

Alliance for Astroparticle Physics

DESY THEORY WORKSHOP 24 - 27 September 2013

Nonperturbative QFT: Methods and Applications

DESY Hamburg, Germany

Time Evolution of the Large-Scale Tail of Primordial Magnetic Fields

Andrey Saveliev ¹

Universität Hamburg

September 25th, 2013

¹with Karsten Jedamzik (Université Montpellier II) and Günter Sigl (Universität Hamburg)

Extragalactic Magnetic Fields (EGMF)

Primordial Magnetic Fields - Basic Properties

Results on the Time Evolution of Primordial Magnetic Fields







The origin of EGMF is still uncertain - mainly two different concepts:

The origin of EGMF is still uncertain - mainly two different concepts:

 Astrophysical scenario: Seed magnetic fields are generated during structure formation (e.g. by a Biermann Battery [Biermann, 1950]) and are then amplified by the dynamo effect [Zeldovich et al., 1980]

The origin of EGMF is still uncertain - mainly two different concepts:

- Astrophysical scenario: Seed magnetic fields are generated during structure formation (e.g. by a Biermann Battery [Biermann, 1950]) and are then amplified by the dynamo effect [Zeldovich et al., 1980]
- Cosmological scenario: Strong seed magnetic fields are generated in the Early Universe, e.g. at a phase transition (QCD, electroweak) [Sigl et al., 1997] or during inflation [Turner and Widrow, 1988], and some of the initial energy content is transfered to larger scales.

The origin of EGMF is still uncertain - mainly two different concepts:

- Astrophysical scenario: Seed magnetic fields are generated during structure formation (e.g. by a Biermann Battery [Biermann, 1950]) and are then amplified by the dynamo effect [Zeldovich et al., 1980]
- Cosmological scenario: Strong seed magnetic fields are generated in the Early Universe, e.g. at a phase transition (QCD, electroweak) [Sigl et al., 1997] or during inflation [Turner and Widrow, 1988], and some of the initial energy content is transfered to larger scales.

The latter are the so-called primordial magnetic fields and will be focused on in the following.

The origin of EGMF is still uncertain - mainly two different concepts:

- Astrophysical scenario: Seed magnetic fields are generated during structure formation (e.g. by a Biermann Battery [Biermann, 1950]) and are then amplified by the dynamo effect [Zeldovich et al., 1980]
- Cosmological scenario: Strong seed magnetic fields are generated in the Early Universe, e.g. at a phase transition (QCD, electroweak) [Sigl et al., 1997] or during inflation [Turner and Widrow, 1988], and some of the initial energy content is transfered to larger scales.

The latter are the so-called primordial magnetic fields and will be focused on in the following.

 Basics for the time evolution: Homogeneous and isotropic magnetohydrodynamics in an expanding Universe.

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD)

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD)

Maxwell's equations:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}, \ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial_t \mathbf{B}, \ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 4\pi \mathbf{j}$$

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD)

Maxwell's equations:

 $\nabla \cdot {\bf B} = {\bf 0}, \ \nabla \times {\bf E} = -\partial_t {\bf B}, \ \nabla \times {\bf B} = 4\pi {\bf j}$

• Continuity equation for mass density ρ : $\partial_t \rho + \nabla(\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD)

Maxwell's equations:

 $\nabla \cdot {\bf B} = 0, \ \nabla \times {\bf E} = -\partial_t {\bf B}, \ \nabla \times {\bf B} = 4\pi {\bf j}$

- Continuity equation for mass density ρ : $\partial_t \rho + \nabla(\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$
- Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\rho\left(\partial_{t}\mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v}\right) = -\nabla p + \mu\Delta\mathbf{v} + (\lambda + \mu)\nabla\left(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}\right) + \mathbf{f}$$

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD)

Maxwell's equations:

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}, \ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial_t \mathbf{B}, \ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 4\pi \mathbf{j}$

- Continuity equation for mass density ρ : $\partial_t \rho + \nabla(\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$
- Navier-Stokes equations:

 $\rho\left(\partial_t \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}\right) = -\nabla p + \mu \Delta \mathbf{v} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}\right) + \mathbf{f}$

For the magnetic field and the turbulent fluid it follows therefore

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{4\pi\sigma} \Delta \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$$
$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} = -(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} + \frac{(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi\rho} + \mathbf{f}_v.$$

The aspect of interest is the distribution of energies on different scales k, i.e. the magnetic spectral energy density M of the magnetic fields and the kinetic magnetic spectral energy density U

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_B &= \frac{1}{8\pi V} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{B}^2(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{8\pi} \, |\hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \equiv \rho \int \mathrm{d} k \, M_k \\ \epsilon_K &= \frac{\rho}{2V} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{v}^2(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\rho}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 k \, |\hat{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \equiv \rho \int \mathrm{d} k \, U_k \\ h_B &= \frac{1}{V} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = i \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{k} \, \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{k}^2} \times \widehat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{k})\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{k})^* \\ &\equiv \rho \int \mathrm{d} k \, \mathcal{H}_k \end{aligned}$$

Aim: Computation of the correlation function for B and v

Aim: Computation of the correlation function for B and v

 Homogeneity: The correlation function cannot depend on the position in space

Aim: Computation of the correlation function for B and v

- Homogeneity: The correlation function cannot depend on the position in space
- Isotropy: The correlation function only depends on the magnitude of the spatial separation

Aim: Computation of the correlation function for B and v

- Homogeneity: The correlation function cannot depend on the position in space
- Isotropy: The correlation function only depends on the magnitude of the spatial separation

In Fourier space this means that the most general Ansatz is [von Kármán and Howarth, 1938, Junklewitz and Enßlin, 2011]

$$\langle \hat{B}_{l}(\mathbf{k})\hat{B}_{m}(\mathbf{k}')\rangle \sim \delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')[(\delta_{lm}-\frac{k_{l}k_{m}}{k^{2}})M(k)-\frac{i}{8\pi}\epsilon_{lmj}k_{j}\mathcal{H}(k)] \\ \langle \hat{v}_{l}(\mathbf{k})\hat{v}_{m}(\mathbf{k}')\rangle \sim \delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')[(\delta_{lm}-\frac{k_{l}k_{m}}{k^{2}})U(k)+i\alpha\epsilon_{lmj}k_{j}\mathcal{H}_{f}(k)]$$



[Saveliev et al., 2012]

 Starting either with an initial power-law ...

- Starting either with an initial power-law ...
- ... or a concentration of the spectral energies on a single scale the qualitative result is similar: a tendence to equipartition and both $M_a \sim q^4$ (i.e. $B \sim q^{\frac{5}{2}}$) and $U_q \sim q^4$ at large scales.

[Saveliev et al., 2012]



- Starting either with an initial power-law ...
- ... or a concentration of the spectral energies on a single scale the qualitative result is similar: a tendence to equipartition and both $M_a \sim q^4$ (i.e. $B \sim q^{\frac{5}{2}}$) and $U_q \sim q^4$ at large scales.

[Saveliev et al., 2012]



• A rough estimate for B (for the QCD phase transition) is given by $B(200 \text{ pc}) \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ G}$

Including magnetic helicity for the same initial conditions results in an inverse cascade, a fast transport of big amounts of magnetic energy to large scales. This is due to helicity conservation. [Saveliev et al., 2013]



Including magnetic helicity for the same initial conditions results in an inverse cascade, a fast transport of big amounts of magnetic energy to large scales. This is due to helicity conservation. [Saveliev et al., 2013]



Two regimes are visible: When helicity is small, the considerations of the non-helical case are valid; once helicity reaches its maximal value, the behaviour changes dramatically

 One possible scenario is the time evolution of primordial magnetic fields during which energy, among other things, can be transported from smaller to larger scales

- One possible scenario is the time evolution of primordial magnetic fields during which energy, among other things, can be transported from smaller to larger scales
- Helicity enhances this effect by creating an inverse cascade which results in much higher magnetic fields today compared to th non-helical case

- One possible scenario is the time evolution of primordial magnetic fields during which energy, among other things, can be transported from smaller to larger scales
- Helicity enhances this effect by creating an inverse cascade which results in much higher magnetic fields today compared to th non-helical case
- ▶ The expicit computation of the back-reaction of the magnetic field on the medium gives the result of a power-law behavior with $M_q \sim q^4$ (i.e. $B \sim q^{\frac{5}{2}}$) and $U_q \sim q^4$ and equipartition at large scales.

- One possible scenario is the time evolution of primordial magnetic fields during which energy, among other things, can be transported from smaller to larger scales
- Helicity enhances this effect by creating an inverse cascade which results in much higher magnetic fields today compared to th non-helical case
- ▶ The expicit computation of the back-reaction of the magnetic field on the medium gives the result of a power-law behavior with $M_q \sim q^4$ (i.e. $B \sim q^{\frac{5}{2}}$) and $U_q \sim q^4$ and equipartition at large scales.
- ► In the future comparison of the presented results from a semi-analytical approach with numerical simulations → Collaboration with Hamburg Observatory

- One possible scenario is the time evolution of primordial magnetic fields during which energy, among other things, can be transported from smaller to larger scales
- Helicity enhances this effect by creating an inverse cascade which results in much higher magnetic fields today compared to th non-helical case
- ▶ The expicit computation of the back-reaction of the magnetic field on the medium gives the result of a power-law behavior with $M_q \sim q^4$ (i.e. $B \sim q^{\frac{5}{2}}$) and $U_q \sim q^4$ and equipartition at large scales.
- ► In the future comparison of the presented results from a semi-analytical approach with numerical simulations → Collaboration with Hamburg Observatory

Biermann, L. (1950).

Über den Ursprung der Magnetfelder auf Sternen und im interstellaren Raum (mit einem Anhang von A. Schlüter). Zeitfschrift f. Naturforschung A, 5:65.

Junklewitz, H. and Enßlin, T. A. (2011). Imprints of Magnetic Power and Helicity Spectra on Radio Polarimetry Statistics.

Astron. Astrophys., 530:A88.

Neronov, A. and Semikoz, D. V. (2009). Sensitivity of γ -ray Telescopes for Detection of Magnetic Fields in the Intergalactic Medium. Phys. Rev. D, 80:123012.

Saveliev, A., Jedamzik, K., and Sigl, G. (2012). Time Evolution of the Large-Scale Tail of Non-Helical Primordial Magnetic Fields with Back-Reaction of the Turbulent Medium.

Phys. Rev. D, 86:103010.

Saveliev, A., Jedamzik, K., and Sigl, G. (2013). Evolution of Helical Cosmic Magnetic Fields as Predicted by Magnetohydrodynamic Closure Theory. *Phys. Rev. D*, 87:123001.

Sigl, G., Olinto, A. V., and Jedamzik, K. (1997). Primordial Magnetic Fields from Cosmological First Order Phase Transitions.

Phys. Rev. D, 55:4582-4590.

- Turner, M. S. and Widrow, L. M. (1988).
 Inflation-Produced, Large-Scale Magnetic Fields.
 Phys. Rev. D, 37:2743–2754.
- von Kármán, T. and Howarth, L. (1938).
 On the Statistical Theory of Isotropic Turbulence. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 164(917):192–215.

Zeldovich, Y. B., Ruzmaikin, D. D., and Sokoloff, D. D. (1980).

Magnetic Fields in Astrophysics. McGraw-Hill.