancy resurgence mangle and extended to

graded resurgence triangle and extended SUSY a structure that we refer to as the *graded resurgence triangle* (7.22), where the rows are at fiancy resurgence mangle and extended to

the bigger picture: resurgence and non-perturbative QFT

"*resurgence"* unifies perturbative and non-perturbative sectors in such a way that the combination is unambiguous and well-defined under analytic continuation of the expansion parameter

Resurgence and Trans-Series

$$
f(g^{2}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{k-1} c_{n,k,q} g^{2n} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{S}{g^{2}} \right) \right]^{k} \left[\ln\left(-\frac{1}{g^{2}} \right) \right]^{q}
$$

J. Écalle (1980): set of functions with these trans-monomial elements is closed; "any reasonable function'' has a trans-series expansion

(Borel transform) + (analytic continuation) + (Laplace transform)

- exponentially improved asymptotic expansions (dlmf.nist.gov)
- philosophical shift:

view semi-classical asymptotic expansions as `exact encoding' of the function

dramatic consequence: expansion coefficients extremely constrained (cf. BZJ cancellation mechanism)

What is Resurgence?

resurgent functions display at each of their singular points a behaviour *closely related to their behaviour at the "origin". Loosely speaking, these functions resurrect, or surge up - in a slightly different guise, as it were - at their singularities*

Écalle, 1980

What is Resurgence?

resurgent functions display at each of their singular points a behaviour *closely related to their behaviour at the "origin". Loosely speaking,* these functions resurrect, or surge up - in a slightly different guise, as it *were - at their singularities*

Écalle, 1980

 `*in principle', we can reconstruct the full function from the perturbative series* cf. GD, Ünsal: [1306.4405](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1306.4405)

Full Trans-Series from Perturbation Theory

$$
E^{(N)}(g^{2}) = E_{\text{pert. theory}}^{(N)}(g^{2}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_{k,l,p} \left(\frac{1}{g^{2N+1}} \exp\left[-\frac{c}{g^{2}} \right] \right)^{k} \left(\ln \left[-\frac{1}{g^{2}} \right] \right)^{l} g^{2p}
$$

 $\text{Tr}_2 \text{C1111m} \text{saddle} \quad F^{(N)} \qquad (a^2) = 1 + a^{(N)}a^2 + a^{(N)}a^4 +$ vacuum saddle: $E_{\text{pert. theory}}^{\prime}(g^2) = 1 + a_1^{\prime \prime \prime}(g^2) + a_2^{\prime \prime \prime}(g^2) + \ldots$ ln(1*/g*²), are called "trans-monomials", and are all familiar from QM and QFT. Remarkably, the expansion coe- $\text{vacuum saddle: } E_{\text{pert. theory}}^{(N)}(g^2) = 1 + a_1^{(N)}g^2 + a_2^{(N)}g^4 + \dots$

computed are inter-twined and e^{-S_{inst}/g^2} (N) and e^{-S_{inst}/g^2} (N) and also with the perturbative of the perturb 1-instanton saddle: $\Delta E_{1\text{ instanton}}^{(IV)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{2N!} \frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{N!} \left(1 + b_1^{(IV)}q^2 + b_2^{(IV)}q^4 + \dots\right)$ perturbative series $N! g^{2N}$, $\sqrt{\pi} g^2$, producing in $\frac{1}{2}$ are precisely cancelled by the imaginary parts associated with the ln(1*/g*²) factors in the non-perturbative portion 1-instanton saddle: $\Delta E^{(N)}_{1 \text{ instanton}}(g^2) = \frac{1}{N!}$ $N! \, g^{2N}$ $e^{-S_{\rm inst}/g^2}$ $\sqrt{\pi g^2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $1 + b_1^{(N)}g^2 + b_2^{(N)}g^4 + \dots$

II. UNIFORM WKB FOR POTENTIALS WITH DEGENERATE CLASSICAL VACUA

otato of the arty optime trans-series one oded in these two series and <u>state of the art,</u> chain thans series cheoaca in these two series, and a (conjectured) exact quantization condition state-of-the-art: entire trans-series encoded in these two series, and a

Zinn-Justin/Jentschura, 2004

 $1.$ Explain in a simple manner how such a trans-series expansion (2) arises, and also in what sense it is generic. $2. \times 1$. Explain the inter-relations with the inter-relations with the trans-series, and the trans-series. The trans-series, and the trans-series, and the trans-series, and the trans-series, and the trans-series. The tra 3. In its strongest form, "resurgence" claims that complete knowledge of the perturbative series is sucient to genperturbative expansion all orders of the non-perturbative expansion. new results: (i) equivalent to uniform WKB boundary condition (ii) instanton fluctuation follows immediately from

this very strong claim in simple and explicit details and explicit details and double-well and double-well and G specific details and G such an G such and G such a specific details and G such a specific details a

Full Trans-Series from Perturbation Theory

$$
E^{(N)}(g^{2}) = E_{\text{pert. theory}}^{(N)}(g^{2}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_{k,l,p} \left(\frac{1}{g^{2N+1}} \exp\left[-\frac{c}{g^{2}} \right] \right)^{k} \left(\ln \left[-\frac{1}{g^{2}} \right] \right)^{l} g^{2p}
$$

 $\text{Tr}_2 \text{C1111m} \text{saddle} \quad F^{(N)} \qquad (a^2) = 1 + a^{(N)}a^2 + a^{(N)}a^4 +$ vacuum saddle: $E_{\text{pert. theory}}^{\prime}(g^2) = 1 + a_1^{\prime \prime \prime}(g^2) + a_2^{\prime \prime \prime}(g^2) + \ldots$ ln(1*/g*²), are called "trans-monomials", and are all familiar from QM and QFT. Remarkably, the expansion coe- $\text{vacuum saddle: } E_{\text{pert. theory}}^{(N)}(g^2) = 1 + a_1^{(N)}g^2 + a_2^{(N)}g^4 + \dots$

computed are inter-twined and e^{-S_{inst}/g^2} (N) and e^{-S_{inst}/g^2} (N) and also with the perturbative of the perturb 1-instanton saddle: $\Delta E_{1\text{ instanton}}^{(IV)}(q^2) = \frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{2N!} \frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{N!} \left(1 + b_1^{(IV)}q^2 + b_2^{(IV)}q^4 + \dots\right)$ perturbative series $N! g^{2N}$, $\sqrt{\pi} g^2$, producing in $\frac{1}{2}$ are precisely cancelled by the imaginary parts associated with the ln(1*/g*²) factors in the non-perturbative portion 1-instanton saddle: $\Delta E^{(N)}_{1 \text{ instanton}}(g^2) = \frac{1}{N!}$ $N! \, g^{2N}$ $e^{-S_{\rm inst}/g^2}$ $\sqrt{\pi g^2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $1 + b_1^{(N)}g^2 + b_2^{(N)}g^4 + \dots$

otato of the arty optime trans-series one oded in these two series and <u>state of the art,</u> chain thans series cheoaca in these two series, and a (conjectured) exact quantization condition state-of-the-art: entire trans-series encoded in these two series, and a

Zinn-Justin/Jentschura, 2004

 $1.$ Explain in a simple manner how such a trans-series expansion (2) arises, and also in what sense it is generic. $2. \times 1$. Explain the inter-relations with the inter-relations with the trans-series, and the trans-series. The trans-series, and the trans-series, and the trans-series, and the trans-series, and the trans-series. The tra 3. In its strongest form, "resurgence" claims that complete knowledge of the perturbative series is sucient to genperturbative expansion all orders of the non-perturbative expansion. new results: (i) equivalent to uniform WKB boundary condition (ii) instanton fluctuation follows immediately from

this very strong claim in simple and explicit details and explicit details and double-well and double-well and G specific details and G such an G such and G such a specific details and G such a specific details a

the perturbative expansion contains ALL information of the trans-series

II. UNIFORM WKB FOR POTENTIALS WITH DEGENERATE CLASSICAL VACUA

Resurgence prototype: Gamma function and Stirling's Formula

$$
\psi(1+z) \sim \ln z + \frac{1}{2z} - \frac{1}{12z^2} + \frac{1}{120z^4} - \frac{1}{252z^6} + \dots + \frac{174611}{6600z^{20}} - \dots
$$

leading (Stirling)

 $\psi(1 + z) \sim \ln z +$ $\frac{1}{2z} - \frac{1}{12z^2} +$ $\frac{1}{120z^4} - \frac{1}{252z^6} + \cdots +$ 174611 $\frac{111011}{6600z^{20}} - \dots$ Resurgence prototype: Gamma function and Stirling's Formula

leading (Stirling) (divergent!) correction

`perturbative' asymptotic expansion is incompatible with reflection formula

`perturbative' asymptotic expansion is incompatible with reflection formula

$$
Im \psi(1+iz) \sim -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

$$
Im \psi(1+iz) = -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\pi}{2} \coth \pi z = -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\pi}{2} + \pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi kz}
$$

`perturbative' asymptotic expansion is incompatible with reflection formula

$$
Im \psi(1+iz) \sim -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

$$
Im \psi(1+iz) = -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\pi}{2} \coth \pi z = -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi kz}\right)
$$

non-perturbative terms generated from a resurgent analysis of the perturbative asymptotic expansion

`perturbative' asymptotic expansion is incompatible with reflection formula

$$
Im \psi(1+iz) \sim -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

$$
Im \psi(1+iz) = -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\pi}{2} \coth \pi z = -\frac{1}{2z} + \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi kz}\right)
$$

non-perturbative terms generated from a resurgent analysis of the perturbative asymptotic expansion

unlike the ``perturbative'' asymptotic series, a resurgent trans-series expansion is fully compatible with global analyticity properties

precisely this gamma function example appears in many QFT & string computations

- Euler-Heisenberg effective actions
- de Sitter/AdS effective actions
- exact S-matrices
- Chern-Simons partition functions
- matrix models
- Painlevé

• ...

Gopakumar/Vafa, 1998, 1999; Das/Dunne, 2006; Mariño/Schiappa/Weiss, 2007, 2008; Mariño 2012; Aniceto/Schiappa/Vonk/Vaz, 2010, 2011; Garoufalidis/Its/Kapaev/Mariño, 2012; ... for QFT we should understand resurgence using path integrals

Resurgence in d=0 Path Integrals: Steepest Descents

resurgence in saddle-point integrals

Berry/Howls, 1991 (``hyperasymptotics'')

$$
I^{(n)}(g^2) = \int_{\Gamma_n} dz \, e^{-f(z)/g^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad I^{(n)}(g^2) \sim \frac{1}{g} \, e^{-f_n/g^2} \, T^{(n)}(g^2)
$$

$$
T^{(n)}(g^2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} g^{2r} T_r^{(n)}
$$

Darboux's theorem: large orders of expansion around one critical point governed by nhd. of nearest singularity = other critical point

Resurgence in d=0 Path Integrals: Steepest Descents

resurgence in saddle-point integrals

Berry/Howls, 1991 (``hyperasymptotics'')

 ∞

 $g^{2r}\,T_r^{(n)}$

r=0

$$
I^{(n)}(g^2) = \int_{\Gamma_n} dz \, e^{-f(z)/g^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad I^{(n)}(g^2) \sim \frac{1}{g} \, e^{-f_n/g^2} \, T^{(n)}(g^2)
$$

Darboux's theorem: large orders of expansion around one critical point governed by nhd. of nearest singularity = other critical point

Resurgence: large orders of fluctuations around an instanton governed by low orders about "nearby" instanton(s)

$$
T_r^{(n)} \sim \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_m (-1)^{\gamma_{mn}} \frac{(r-1)!}{|f_n - f_m|^r} \left(T_0^{(m)} + \frac{|f_n - f_m|}{r-1} T_1^{(m)} + \dots \right)
$$

 $T^{(n)}(g^2) = \sum$

resurgent trans-series structure is a basic property of all-orders saddle-point expansions of ordinary integrals

deeply embedded in perturbation theory and semi-classical analysis in QM and QFT, but its origin is (presumably) very basic

QFT: "functional Darboux theorem"

network of correspondences within trans-series lead to the "required" cancellations

network of correspondences within trans-series lead to the "required" cancellations

$$
(1 + a_1 g^2 + a_2 g^4 + \dots + \dots) + e^{-S/g^2} (1 + b_1 g^2 + b_2 g^4 + \dots + \dots)
$$

+
$$
e^{-2S/g^2} (1 + c_1 g^2 + c_2 g^4 + \dots + \dots) + e^{-3S/g^2} (1 + d_1 g^2 + d_2 g^4 + \dots + \dots)
$$

 $+ \ldots$ $+ (log terms)$

resurgence in zero dimensional QFT

$$
I^{(n)}(g^2) = \int_{\Gamma_n} dz \, e^{-f(z)/g^2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad I^{(n)}(g^2) \sim \frac{1}{g} \, e^{-f_n/g^2} \, T^{(n)}(g^2)
$$

 $f(z) = \sin^2(g z)$

 ∞

$$
T^{(0)} = g \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})^2}{\Gamma(n + 1)} g^{2n}
$$

\n
$$
\implies c_n \sim (n - 1)! - \frac{1}{4}(n - 2)! + \frac{9}{32}(n - 3)! - \frac{75}{128}(n - 4)! + ...
$$

resurgence in zero dimensional QFT

$$
I^{(n)}(g^2) = \int_{\Gamma_n} dz \, e^{-f(z)/g^2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad I^{(n)}(g^2) \sim \frac{1}{g} \, e^{-f_n/g^2} \, T^{(n)}(g^2)
$$

 $f(z) = \sin^2(g z)$

$$
T^{(0)} = g \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})^2}{\Gamma(n + 1)} g^{2n}
$$

\n
$$
\implies c_n \sim (n - 1)! - \frac{1}{4}(n - 2)! + \frac{9}{32}(n - 3)! - \frac{75}{128}(n - 4)! + ...
$$

$$
I^{(1)} = g e^{-1/g^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})^2}{\Gamma(n+1)} g^{2n}
$$

$$
\implies T^{(1)}(g^2) = 1 - \frac{1}{4}g^2 + \frac{9}{32}g^4 - \frac{75}{128}g^6 + \dots
$$

resurgence in zero dimensional QFT

$$
I^{(n)}(g^2) = \int_{\Gamma_n} dz \, e^{-f(z)/g^2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad I^{(n)}(g^2) \sim \frac{1}{g} \, e^{-f_n/g^2} \, T^{(n)}(g^2)
$$

 $f(z) = \sin^2(g z)$

 ∞

$$
T^{(0)} = g \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})^2}{\Gamma(n + 1)} g^{2n}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow c_n \sim (n - 1)! - \frac{1}{4} (n - 2)! + \frac{9}{32} (n - 3)! - \frac{75}{128} (n - 4)! + ...
$$

$$
I^{(1)} = g e^{-1/g^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})^2}{\Gamma(n+1)} g^{2n}
$$

\n
$$
\implies T^{(1)}(g^2) = 1 - \frac{1}{4}g^2 + \frac{9}{32}g^4 - \frac{75}{128}g^6 + \dots
$$

low orders in fluctuations around " $I\bar{I}$ " saddle determine largeorder behavior of fluctuations around the "vacuum"

cf. Darboux's theorem

$$
V(x) = x^{2}(1 + g x)^{2}
$$

\n
$$
E = \sum_{n} c_{n} g^{2n}
$$

\npert. theory: $c_{n} \sim 3^{n} n! \left(1 - \frac{53}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1277}{72} \cdot \frac{1}{3^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{n(n-1)} - \dots \right)$
\n $I\overline{I}$ sector: $ImE \sim \pi e^{-2\frac{1}{6g^{2}}} \left(1 - \frac{53}{6}g^{2} - \frac{1277}{72}g^{4} - \dots \right)$

$$
V(x) = x^{2}(1 + g x)^{2}
$$

\n
$$
E = \sum_{n} c_{n} g^{2n}
$$

\npert. theory: $c_{n} \sim \left(3^{n} n!\right) \left(1 - \frac{53}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1277}{72} \cdot \frac{1}{3^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{n(n-1)} - \dots\right)$
\n $I\overline{I}$ sector: $ImE \sim \pi e^{\frac{2}{\log 2}} \left(1 - \frac{53}{6}g^{2} - \frac{1277}{72}g^{4} - \dots\right)$

$$
V(x) = x^{2}(1 + g x)^{2}
$$

\n
$$
E = \sum_{n} c_{n}g^{2n}
$$

\npert. theory: $c_{n} \sim 3^{n}n!\left(1 - \frac{53}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1277}{72} \cdot \frac{1}{3^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{n(n-1)} - \cdots\right)$
\n
$$
I\overline{I} \text{ sector: } ImE \sim \pi e^{\frac{2}{3}n}\left(1 - \frac{53}{6}g^{2} - \frac{1277}{72}g^{4} - \cdots\right)
$$

\n
$$
V(x) = \frac{1}{g^{2}} \sin^{2}(g x)
$$

\npert. theory: $c_{n} \sim n!\left(1 - \frac{5}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} - \frac{13}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{n(n-1)} - \cdots\right) \cdots$
\n
$$
I\overline{I} \text{ sector: } ImE \sim \pi e^{-2\frac{1}{2}g^{2}}\left(1 - \frac{5}{2}g^{2} - \frac{13}{8}g^{4} - \cdots\right)
$$

$$
V(x) = x^{2}(1 + g x)^{2}
$$
\n
$$
E = \sum_{n} c_{n}g^{2n}
$$
\npert. theory: $c_{n} \sim 3^{n}n! \left(1 - \frac{53}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1277}{72} \cdot \frac{1}{3^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{n(n-1)} - \cdots \right)$ \n
$$
I\bar{I} \text{ sector:} \qquad ImE \sim \pi e^{\left(2\frac{1}{6g^{2}}\right)} \left(1 - \frac{53}{6}g^{2} - \frac{1277}{72}g^{4} - \cdots \right)
$$
\n
$$
V(x) = \frac{1}{g^{2}} \sin^{2}(g x)
$$
\npert. theory: $c_{n} \leftarrow n! \left(1 - \frac{5}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} - \frac{13}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{n(n-1)} - \cdots \right) \cdots$ \n
$$
I\bar{I} \text{ sector:} \quad ImE \sim \pi e^{\left(2\frac{1}{2g^{2}}\right)} \left(1 - \frac{5}{2}g^{2} - \frac{13}{8}g^{4} - \cdots \right)
$$

flucs. around $I\bar{I}$ saddle determine large-order of flucs. around vacuum

Resurgence in 2d asymptotically free QFT: CPN-1

GD, Ünsal: [1210.2423,](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1210.2423) [1210.3646](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1210.3646)

perturbative sector: Borel-Écalle summation

$$
B_{\pm}\mathcal{E}(g^{2}) = \frac{1}{g^{2}} \int_{C_{\pm}} dt \ B\mathcal{E}(t) \ e^{-t/g^{2}} = \text{Re}B\ \mathcal{E}(g^{2}) \Bigg[\pm i\pi \frac{16}{g^{2}N} e^{-\frac{8\pi}{g^{2}N}} \Bigg]
$$

non-perturbative sector: bion-bion amplitudes

$$
[\mathcal{K}_{i}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{i}]_{\pm} = \left(\ln\left(\frac{g^{2}N}{8\pi}\right) - \gamma\right) \frac{16}{g^{2}N} e^{-\frac{8\pi}{g^{2}N}} \Bigg[\pm i\pi \frac{16}{g^{2}N} e^{-\frac{8\pi}{g^{2}N}} \Bigg]
$$

Analytic Continuation of Path Integrals: Ghost instantons $\sum_{i=1}^n$

$$
V(x) = \frac{1}{g^2} \text{sd}^2(g \, x; m)
$$
 Bagar, GD, Ünsal: 1308.1108

Z

Başar, GD, Ünsal: [1308.1108](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1308.1108) 1308

z

path integral : sum over real paths

$$
\int \mathcal{D}x \, e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[x]}
$$

 $Pole \qquad \qquad \bullet$ Pole $\qquad \bullet$ Pole Pole Pole *A B* α *B* α

$$
a_n(m) \sim -\frac{16}{\pi} n! \left(\frac{1}{(S_{I\overline{I}}(m))^{n+1}} - \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(S_{G\overline{G}}(m))^{n+1}} \right)
$$

both real and complex instantons contribute to physical properties *g*² , the contribute to physical properties alitulis cul

• periodic potential with both real and complex instantons

$$
S_I = \frac{2 \arcsin(\sqrt{m})}{\sqrt{m(1-m)}}
$$

$$
S_G = \frac{2 \arcsin(\sqrt{1-m})}{\sqrt{m(1-m)}}
$$

Beyond instantons

Wed. 17:10

- YM and CPN have unstable non-self-dual classical solutions, with finite Euclidean action **Daniele Dorigoni:**
- 2d O(N), PCM, ..., have no instantons, but still have
- IR renormalon problems in the perturbative sector • these theories also have unstable non-BPS classical solutions,
	- with finite Euclidean action

 \bullet

$$
\int \mathcal{D}A \, e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[A]} = \sum_{\text{all saddles}} e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[A_{\text{saddle}}]} \times (\text{fluctuations}) \times (\text{qzm})
$$

small g^2 : dominated by critical points; i.e., finite action solutions of classical Euclidean equations

Beyond instantons

Wed. 17:10

- YM and CPN have unstable non-self-dual classical solutions, with finite Euclidean action **Daniele Dorigoni:**
- 2d O(N), PCM, ..., have no instantons, but still have
	- IR renormalon problems in the perturbative sector
- these theories also have unstable non-BPS classical solutions, with finite Euclidean action

$$
\int \mathcal{D}A \, e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[A]} = \sum_{\text{all saddles}} e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[A_{\text{saddle}}]} \times (\text{fluctuations}) \times (\text{qzm})
$$

- small g^2 : dominated by critical points; i.e., finite action solutions of classical Euclidean equations
	- minima: BPS instantons (self-dual) $\Rightarrow \sim e$ $-\frac{1}{g^2}S_{\rm inst}$
	- saddle points: non-self-dual but finite action
		- $-C\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ (Din & Zakrzewski); YM (Sibner, Sibner & Uhlenbeck; Sadun, ...)
		- "unstable": instantons & anti-instantons
		- physics: resurgent non-perturbative contributions

Dabrowski, GD: [1306.0921](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1306.0921)

"instability" of non-self-dual solutions

zero modes: $2 \times 6 = 12$, but far-separated count: $4 \times 6 = 24$

"instability": (some) zero modes become negative modes

contribution to semiclassical expansion is complex!

resurgence: must cancel something from perturbation theory

Conclusions

moral: perturbative series expansions are typically divergent, and incompatible with global analytic continuation properties. Resurgence fixes this.

- non-Borel-summable perturbation theory is incomplete and inconsistent
- corresponding non-perturbative instanton gas picture is similarly incomplete and inconsistent
- together, a resurgent trans-series expansion is complete & consistent

$$
\int \mathcal{D}A \, e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[A]} = \sum_{\text{all saddles}} e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[A_{\text{saddle}}]} \times (\text{fluctuations}) \times (\text{qzm})
$$

- non-perturbative physics without instantons
- analytic continuation of ODEs and path integrals
- effect of running couplings

Z

- relation to OPE formalism
- practical computational tool?

Conclusions

moral: perturbative series expansions are typically divergent, and incompatible with global analytic continuation properties. Resurgence fixes this.

- non-Borel-summable perturbation theory is incomplete and inconsistent
- corresponding non-perturbative instanton gas picture is similarly incomplete and inconsistent
- together, a resurgent trans-series expansion is complete & consistent

$$
\int \mathcal{D}A \, e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[A]} = \sum_{\text{all saddles}} e^{-\frac{1}{g^2}S[A_{\text{saddle}}]} \times (\text{fluctuations}) \times (\text{qzm})
$$

- non-perturbative physics without instantons
- analytic continuation of ODEs and path integrals
- effect of running couplings

Z

- relation to OPE formalism
- practical computational tool?

Uniform WKB, Resurgence and Trans-Series $(1-\pi)$ $(2-\pi)^{11}$ $(1-\pi)$ GD, Ünsal, 2013

e.g. double-well potential: $V(x) = x^2(1 - g x)^2$ uniform WKB approximation: Here *D*⌫ is a parabolic cylinder function [6], and ⌫ is an ansatz parameter that is to be determined. Substituting $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(x;\mathbb{R})$ $P_{\nu}(\bar{g}u(y\bar{x}))$ <u>ditil</u> $V(x) = x^2(1 - g x)^2$ $\psi =$ D_ν $\sqrt{1}$ $\frac{1}{g} u(g \, x)$ \setminus $\sqrt{u'(g\,x)}$

> perturbation theory: \underline{F} $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum g^{2k} E_k(\nu)$ (non-Borel-summable) ∞ $k=0$ $g^{2k}E_k(\nu)$ (non-Borel-summable)

 $g = 0 \Rightarrow \nu = N$: usual perturbation theory for Nth oscillator level

Uniform WKB, Resurgence and Trans-Series $(1-\pi)$ $(2-\pi)^{11}$ $(1-\pi)$ GD, Ünsal, 2013

e.g. double-well potential: uniform WKB approximation: Here *D*⌫ is a parabolic cylinder function [6], and ⌫ is an ansatz parameter that is to be determined. Substituting e.g. double-well potential: $V(x) = x^2(1 - g x)^2$ $\mathbf{D}^{(1)}(x;\mathbb{R})$ $P_{\nu}(\bar{g}u(y\bar{x}))$ <u>ditil</u> $V(x) = x^2(1 - g x)^2$ $\psi =$ D_ν $\sqrt{1}$ $\frac{1}{g} u(g \, x)$ \setminus $\sqrt{u'(g\,x)}$

> perturbation theory: \underline{F} $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum g^{2k} E_k(\nu)$ (non-Borel-summable) ∞ $k=0$ $g^{2k}E_k(\nu)$ (non-Borel-summable)

 $g = 0 \Rightarrow \nu = N$: usual perturbation theory for Nth oscillator level

g>0: Neumann or Dirichlet b.c. at barrier midpoint

non-Borel-summability: analytically continue $\ g\to g\pm i\epsilon$

need **complex** asymptotics of D_v

Uniform WKB, Resurgence and Trans-Series detail in Section ... below): The section ... below):

Ie): $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum$ ∞ $k=0$ pert. theory (non-Borel-summable): $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum g^{2k} E_k(\nu)$ $D_{\nu}(z) \sim z^{\nu} e^{-z^2/4} [1 + ...] + e^{\pm i\pi\nu}$ $\sqrt{2\pi}$ $\Gamma(-\nu)$ $z^{-1-\nu} e^{z^2/4} [1 + ...]$ $\frac{\pi}{2}$ $\frac{\pi}{2} < \pm \arg(z) < \pi$

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \left(\frac{e^{\pm i\pi} 2}{g^2}\right)^{-\nu} = \frac{e^{-S_{\rm instanton}/g^2}}{\sqrt{\pi g^2}} H_0(\nu, g^2)
$$

Uniform WKB, Resurgence and Trans-Series detail in Section ... below): The section ... below):

Ie): $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum$ ∞ $k=0$ pert. theory (non-Borel-summable): $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum g^{2k} E_k(\nu)$

$$
D_{\nu}(z) \sim z^{\nu} e^{-z^2/4} [1 + \dots] + e^{\pm i\pi\nu} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(-\nu)} z^{-1-\nu} e^{z^2/4} [1 + \dots] \qquad \frac{\pi}{2} < \pm \arg(z) < \pi
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \left(\frac{e^{\pm i\pi} 2}{g^2}\right)^{-\nu} = \underbrace{\left(e^{-S_{\text{instanton}}/g^2}\right)}_{\sqrt{\pi g^2}} H_0(\nu, g^2)
$$

Uniform WKB, Resurgence and Trans-Series ^p3⇡*g*² exp <u>*u*igence</u> detail in Section ... below): The section ... below):

nt. theory $\overline{1}$ \overline{r} 0 2 *F*2 2*g*² rel-sun 2 !⌫⁺ ¹ ² *F*¹ 2*g*² $\colon\thinspace E(\nu,g^2)=\sum^{\infty} \Phi_{\mu\nu}$ 2*g*² $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ E ² E $k(\nu)$ \overline{a} $D_{\nu}(z) \sim z e$ interesting instanton factor, which is perturbative in $\frac{1}{2}$ is $\pm \arg(z)$ is π *Ie*): $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum$ ∞ $k=0$ pert. theory (non-Borel-summable): $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum g^{2k} E_k(\nu)$ $D_{\nu}(z) \sim z^{\nu} e^{-z^2/4} [1 + ...] + e^{\pm i\pi\nu}$ $\sqrt{2\pi}$ $\Gamma(-\nu)$ $z^{-1-\nu} e^{z^2/4} [1 + ...]$ $\frac{\pi}{2}$ $\frac{\pi}{2} < \pm \arg(z) < \pi$

⇡ *^g*² exp "

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \left(\frac{e^{\pm i\pi} 2}{g^2}\right)^{-\nu} = \frac{e^{-S_{\text{instanton}}/g^2}}{\sqrt{\pi g^2}} H_0(\nu, g^2)
$$

determines v as a function of g^2 : exponentially close to an integer N

$$
\nu = N + \left(-\frac{2}{g^2}\right)^N \frac{H_0(N, g^2)}{N!} \xi - \left[\gamma + \ln\left(\frac{e^{\pm i\pi} 2}{g^2}\right) - h_N\right] \left(-\frac{2}{g^2}\right)^{2N} \left(\frac{H_0(N, g^2)}{N!}\right)^2 \xi^2 + O(\xi^3)
$$

Uniform WKB, Resurgence and Trans-Series ^p3⇡*g*² exp <u>*u*igence</u> detail in Section ... below): The section ... below):

 Γ (papeledo) are transpected to the Γ (x $2k\Gamma$ (x) $\widehat{S} = 0$ $D_{\nu}(z) \sim z^{\nu} e^{-z^2/4} [1 + ...] + e^{\pm i\pi\nu} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{z} z^{-1-\nu} e^{z^2/4} [1 + ...]$ $\frac{\pi}{z} < \pm \arg(z) < \pi$ $\Gamma(-\nu)$ and $\Gamma(-\nu)$ also discussed by the wave-function; this implicit in our orientation; this implicit is implicit in our orientation; this implicit is implicit in our orientation; the wave-function; this implicit is *nt. theory* $\overline{1}$ \overline{r} 0 2 *F*2 2*g*² rel-sun 2 !⌫⁺ ¹ ² *F*¹ 2*g*² $\colon\thinspace E(\nu,g^2)=\sum^{\infty} \Phi_{\mu\nu}$ 2*g*² $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ E ² E $k(\nu)$ \overline{a} $D_{\nu}(z) \sim z e$ interesting instanton factor, which is perturbative in $\frac{1}{2}$ is $\pm \arg(z)$ is π *Ie*): $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum$ ∞ $k=0$ pert. theory (non-Borel-summable): $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum g^{2k} E_k(\nu)$ $D_{\nu}(z) \sim z^{\nu} e^{-z^2/4} [1 + ...] + e^{\pm i\pi\nu}$ $\sqrt{2\pi}$ $\Gamma(-\nu)$ $z^{-1-\nu} e^{z^2/4} [1 + ...]$ $\frac{\pi}{2}$ $\frac{\pi}{2} < \pm \arg(z) < \pi$

⇡ *^g*² exp "

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \left(\frac{e^{\pm i\pi} 2}{g^2}\right)^{-\nu} = \underbrace{\left(\frac{e^{-S_{\text{instanton}}/g^2}}{\sqrt{\pi g^2}}\right)}_{\text{at least in } \mathbb{Z}} H_0(\nu, g^2)
$$

determines v as a function of g^2 : exponentially close to an integer N

$$
\nu = N + \left(-\frac{2}{g^2}\right)^N \frac{H_0(N, g^2)}{N!} \xi - \left[\gamma + \ln\left(\frac{e^{\pm i\pi} 2}{g^2}\right) - h_N\right] \left(-\frac{2}{g^2}\right)^{2N} \left(\frac{H_0(N, g^2)}{N!}\right)^2 \xi^2 + O(\xi^3)
$$

feed back into perturbative expansion \Rightarrow trans-series expression food book into porturbative or propeion \rightarrow trape cories or processor the "transchange in sign on the RHS of (40), which leads to a change of the odd powers o ϵ reed back into perturbative expansion \Rightarrow trans-series expression

$$
E^{(N)}(g^{2}) = E_{\text{pert. theory}}^{(N)}(g^{2}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_{k,l,p} \left(\frac{1}{g^{2N+1}} \exp\left[-\frac{c}{g^{2}} \right] \right)^{k} \left(\ln \left[-\frac{1}{g^{2}} \right] \right)^{l} g^{2p}
$$

The second part of the trans-series involves a sum over non-perturbative factors exp[*k c/g*²], multiplied by prefactors

Uniform WKB, Resurgence and Trans-Series ^p3⇡*g*² exp <u>*u*igence</u> detail in Section ... below): The section ... below):

 Γ (papeledo) are transpected to the Γ (x $2k\Gamma$ (x) $\widehat{S} = 0$ $D_{\nu}(z) \sim z^{\nu} e^{-z^2/4} [1 + ...] + e^{\pm i\pi\nu} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{z} z^{-1-\nu} e^{z^2/4} [1 + ...]$ $\frac{\pi}{z} < \pm \arg(z) < \pi$ $\Gamma(-\nu)$ and $\Gamma(-\nu)$ also discussed by the wave-function; this implicit in our orientation; this implicit is implicit in our orientation; this implicit is implicit in our orientation; the wave-function; this implicit is *nt. theory* $\overline{1}$ \overline{r} 0 2 *F*2 2*g*² rel-sun 2 !⌫⁺ ¹ ² *F*¹ 2*g*² $\colon\thinspace E(\nu,g^2)=\sum^{\infty} \Phi_{\mu\nu}$ 2*g*² $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ E ² E $k(\nu)$ \overline{a} $D_{\nu}(z) \sim z e$ interesting instanton factor, which is perturbative in $\frac{1}{2}$ is $\pm \arg(z)$ is π *Ie*): $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum$ ∞ $k=0$ pert. theory (non-Borel-summable): $E(\nu, g^2) = \sum g^{2k} E_k(\nu)$ $D_{\nu}(z) \sim z^{\nu} e^{-z^2/4} [1 + ...] + e^{\pm i\pi\nu}$ $\sqrt{2\pi}$ $\Gamma(-\nu)$ $z^{-1-\nu} e^{z^2/4} [1 + ...]$ $\frac{\pi}{2}$ $\frac{\pi}{2} < \pm \arg(z) < \pi$

⇡ *^g*² exp "

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \left(\frac{e^{\pm i\pi} 2}{g^2}\right)^{-\nu} = \underbrace{\left(e^{-S_{\text{instanton}}/g^2}\right)}_{\sqrt{\pi g^2}} H_0(\nu, g^2)
$$

determines v as a function of g^2 : exponentially close to an integer N

$$
\nu = N + \left(-\frac{2}{g^2}\right)^N \frac{H_0(N, g^2)}{N!} \xi - \left[\gamma + \ln\left(\frac{e^{\pm i\pi} 2}{g^2}\right) - h_N\right] \left(-\frac{2}{g^2}\right)^{2N} \left(\frac{H_0(N, g^2)}{N!}\right)^2 \xi^2 + O(\xi^3)
$$

feed back into perturbative expansion \Rightarrow trans-series expression food book into porturbative or propeion \rightarrow trape cories or processor the "transchange in sign on the RHS of (40), which leads to a change of the odd powers o ϵ reed back into perturbative expansion \Rightarrow trans-series expression

$$
E^{(N)}(g^{2}) = E_{\text{pert. theory}}^{(N)}(g^{2}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} c_{k,l,p} \left(\frac{1}{g^{2N+1}} \exp\left[-\frac{c}{g^{2}} \right] \right)^{k} \left(\ln \left[-\frac{1}{g^{2}} \right] \right)^{l} g^{2p}
$$

generic: property of parabolic cylinder functions that are themselves series in *^g*² and in ln(1*/g*²). The basic building blocks of the trans-series, *^g*², exp[*c/g*²] and