

Introduction to Theoretical Particle Physics

Jürgen R. Reuter

DESY Theory Group



Hamburg, 07-08/2013


Literature

- ▶ Georgi: Weak Interactions and Modern Particle Physics, Dover, 2009
- ▶ Quigg: Gauge Theories of the Strong, Weak, and Electromagnetic Interactions, Perseus 1997
- ▶ Peskin: An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory Addison Wesley, 1994
- ▶ Weinberg, The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol. I/II/(III) Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995-98
- ▶ Itzykson/Zuber, Quantum Field Theory, McGraw-Hill, 1980
- ▶ Böhm/Denner/Joos, Gauge Theories of Strong and Electroweak Interactions, Springer, 2000
- ▶ Kugo, Eichtheorie, Springer, 2000 (in German)
- ▶ ...and many more



"I have nothing to offer but blood, toil, tears and sweat."

...but it is fun, though....



Part I (Vorabend)

From Lagrangians to Feynman
Rules: Quantum Field Theory with
Hammer and Anvil

Why Quantum Field Theory?

- Subatomic realm: typical energies and length scales are of order $(\hbar c) \sim 200\text{MeV} \cdot \text{fm} \Rightarrow$ use of both **special relativity** and **quantum mechanics** mandatory
- Particles (quantum states) are created and destroyed, hence particle number not constant: beyond unitary time evolution of a single QM system
- Schrödinger propagator (time-evolution operator) violates microcausality
- Scattering on a potential well for relativistic wave equation leads to unitarity violation
- **Use quantized fields**: can be viewed as continuous limit of QM many-body system with many (discrete) degrees of freedom
- **Least Action Principle** leads to classical equations of motion (Euler-Lagrange equations)

$$S = \int dt L = \int dt d^3x \mathcal{L} = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi}}$$

What kind of fields?

- Classical wave equations must be Lorentz-covariant
- Action and Lagrangian (density) are Lorentz scalars
- Fields classified according to irreps of Lorentz group
- Simplest case: **Lorentz scalars** (real/complex), **Klein-Gordon equation**

$$\mathcal{L} = (\partial_\mu \phi)^* (\partial^\mu \phi) - m^2 |\phi|^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\square + m^2)\phi \equiv (\partial_t^2 - \vec{\nabla}^2 + m^2)\phi = 0$$

- **Spin 1/2 particles: Dirac equation**

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\Psi}(i\not{\partial} + m)\Psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad (i\not{\partial} - m)\Psi = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \not{\partial} \equiv a_\mu \gamma^\mu$$

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma^\mu = (\mathbb{1}, \vec{\sigma}) \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu = (\mathbb{1}, -\vec{\sigma}) \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1}$$

$$\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 \text{ is the chiral projector: } \mathcal{P}_{L/R} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp \gamma^5)$$

The Dawn of gauge theories

- Spin 1 particles: Maxwell's equations

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \text{ with } F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \quad \Rightarrow$$

Invariant under (local) gauge transformation, G :

$$A_\mu \longrightarrow A'_\mu = A_\mu - \frac{1}{g}(\partial_\mu G)G^{-1}$$

Electric and magnetic fields are defined via:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E} &= -\dot{\vec{A}} - \vec{\nabla} A_0 \\ \vec{B} &= \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} \end{aligned} \quad \boxed{\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0} \quad \rightarrow$$

$$\begin{cases} 0 = D_\mu F^{\mu 0} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} \\ 0 = D_\mu F^{\mu i} = -\dot{E}^i + (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B})^i \end{cases}$$

The Dawn of gauge theories

- Spin 1 particles: Maxwell's equations

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \text{ with } F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + g[A_\mu, A_\nu] \Rightarrow$$

Invariant under (local) gauge transformation, G :

$$A_\mu \longrightarrow A'_\mu = GA_\mu G^{-1} - \frac{1}{g}(\partial_\mu G)G^{-1}$$

Electric and magnetic fields are defined via:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E} &= -\dot{\vec{A}} - \vec{\nabla}A_0 - g[A_0, \vec{A}] \\ \vec{B} &= \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} - \frac{g}{2}[\vec{A} \times, \vec{A}] \end{aligned} \quad \boxed{\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = -ig[A_\mu, F^{\mu\nu}]} \rightarrow$$

$$\begin{cases} 0 = D_\mu F^{\mu 0} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} + g[\vec{A}, \vec{E}] \\ 0 = D_\mu F^{\mu i} = -\dot{E}^i + (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B})^i - g[A_0, E^i] + g[\vec{A} \times, \vec{B}]^i \end{cases}$$

...for the curious...

- Spin 3/2 particles: Rarita-Schwinger equation

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\Psi}_\mu \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu \partial_\rho \Psi_\nu - \frac{1}{4} m \bar{\Psi}_\mu [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] \Psi_\nu \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$m (\not{\partial} \gamma^\nu \Psi_\nu - \gamma^\nu \not{\partial} \Psi_\nu) = 0$$

$$\gamma^\mu \Psi_\mu = 0 \quad \partial^\mu \Psi_\mu = 0 \quad (i\not{\partial} - m) \Psi_\mu = 0$$

Leads only to sensible theory in supergravity

- Spin 2 particles: de Donder equation

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{c^4} \sqrt{|\det g|} R \quad \text{with } R = R^\mu{}_\nu{}^\nu{}_\mu \quad \text{and}$$

$$R^\rho{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\sigma}$$

$$\Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} (\partial_\mu g_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_\nu g_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma g_{\mu\nu}) \quad \text{define } g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{16\pi G_N} h_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\square (h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} h^\rho{}_\rho) = \partial_\mu \partial^\rho (h_{\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\rho\nu} h^\sigma{}_\sigma) + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$$

How to quantize a field (Analogous to QM)

- Field and its canonically conjugate momentum: $\pi = \partial\mathcal{L}/\partial\dot{\phi}$
- Canonically transform to the Hamiltonian of the system:

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\phi}} - \mathcal{L} \Big|_{\dot{\phi}=\dot{\phi}(\phi,\pi)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$$

- Impose **equal-time** commutation relations:

$$[\phi(\vec{x}), \pi(\vec{y})] = i\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \quad [\phi(\vec{x}), \phi(\vec{y})] = [\pi(\vec{x}), \pi(\vec{y})] = 0$$

- Creation and annihilation operators to diagonalize the Hamiltonian:

$$[a_p, a_{p'}^\dagger] = 2E_p(2\pi)^3\delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \quad [a_{\vec{p}}, a_{\vec{p}'}] = 0$$

- Heisenberg/interaction picture: (field) operators time dependent
- Creation/annihilation operators Fourier coefficients of field operators:

$$\phi(x) = \int \widetilde{d^3k} \left(a_k e^{-ik \cdot x} + a_k^\dagger e^{+ik \cdot x} \right) \quad \int \widetilde{d^3k} \equiv \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3(2E_k)}$$

- Hamiltonian is a sum of harmonic oscillators:

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} E_p (a_p^\dagger a_p + \text{const.})$$

- Infinite constant is abandoned by normal ordering renormalization

$$:\mathcal{H} := \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} E_p : a_p^\dagger a_p : \quad \text{Note:} \quad \langle 0| : \mathcal{O} : |0\rangle = 0$$

- **Creation operator** creates a 1-particle state with well-defined momentum (plane wave): $a_p^\dagger |0\rangle = |p\rangle$
- **Field operator** creates a 1-particle state at x : $\phi(x) |0\rangle = \int \widetilde{d}p e^{ip \cdot x} |p\rangle$
- Consider a complex field:

$$\phi(x) = \int \widetilde{d}k \left(a_k e^{-ik \cdot x} + b_k^\dagger e^{+ik \cdot x} \right), \quad \phi^\dagger(x) = \int \widetilde{d}k \left(b_k e^{-ik \cdot x} + a_k^\dagger e^{+ik \cdot x} \right)$$

Field operator: positive frequency modes ($e^{-ik \cdot x}$) have **annihilation operator for particles**, negative frequency modes ($e^{+ik \cdot x}$) have **creation operator for anti-particles**

a, b are independent, commute (independent Fourier components!)

- **Got rid of negative energies as in classical wave equations**

Microcausality and the Feynman propagator

- Amplitude for a particle to propagate from y to x :

$$D(x-y) \equiv \langle 0 | \phi(x) \phi(y) | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip(x-y)} \quad |\vec{x}-\vec{y}| \xrightarrow{\sim} \infty e^{-m|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|}$$

falls off exponentially outside light cone, but is **non-zero**

- Measurement is determined through the commutator:

$$[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = D(x-y) - D(y-x) = 0 \quad (\text{for } (x-y)^2 < 0)$$

- Cancellation of causality-violating effects by Feynman prescription:

- ▶ Particles propagated into the future (retarded)
- ▶ Antiparticles propagated into the past (advanced)

$$D_F(x-y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D(x-y) \text{ for } x^0 > y^0 \\ D(y-x) \text{ for } x^0 < y^0 \end{array} \right\} = \theta(x^0 - y^0) \langle 0 | \phi(x) \phi(y) | 0 \rangle \\ + \theta(y^0 - x^0) \langle 0 | \phi(y) \phi(x) | 0 \rangle \equiv \langle 0 | T [\phi(x) \phi(y)] | 0 \rangle$$

- Feynman propagator** (time-ordered product, causal Green's function)

$$D_F(x-y) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ip(x-y)} = \begin{array}{ccc} x & p \longrightarrow & y \\ \bullet & \text{-----} & \bullet \end{array}$$

$i\epsilon$ prescription


- Solution of wave equation by Fourier transform:

$$(\square + m^2)\phi(x) = i\delta^4(x - y) \quad \xrightarrow{\text{F.T.}}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2} = \frac{i}{(p^0 - E_p)(p^0 + E_p)}$$

with $E_p = +\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$

- Prescription tells you where to propagate in time:



$i\epsilon$ prescription


- Solution of wave equation by Fourier transform:

$$(\square + m^2)\phi(x) = i\delta^4(x - y) \quad \xrightarrow{\text{F.T.}}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} = \frac{i}{(p^0 - E_p + i\epsilon)(p^0 + E_p - i\epsilon)}$$

with $E_p = +\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$

- Prescription tells you where to propagate in time:



$i\epsilon$ prescription


- Solution of wave equation by Fourier transform:

$$(\square + m^2)\phi(x) = i\delta^4(x - y) \quad \xrightarrow{\text{F.T.}}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} = \frac{i}{(p^0 - E_p + i\epsilon)(p^0 + E_p - i\epsilon)}$$

with $E_p = +\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$

- Prescription tells you where to propagate in time:



Quantization of the Dirac field


- **Spin-statistics theorem** (Fierz/Lüders/Pauli, 1939/40):
 - ▶ Spin $0, 1, 2, \dots$: bosons \Rightarrow commutators
 - ▶ Spin $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$: fermions \Rightarrow anticommutators
- equal-time anticommutators: $\{\psi(x)_\alpha, \psi^\dagger(y)_\beta\} = \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y})\delta_{\alpha\beta}$
- Field operators:

$$\psi(x) = \int \widetilde{d}p \sum_s \left(a_p^s u^s(p) e^{-ipx} + b_p^{s\dagger} v^s(p) e^{+ipx} \right)$$

$$\bar{\psi}(x) = \int \widetilde{d}p \sum_s \left(a_p^{s\dagger} \bar{u}^s(p) e^{+ipx} + b_p^s \bar{v}^s(p) e^{-ipx} \right)$$

- **Feynman propagator** (time-ordered product, causal Green's function)


$$S_F(x-y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle 0 | \psi(x) \bar{\psi}(y) | 0 \rangle \text{ for } x^0 > y^0 \\ - \langle 0 | \bar{\psi}(y) \psi(x) | 0 \rangle \text{ for } x^0 < y^0 \end{array} \right\} = \langle 0 | T [\psi(x) \bar{\psi}(y)] | 0 \rangle$$

$$S_F(x-y) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i(\not{p} + m)}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ip(x-y)} =$$


The diagram shows a horizontal line representing a fermion propagator. The left end is labeled 'x' and the right end is labeled 'y'. A black arrow points from 'x' to 'y', and above the arrow is the label 'p', indicating the direction and momentum of the fermion.

The rocky road from the S matrix to cross sections

- 4D QFTs without interactions exactly solvable, otherwise *not*




- **Idea:** For scattering process sharply located in space-time, use:
 - ▶ Asymptotically free quantum state for $t \rightarrow -\infty$
 - ▶ Interaction described completely local in space-time
 - ▶ Asymptotically free quantum state for $t \rightarrow +\infty$
- General axioms of QFT:
 1. All eigenvalues of P^μ are in the forward lightcone
 2. There is a Poincaré-invariant vacuum state $|0\rangle$
 3. For every particle there is 1-particle state $|p\rangle$
 4. Asymptotic fields are free fields whose creation operators span a Fock space (asymptotic completeness)
- Use the (Källén-Lehmann) spectral representation:

$$\text{F.T. } \langle 0 | \mathbb{T} [\Phi(x)\Phi(y)] | 0 \rangle = \int_0^\infty d\mu^2 \rho(\mu^2) \frac{i}{p^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{iZ}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} + \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} d\mu^2 \rho(\mu^2) \frac{i}{p^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon}$$

Z : Wave function renormalization, m : renormalized mass



- Asymptotic LSZ (Lehmann-Symanzik-Zimmermann) condition:

Heisenberg fields of full theory are asymptotically free fields (up to wave function and mass renormalization)

$$\Phi(x) \xrightarrow{x^0 \rightarrow -\infty} \sqrt{Z} \phi_{in}(x) \quad \Phi(x) \xrightarrow{x^0 \rightarrow +\infty} \sqrt{Z} \phi_{out}(x)$$

- Asymptotic fields obey free field equations! \Rightarrow Simple Fock spaces

$$\mathcal{V}_{in} = \left\{ a_{in,k_1}^\dagger a_{in,k_2}^\dagger \cdots a_{in,k_n}^\dagger |0\rangle \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{out} = \left\{ a_{in,k_1}^\dagger a_{in,k_2}^\dagger \cdots a_{in,k_n}^\dagger |0\rangle \right\}$$

Assumption: $\mathcal{V}_{in} \equiv \mathcal{V}_{out} \equiv \mathcal{V}$

The S -Matrix

Wheeler, 1939; Heisenberg 1943

- Scattering probability from initial to final state: $\text{Prob.} = |\langle \beta_{out} | \alpha_{in} \rangle|^2$
- S -Matrix transforms *in* into *out* states: $\langle \beta_{out} | \alpha_{in} \rangle = \langle \beta_{in} | S | \alpha_{in} \rangle$
 1. S -Matrix is unitary (prob. conserv.): $S^\dagger S = S S^\dagger = \mathbb{1}$
 2. Transforms asymptotic field operators: $\phi_{out}(x) = S^\dagger \phi_{in}(x) S$
 3. S -matrix invariant under symmetries: $[Q, S] = 0$

► Four major steps to calculate scattering cross sections

1. **LSZ formula:** S -matrix as n -point Green's functions of full theory
2. **Gell-Mann–Low formula:** Green's functions of full theory expressed by perturbation series of free field Green's functions
3. **Wick's theorem, Feynman rules** (and elimination of vacuum bubbles)
4. **Phase space integration:** scattering cross section from S -matrix elements

Step 1: LSZ formula

Lehmann/Symanzik/Zimmermann, 1955


Idea:

- ▶ For $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ asymptotic fields are free
- ▶ Project out creation operators from free field operators by inverse Fourier transform
- ▶ S -Matrix element is (upto normaliz.) **Green's function multi-particle pole**
- ▶ One gets tis pole by **amputation of external legs** of the Green's function

$$\langle p_1, \dots, p_n, out | q_1, \dots, q_l, in \rangle = \langle p_1, \dots, p_n, in | S | q_1, \dots, q_l, in \rangle =$$

$$(\text{disconn. terms}) + \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{Z}} \right)^{n+l} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \int d^4 y_i e^{ip_i y_i} (\square_{y_i} + m_i^2) \right) \cdot$$

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^l \int d^4 x_j e^{-iq_j x_j} (\square_{x_j} + m_j^2) \right) \langle 0 | T [\Phi(y_1) \Phi(y_2) \dots \Phi(x_l)] | 0 \rangle =$$



- Yields Feynman rules for external particles: 1P on-shell wavefunctions

Step 1: LSZ formula

Lehmann/Symanzik/Zimmermann, 1955


Idea:

- ▶ For $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ asymptotic fields are free
- ▶ Project out creation operators from free field operators by inverse Fourier transform
- ▶ S -Matrix element is (upto normaliz.) **Green's function multi-particle pole**
- ▶ One gets tis pole by **amputation of external legs** of the Green's function

$$\langle p_1, \dots, p_n, out | q_1, \dots, q_l, in \rangle = \langle p_1, \dots, p_n, in | S | q_1, \dots, q_l, in \rangle =$$

$$(\text{disconn. terms}) + \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{Z}} \right)^{n+l} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \int d^4 y_i e^{ip_i y_i} (\square_{y_i} + m_i^2) \right) \cdot$$

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^l \int d^4 x_j e^{-iq_j x_j} (\square_{x_j} + m_j^2) \right) \langle 0 | T [\Phi(y_1) \Phi(y_2) \dots \Phi(x_l)] | 0 \rangle =$$



- Yields Feynman rules for external particles: 1P on-shell wavefunctions

Step 2: Gell-Mann–Low formula

Gell-Mann/Low, 1951

- ▶ Use: **field** and **time evolution operators** in the **interaction picture**
- ▶ Transform fields of the full theory into asymptotically free fields asymptotically free fields
- ▶ Solve the Schrödinger equation of **IA picture time-evolution operator** as a (time-ordered) perturbation series

$$U(t) = \text{T} \left[\exp \left(-i \int_{-\infty}^t dt' H_{int}(t') \right) \right]$$

- ▶ Time evolution of field operators and also vacuum state (vacuum polarization!) $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{kinetic} + \mathcal{L}_{int}$

$$\langle 0 | \text{T} [\Phi(x_1) \dots \Phi(x_n)] | 0 \rangle = \frac{\langle 0 | \text{T} [\phi_{in}(x_1) \dots \phi_{in}(x_n) \exp(i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{int}[\phi_{in}(x)])] | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | \text{T} [\exp(i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{int}[\phi_{in}(x)])] | 0 \rangle}$$

Step 2: Gell-Mann–Low formula

Gell-Mann/Low, 1951

- ▶ Use: **field** and **time evolution operators** in the **interaction picture**
- ▶ Transform fields of the full theory into asymptotically free fields asymptotically free fields
- ▶ Solve the Schrödinger equation of **IA picture time-evolution operator** as a (time-ordered) perturbation series

$$U(t) = \text{T} \left[\exp \left(-i \int_{-\infty}^t dt' H_{int}(t') \right) \right] \quad \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} \quad S$$

- ▶ Time evolution of field operators and also vacuum state (vacuum polarization!) $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{kinetic} + \mathcal{L}_{int}$

$$\langle 0 | \text{T} [\Phi(x_1) \dots \Phi(x_n)] | 0 \rangle = \frac{\langle 0 | \text{T} [\phi_{in}(x_1) \dots \phi_{in}(x_n) \exp(i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{int}[\phi_{in}(x)])] | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | \text{T} [\exp(i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{int}[\phi_{in}(x)])] | 0 \rangle}$$

Step 3: Wick's theorem, Feynman Rules

Wick, 1950

- Task is to calculate **VEV** of time-ordered product of free fields:

$$\langle 0 | T [\phi(x_1) \phi(x_2) \dots \phi(x_n)] | 0 \rangle \quad (\text{Note: } \mathcal{L}_{int}[\phi(x)] \text{ is a polynomial of free fields!})$$

- Decompose fields in annihilator (pos. freq.) and creator (neg. freq.) part to show $T[\phi(x)\phi(y)] = : \phi(x)\phi(y) : + D_F(x-y)$
- Wick's theorem** (proof by induction) $\phi_i := \phi(x_i)$ etc.


$$T[\phi_1 \dots \phi_n] = : \phi_1 \dots \phi_n : + : \phi_1 \dots \phi_{n-2} : D_{F,n-1,n} + \text{permut.}$$

$$: \phi_1 \dots \phi_{n-4} : \left[D_{F,n-3,n-2} D_{F,n-1,n} + D_{F,n-3,n-1} D_{F,n-2,n} \right. \\ \left. + D_{F,n-3,n} D_{F,n-2,n-1} \right] + \text{perm.} + \dots + \text{product of only Feynman propagators}$$

- $\Rightarrow \langle 0 | T[\phi_1 \dots \phi_n] | 0 \rangle = \prod_{\text{all perm.}} D_{F,i,j}$ for example:

$$\langle 0 | T[\phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \phi_4] | 0 \rangle = D_{F,12} D_{F,34}$$

$$+ D_{F,13} D_{F,24} + D_{F,14} D_{F,23} =$$



- Signs from fermion anticommutations arise from Wick's theorem!**

Feynman rules (position space)

Example for $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)(\partial^\mu\phi) - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4$

– Propagator



$$D_F(x - y)$$

– Vertex



$$(-i\lambda) \int d^4z$$


– external point



$$\exp[-ipx \cdot \text{sgn}(in/out)]$$

– divide by the
symmetry factor

- Note: Position integrals correspond to **QM superposition principle**
- Example for symmetry factor:



yields a symmetry factor 3!

Feynman rules (momentum space)

– Propagator



$$i/(p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)$$

– Vertex



$$-i\lambda$$

– external point



$$1 \text{ (or } u, v \text{ etc.)}$$

– momentum conservation

at each vertex

– integrate over

$$\int d^4p/(2\pi)^4$$

undetermined momenta

– divide by symmetry fac.

- Note: Momentum integrals correspond to **QM superposition principle**

Example in $\lambda\phi^3$ theory:

Mandelstam variables: $s \equiv (p_1 + p_2)^2$ $t \equiv (p_1 - p_3)^2$ $u \equiv (p_1 - p_4)^2$



+



+




=

$$(-i\lambda)^2 \left(\frac{i}{s-m^2} + \frac{i}{t-m^2} + \frac{i}{u-m^2} \right)$$

Bubbles, bubbles, vacuum bubbles

- Vacuum bubbles are infinite diagrams (Fermion loops: (-1))



$$\sim (\delta^4(p))^2 \longrightarrow (2T) \cdot (\text{Vol})$$

- Vacuum bubbles in the numerator and denominator of Gell-Mann–Low formula
- Symmetry factors \Rightarrow vacuum bubbles exponentiate
- **Just a normalization factor: cancels out**


$$\langle 0 | T [\Phi(x) \Phi(y)] | 0 \rangle = \frac{\langle 0 | T [\phi(x) \phi(y) \exp(-i \int dt H_{int}(t))] | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | T [\exp(-i \int dt H_{int}(t))] | 0 \rangle} =$$

$$\left(\overset{x}{\bullet} \text{---} \overset{y}{\bullet} + \overset{x}{\bullet} \text{---} \text{---} \overset{y}{\bullet} + \overset{x}{\bullet} \text{---} \text{---} \overset{y}{\bullet} + \dots \right) \exp \left[\text{---} + \text{---} + \text{---} + \dots \right]$$

$$\exp \left[\text{---} + \text{---} + \text{---} + \dots \right]$$

Bubbles, bubbles, vacuum bubbles

- Vacuum bubbles are infinite diagrams (Fermion loops: (-1))



$$\sim (\delta^4(p))^2 \longrightarrow (2T) \cdot (\text{Vol})$$

- Vacuum bubbles in the numerator and denominator of Gell-Mann–Low formula
- Symmetry factors \Rightarrow vacuum bubbles exponentiate
- **Just a normalization factor: cancels out**

$$\langle 0 | T [\Phi(x) \Phi(y)] | 0 \rangle = \frac{\langle 0 | T [\phi(x) \phi(y) \exp(-i \int dt H_{int}(t))] | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | T [\exp(-i \int dt H_{int}(t))] | 0 \rangle} =$$

$$\frac{\left(\overset{x}{\bullet} \text{---} \overset{y}{\bullet} + \overset{x}{\bullet} \text{---} \text{---} \overset{y}{\bullet} + \overset{x}{\bullet} \text{---} \text{---} \overset{y}{\bullet} + \dots \right) \exp \left[\text{---} + \text{---} + \text{---} + \dots \right]}{\exp \left[\text{---} + \text{---} + \text{---} + \dots \right]}$$

The diagrammatic representation shows the numerator as a sum of diagrams with external lines and vacuum bubbles, and the denominator as a sum of vacuum bubbles. The entire expression is shown to be equivalent to the sum of diagrams with external lines only, as the vacuum bubble contributions cancel out.

Fermion lines

- Signs from disentangling field operator contractions:

$$\langle 0 | \overline{b\psi}(x) \psi(x) \overline{\psi}(y) \psi(y) \overline{\psi}(z) \psi(z) \overline{b^\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$

$$p + k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = q \quad \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \quad | \\ k_3 \quad k_2 \quad k_1 \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \longleftarrow \quad \longleftarrow \quad \longleftarrow \quad \longrightarrow \\ p_1 \quad p_2 \quad p \end{array} =$$

$$\bar{u}(p) \frac{i(\not{p} + \not{k}_1 + m)}{(p + k_1)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{i(\not{p} + \not{k}_1 + \not{k}_2 + m)}{(p + k_1 + k_2)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} u(q)$$

- External fermions:

$\longrightarrow \textcircled{/}$	$u^s(p)$
$\longleftarrow \textcircled{/}$	$\bar{v}^s(p)$
$\textcircled{/} \longrightarrow$	$\bar{u}^s(p)$
$\textcircled{/} \longleftarrow$	$v^s(p)$

Step 4: Phase Space Integration and Cross Sections

- Number N of scattering events for
2 particle beams with particle densities $\rho_{1,2}$, relative velocity v (V scattering volume, T scattering time)

$$N = V \cdot T \cdot \rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 \cdot v \cdot \sigma$$

- Constant of proportionality: **cross section**
- effective scattering area (e.g. geometric scattering $\sigma = \pi r^2$)
- How to get the cross section?
 1. Probability to get any final state $|n\rangle$ from initial state $|\alpha\rangle$: $\sum_n |\langle n|S|\alpha\rangle|^2$
 2. Project on a specific final state
 3. Use Fermi's Golden Rule
 4. Momentum integral from projection becomes phase space integral over final-state momenta

Flux factor, invariant matrix element, phase space measure

$$d\sigma_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{\beta\alpha}|^2}{4\sqrt{(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \widetilde{d}p_i \right) (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n q_i)$$

- Analogous decay width Γ of a particle (Life time $\tau = 1/\Gamma$)


$$d\Gamma_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{\beta\alpha}|^2}{2m_\alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \widetilde{dp}_i \right) (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p - \sum_{i=1}^n q_i)$$

- $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering depends only on \sqrt{s} and $\cos \theta$:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2 s} \frac{|\vec{q}_{out}|}{|\vec{p}_{in}|} |\mathcal{M}_{\beta\alpha}|^2$$

- Simple example, $\lambda\phi^4$ theory:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\beta\alpha} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \cdot \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array}^{-i\lambda} \Rightarrow |\mathcal{M}_{\beta\alpha}|^2 = \lambda^2 \Rightarrow \sigma = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{s}$$



- Analogous decay width Γ of a particle (Life time $\tau = 1/\Gamma$)


$$d\Gamma_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{\beta\alpha}|^2}{2m_\alpha} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \widetilde{dp}_i \right) (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p - \sum_{i=1}^n q_i)$$

- $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering depends only on \sqrt{s} and $\cos \theta$:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2 s} |\mathcal{M}_{\beta\alpha}|^2 \quad \text{all masses equal}$$

- Simple example, $\lambda\phi^4$ theory:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\beta\alpha} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \cdot \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array}^{-i\lambda} \Rightarrow |\mathcal{M}_{\beta\alpha}|^2 = \lambda^2 \Rightarrow \sigma = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{s}$$



Quantization of the Electromagnetic Field

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - j_\mu A^\mu$$

- Euler-Lagrange equation $\square A^\mu - \partial^\mu(\partial \cdot A) = j^\mu$ invariant under **gauge transformation**: $A^\mu(x) \rightarrow A^\mu(x) + \partial^\mu f(x)$
- Gauge invariance makes life hard (but for the wise easy!)
 - ▶ \dot{A}_0 absent \Rightarrow no Π^0
 - ▶ Kinetic term is singular, hence not invertible
 - ▶ $[A_\mu(\vec{x}), A_\nu(\vec{y})] = -i\eta_{\mu\nu}\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y})$ leads to negative and zero norm states on Fock space!

$$A_\mu(x) = \int \widetilde{d^3k} \sum_{\lambda=0}^3 \left(a_k^{(\lambda)} \epsilon_\mu^{(\lambda)}(k) e^{-ipx} + a_k^{(\lambda)\dagger} \epsilon_\mu^{(\lambda)*}(k) e^{+ipx} \right)$$

- **Solution: gauge fixing:** $\partial \cdot A = 0$
 - ▶ Physical states $|\alpha\rangle$: Transversal polarizations ($\epsilon(k) \cdot k = 0$)
 - ▶ Unphysical states $|\chi\rangle$: longitudinal (space-like) pol./ scalar (time-like) pol.
 - ▶ Physical Fock space $\{|\alpha\rangle\}$ contains only positive-norm states
 - ▶ $|\alpha\rangle \rightarrow |\alpha\rangle + |\chi\rangle$ just corresponds to a gauge transformation
 - ▶ **Gupta-Bleuler quantization:** $\langle \alpha | (\partial \cdot A) | \beta \rangle = 0$

Quantum Electrodynamics (QED)


► Local (gauge) transformations

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \exp[ieQ_{el}\theta(x)]\psi(x) \quad A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{e}\partial_\mu\theta(x)$$

► Derivative terms are no longer invariant \Rightarrow covariant derivatives

$$\partial_\mu\psi_f \rightarrow D_{\mu,f}\psi_f = (\partial_\mu - ieQ_f A_\mu)\psi_f$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{QED} = \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f (i\not{D}_f - m_f) \psi_f - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$



Ward identities: gauge invariance for the wise


- ▶ Noether theorem: continuous symmetry implies a conserved current where the conserved charge is the symmetry generator

$$\partial_\mu \mathcal{J}^\mu = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q := \int d^3x \mathcal{J}^0(\vec{x}) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{d}{dt} Q = 0$$


$$[iQ, \phi(x)] = \delta\phi(x) \quad \text{symmetry of the Lagrangian}$$

- ▶ Current conservation implies **Ward identities**: (contact terms vanish on-shell)
 $0 = \partial_x^\mu \langle 0 | T [\mathcal{J}(x) \phi_1(x_1) \dots \phi_n(x_n)] | 0 \rangle$

- ▶ Generalization for off-shell amplitudes (and also non-Abelian gauge theories):
Slavnov-Taylor identities



$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$: A sample calculation



$$\mathcal{M} = ie^2 Q_e Q_\mu (\bar{u}(q_1) \gamma^\mu v(q_2)) \frac{1}{s} (\bar{v}(p_2) \gamma_\mu u(p_1))$$

- ▶ Square the matrix element, sum over final state spins, average over initial spins

$$\text{Spin sums: } \sum_{s=\pm} u_\alpha^s(p) \bar{u}_\beta^s(p) = (\not{p} + m \mathbb{1})_{\alpha\beta} \quad \sum_{s=\pm} v_\alpha^s(p) \bar{v}_\beta^s(p) = (\not{p} - m \mathbb{1})_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Use } \sum_{r,s} (\bar{u}^r(p_1) \gamma_\mu v(p_2)^s) (\bar{u}^r(p_1) \gamma_\nu v^s(p_2))^* &= \sum_{r,s} (\bar{u}^r(p_1) \gamma_\mu v^s(p_2)) (\bar{v}^r(p_2) \gamma_\nu u^s(p_1)) \\ &= \sum_{r,s} \text{tr} [(\bar{u}^r(p_1) \gamma_\mu v^s(p_2)) (\bar{v}^r(p_2) \gamma_\nu u^s(p_1))] = \text{tr} [(\not{p}_1 + m) \gamma_\mu (\not{p}_2 - m) \gamma_\nu] \\ &= 4(p_{1,\mu} p_{2,\nu} + p_{1,\nu} p_{2,\mu}) - 2s\eta_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Neglect all masses:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [4(p_{1,\mu}p_{2,\nu} + p_{1,\nu}p_{2,\mu}) - 2s\eta_{\mu\nu}] [4(q_{1,\mu}q_{2,\nu} + q_{1,\nu}q_{2,\mu}) - 2s\eta_{\mu\nu}] \\
 & = 32(q_1p_1)(q_2p_2) + 32(q_1p_2)(q_2p_1) = 8(t^2 + u^2) = 4s^2(1 + \cos^2\theta)
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma &= \int d\Omega \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2 s} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,r} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \\
 &= \frac{e^4}{32\pi^2 s} \frac{1}{2} (2\pi) \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos\theta) (1 + \cos^2\theta) = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{3s}
 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Sommerfeld's fine structure constant $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi) \sim 1/137$
- ▶ Result:


$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s}$$

Part II (1. Abend)

The Mighty Valkyrie: Non-Abelian Gauge Theories and Renormalization

Quantum (a.k.a. radiative) corrections

- **Real corrections:** radiation of photons etc.
- **Virtual (loop) corrections**



Self energies		Vacuum polarization	
Vertex corrections		Box diagrams	


- Example: e^- anomalous magnetic moment $g \bar{\psi} \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] F_{\mu\nu} \psi$
Dirac theory tree level: $g = 2$ $\alpha := e^2/4\pi$

$$g = 2 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right) = 2.00232282$$

Experimentally: $g = (2.00231930436222 \pm 0.000000000000148)$

Ultraviolet and infrared (mass) singularities


▶ Ultraviolet singularities (in loops)



$$\begin{aligned}
 & := -i\Sigma_{\mu\nu}(k) = -e^2 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\text{tr}[\gamma_\mu(\not{q}+m)\gamma_\nu(\not{q}+\not{k}+m)]}{(q^2-m^2)((q+k)^2-m^2)} \\
 & \longrightarrow \int \frac{d^4q}{q^4} \{1, q, q^2\} \sim \int dq \{q^{-1}, 1, q\}
 \end{aligned}$$

⇒ Logarithmic, linear, quadratic divergencies

▶ Collinear and soft (mass or infrared) singularities



$$\begin{aligned}
 & \longrightarrow \frac{1}{(p+k)^2 - m^2} = \frac{1}{2p \cdot k} \\
 & = \frac{1}{2E_e E_\gamma (1 - \beta \cos \theta)} \quad \text{with} \quad \beta = p_e/E_e \sim 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Collinear singularity: $\cos \theta \rightarrow 1$ Soft singularity: $E_\gamma \rightarrow 0$

Dimensional Regularization

- Divergent integrals need to be cast in a finite form to be treated
- Analytical continuation of
 - loop integrals to $D = 4 - 2\epsilon < 4$ for **ultraviolet singularities**
 - phase space integrals to $D = 4 + 2\epsilon > 4$ for **soft/collinear singularities**
- Keep dimensionality of integrals \Rightarrow unphysical scale μ

$$\int \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mu^{4-D} \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D}$$

- E.g. **QED vacuum polarization:**


$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu}(k) = \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \right) (k^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu k_\nu) \int_0^1 dx x(1-x) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \ln(4\pi) - \ln \frac{-x(1-x)k^2 + m^2}{\mu^2} \right]$$

($\gamma_E = 0.577 \dots$ Euler constant)

$$\Delta := 1/\epsilon - \gamma_E + \ln(4\pi)$$

Power Counting

- Question: Which Feynman diagrams do contain UV divergencies?



$$\sim \int \frac{d^4 k_1 d^4 k_2 \dots dk_L}{(\not{k}_i - m) \dots (k_j^2) \dots (k_n^2)} \sim \frac{k^{\# \text{ Numerator}}}{k^{\# \text{ Denominator}}}$$

- Define **superficial degree of divergence \mathcal{D}** (in D dimensions)

$$\mathcal{D} = (\# \text{ Numerator}) - (\# \text{ Denominator}) = D \cdot L - I_e - 2I_\gamma$$

$E_e = \#$ external e^\pm , $E_\gamma = \#$ extern. γ , $I_e = \#$ internal e^\pm , $I_\gamma = \#$ intern. γ , $V = \#$ vertices, $L = \#$ loops








- Fermion propagators contribute k^{-1} , bosons k^{-2}
- Vertices with n derivatives contribute k^n
- Euler identity:** $L = I_e + I_\gamma - V + 1$ (by induction)
- Simple line count: $V = 2I_\gamma + E_\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(2I_e + E_e)$

- Power counting master formula:**

$$\mathcal{D} = D(I_e + I_\gamma - V + 1) - I_e - 2I_\gamma = D - E_\gamma - \frac{3}{2}E_e$$








Depends only on number of external lines

QED Singularities – Classification of QFTs

	$\mathcal{D} = 4$ (irrelevant, normalization)		$\mathcal{D} = 3$ = 0 (Furry's theorem)
	$\mathcal{D} = 2$ $\mathcal{D} = 0$, Ward id., $k^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu k_\nu$		$\mathcal{D} = 1$ = 0 (Furry's theorem)
	$\mathcal{D} = 0$ finite, Ward identity		$\mathcal{D} = 1$ $\mathcal{D} = 0$ (chirality)
	$\mathcal{D} = 0$	$\mathcal{D} = 4 - E_\gamma - \frac{3}{2}E_e$	

Superrenormalizable Theory	$\mathcal{D} \sim -I$	Only finite # of (sup.) divergent graphs
Renormalizable Theory	$\mathcal{D} \sim 0 \cdot I$	Finite # of (sup.) div. ampl., but at all orders of pert. series
Non-Renormalizable Theory	$\mathcal{D} \sim +I$	all amplitudes of sufficiently high order diverge

QED Singularities – Classification of QFTs

	$\mathcal{D} = 4$ (irrelevant, normalization)		$\mathcal{D} = 3$ = 0 (Furry's theorem)
	$\mathcal{D} = 2$ $\mathcal{D} = 0$, Ward id., $k^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu k_\nu$		$\mathcal{D} = 1$ = 0 (Furry's theorem)
	$\mathcal{D} = 0$ finite, Ward identity		$\mathcal{D} = 1$ $\mathcal{D} = 0$ (chirality)
	$\mathcal{D} = 0$	$\mathcal{D} = 4 - E_\gamma - \frac{3}{2}E_e$	

Superrenormalizable Theory	$\mathcal{D} \sim -I$	Coupling constants have positive mass dimension
Renormalizable Theory	$\mathcal{D} \sim 0 \cdot I$	Coupling constants are dimensionless
Non-Renormalizable Theory	$\mathcal{D} \sim +I$	Coupling constants have negative mass dimension

Renormalization

- In a renormalizable theory infinities cancel in the calculation of physical observables!
- Example: UV divergence of vertex correction and Z_e cancel
- Infinities cancel: there are finite shifts in physical parameters ($g - 2!$)
- Easier calculational prescription: **Renormalized perturbation theory**

- ▶ Express Lagrangian of bare fields and parameters

$\mathcal{L}(\phi_0, m_0, \lambda_0) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_0)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_0^2\phi_0^2 - \frac{\lambda_0}{4!}\phi_0^4$ by renormalized ones:

- ▶ $\phi^0 = \sqrt{Z}\phi_{ren}$. eliminates wave function factor
- ▶ Expand parameters/fields $\delta_Z = Z - 1$, $\delta m = m_0^2 Z - m^2$, $\delta\lambda = \lambda_0 Z^2 - \lambda$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_{ren})^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi_{ren}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi_{ren}^4 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_Z(\partial\phi_{ren})^2 - \frac{1}{2}\delta m\phi_{ren}^2 - \frac{\delta\lambda}{4!}\phi_{ren}^4$$


- ▶ This generates counterterms, e.g.

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \bullet \\ \diagdown \end{array} = -i\lambda \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \otimes \\ \diagdown \end{array} = -i\delta\lambda$$

- Loop diagrams and counterterms added up are finite!
- Counterterms are not unique: on-shell scheme, $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme


KLN: Discarding mass singularities

- Soft/collinear singularities only appear for (quasi) **massless particles**
- They appear both in **real diagrams** ($Q^2 = \vec{k}_{\perp, max}^2$)




$$d\sigma^{e \rightarrow \gamma R}(p, p_R) \sim \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{m_e^2}\right) \int_0^1 dx \frac{1+x^2}{1-x} d\sigma^{e \rightarrow R}(xp, p_R) + \dots$$

and **virtual diagrams**



$$\delta Z_e \sim -\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{m_e^2}\right) \int_0^1 dx (1-x) + \text{non-log terms}$$



$$d\sigma_{virt.}^{e \rightarrow \gamma R}(p, p_R) \sim -\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{m_e^2}\right) d\sigma^{e \rightarrow R}(xp, p_R) \int_0^1 dx \frac{x}{1-x} + \dots$$

soft singularity: $m_e \rightarrow 0$ **collinear singularity:** $x \rightarrow 1$

Soft-coll. singularities cancel between the three contributions

- Splitting function: $P_{ee} = (1+x^2)/(1-x)$ (cf. later)

KLN Theorem

Bloch/Nordsieck, 1937; Kinoshita 1962, Lee/Nauenberg, 1964

Unitarity guarantees that transition amplitudes are finite when summing over all degenerate states in initial and final state, order by order in perturbation theory (or for renormalization schemes free of mass sing.)

Renormalization group and running couplings

- **High-momentum modes** \equiv **short-distance quantum fluctuations**
- Fourier integrating over these $\lambda\Lambda < |k| < \Lambda$ modes (path integral)

Consider $S = \int d^D x \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - B\phi^4 \right)$ Rescale:

$$x' = \lambda x \quad k' = k/\lambda \sim \mu \quad \phi' = \sqrt{\lambda^{2-D}(1 + \delta Z)}\phi \quad (0 < \lambda < 1)$$

Integrating out generates higher-dimensional operators, e.g.



$$\sim D \cdot \phi^6$$

- Effect is a shift in the masses, parameters, and field normalization (hence a **renormalization**)

$$\int d^D x \mathcal{L} \Big|_{\mu < \lambda\Lambda} = \int d^D x' \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi')^2 - \frac{1}{2}m'^2\phi'^2 - B'\phi'^4 - C'(\partial\phi')^4 - D'\phi'^6 + \dots \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} m'^2 &= (m^2 + \delta m^2)(1 + \delta Z)^{-1}\lambda^{-2} \\ B' &= (B + \delta B)(1 + \delta Z)^{-2}\lambda^{D-4} \\ C' &= (C + \delta C)(1 + \delta Z)^{-2}\lambda^D \\ D' &= (D + \delta D)(1 + \delta Z)^{-3}\lambda^{2D-6} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Close to a fix point: $m^2, B, C, D, \dots = 0$
- ▶ Keep only linear terms
- ▶ **Relevant operators**
- ▶ **Marginal operators**
- ▶ **Irrelevant operators**

Renormalization group and running couplings

- **High-momentum modes** \equiv **short-distance quantum fluctuations**
- Fourier integrating over these $\lambda\Lambda < |k| < \Lambda$ modes (path integral)

Consider $S = \int d^D x \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - B\phi^4 \right)$ Rescale:

$$x' = \lambda x \quad k' = k/\lambda \sim \mu \quad \phi' = \sqrt{\lambda^{2-D}(1 + \delta Z)}\phi \quad (0 < \lambda < 1)$$

Integrating out generates higher-dimensional operators, e.g.



$$\sim D \cdot \phi^6$$

- Effect is a shift in the masses, parameters, and field normalization (hence a **renormalization**)

$$\int d^D x \mathcal{L} \Big|_{\mu < \lambda\Lambda} = \int d^D x' \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi')^2 - \frac{1}{2}m'^2\phi'^2 - B'\phi'^4 - C'(\partial\phi')^4 - D'\phi'^6 + \dots \right]$$

$$m'^2 = m^2\lambda^{-2} \quad \text{grows for } E \rightarrow 0$$

$$B' = B\lambda^{D-4} \quad \text{const. for } E \rightarrow 0$$

$$C' = C\lambda^D \quad \text{shrinks for } E \rightarrow 0$$

$$D' = D\lambda^{2D-6} \quad \text{shrinks for } E \rightarrow 0$$

▶ Close to a fix point: $m^2, B, C, D, \dots = 0$

▶ Keep only linear terms

▶ **Relevant operators**

▶ **Marginal operators**

▶ **Irrelevant operators**

Renormalization group and running couplings

- **High-momentum modes** \equiv **short-distance quantum fluctuations**
- Fourier integrating over these $\lambda\Lambda < |k| < \Lambda$ modes (path integral)

Consider $S = \int d^D x \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - B\phi^4 \right)$ Rescale:

$$x' = \lambda x \quad k' = k/\lambda \sim \mu \quad \phi' = \sqrt{\lambda^{2-D}(1 + \delta Z)}\phi \quad (0 < \lambda < 1)$$

Integrating out generates higher-dimensional operators, e.g.



$$\sim D \cdot \phi^6$$

- Effect is a shift in the masses, parameters, and field normalization (hence a **renormalization**)

$$\int d^D x \mathcal{L} \Big|_{\mu < \lambda\Lambda} = \int d^D x' \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi')^2 - \frac{1}{2}m'^2\phi'^2 - B'\phi'^4 - C'(\partial\phi')^4 - D'\phi'^6 + \dots \right]$$

$$m'^2 = m^2\lambda^{-2} \quad \text{grows for } E \rightarrow 0$$

$$B' = B\lambda^{D-4} \quad \text{const. for } E \rightarrow 0$$

$$C' = C\lambda^D \quad \text{shrinks for } E \rightarrow 0$$

$$D' = D\lambda^{2D-6} \quad \text{shrinks for } E \rightarrow 0$$

▶ Close to a fix point: $m^2, B, C, D, \dots = 0$

▶ Keep only linear terms

▶ **Superrenormalizable theory**

▶ **Renormalizable theory**

▶ **Nonrenormalizable theory**

Renormalization Group Equation

Wilson, 1971; Callan, Symanzik, 1970

- Bare Green's function do not depend on renormalization scale μ

$$0 = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} G^{(n)}(g_0, m_0, \text{reg.}) = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \left\{ \left[Z^{-n/2} \cdot G_{ren}^{(n)} \right] (g(g_0, m_0, \mu), m(g_0, m_0, \mu), \mu, \text{reg.}) \right\}$$

$$\left[\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \mu \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial g} - \left(-\frac{1}{m} \mu \frac{\partial m}{\partial \mu} \right) m \frac{\partial}{\partial m} - n \left(-\frac{1}{2Z} \mu \frac{dZ}{d\mu} \right) \right] G_{ren}^{(n)} = 0$$


- $\beta(g, m, \mu) = \partial g / \partial (\ln \mu)$ β (RG) function
- $\beta(g, m, \mu) = -\partial (\ln m) / \partial (\ln \mu)$ anomalous mass dimension
- $\gamma(g, m, \mu) = -\frac{1}{2} d(\ln Z) / d(\ln \mu)$ anomalous dimension

Typical one-loop values: $\beta(g) = \beta_0 \frac{g^3}{16\pi^2}$ $\gamma_m(g) = \gamma_{m,0} \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}$ $\gamma(g) = \gamma_0 \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}$

- Solution of RG equation:

$$\frac{dg}{d \ln \mu} = \frac{\beta_0 g^3}{16\pi^2} \Rightarrow$$

$$g^2(\mu) = \frac{g^2(\mu_0)}{1 - \frac{g^2(\mu_0)}{8\pi^2} \beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\right)}$$



Renormalization Group Equation

Wilson, 1971; Callan, Symanzik, 1970

- Bare Green's function do not depend on renormalization scale μ

$$0 = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} G^{(n)}(g_0, m_0, \text{reg.}) = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \left\{ \left[Z^{-n/2} \cdot G_{ren}^{(n)} \right] (g(g_0, m_0, \mu), m(g_0, m_0, \mu), \mu, \text{reg.}) \right\}$$

$$\left[\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g, m, \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} - \gamma_m(g, m, \mu) m \frac{\partial}{\partial m} - n \gamma(g, m, \mu) \right] G_{ren}^{(n)} = 0$$


- $\beta(g, m, \mu) = \partial g / \partial (\ln \mu)$ β (RG) function
- $\beta(g, m, \mu) = -\partial (\ln m) / \partial (\ln \mu)$ anomalous mass dimension
- $\gamma(g, m, \mu) = -\frac{1}{2} d(\ln Z) / d(\ln \mu)$ anomalous dimension

Typical one-loop values: $\beta(g) = \beta_0 \frac{g^3}{16\pi^2}$ $\gamma_m(g) = \gamma_{m,0} \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}$ $\gamma(g) = \gamma_0 \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}$

- Solution of RG equation:

$$\frac{dg}{d \ln \mu} = \frac{\beta_0 g^3}{16\pi^2} \Rightarrow$$

$$g^2(\mu) = \frac{g^2(\mu_0)}{1 - \frac{g^2(\mu_0)}{8\pi^2} \beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\right)}$$



Renormalization Group Equation

Wilson, 1971; Callan, Symanzik, 1970

- Bare Green's function do not depend on renormalization scale μ

$$0 = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} G^{(n)}(g_0, m_0, \text{reg.}) = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \left\{ \left[Z^{-n/2} \cdot G_{ren}^{(n)} \right] (g(g_0, m_0, \mu), m(g_0, m_0, \mu), \mu, \text{reg.}) \right\}$$

$$\left[\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g, m, \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} - \gamma_m(g, m, \mu) m \frac{\partial}{\partial m} - n \gamma(g, m, \mu) \right] G_{ren}^{(n)} = 0$$


- $\beta(g, m, \mu) = \partial g / \partial (\ln \mu)$ β (RG) function
- $\beta(g, m, \mu) = -\partial (\ln m) / \partial (\ln \mu)$ anomalous mass dimension
- $\gamma(g, m, \mu) = -\frac{1}{2} d(\ln Z) / d(\ln \mu)$ anomalous dimension

Typical one-loop values: $\beta(g) = \beta_0 \frac{g^3}{16\pi^2}$ $\gamma_m(g) = \gamma_{m,0} \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}$ $\gamma(g) = \gamma_0 \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}$

- Solution of RG equation:

$$\frac{dg}{d \ln \mu} = \frac{\beta_0 g^3}{16\pi^2} \Rightarrow$$

$$g^2(\mu) = \frac{g^2(\mu_0)}{1 - \frac{g^2(\mu_0)}{8\pi^2} \beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\right)}$$



Renormalization Group Equation

Wilson, 1971; Callan, Symanzik, 1970

- Bare Green's function do not depend on renormalization scale μ

$$0 = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} G^{(n)}(g_0, m_0, \text{reg.}) = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \left\{ \left[Z^{-n/2} \cdot G_{ren}^{(n)} \right] (g(g_0, m_0, \mu), m(g_0, m_0, \mu), \mu, \text{reg.}) \right\}$$

$$\left[\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g, m, \mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} - \gamma_m(g, m, \mu) m \frac{\partial}{\partial m} - n \gamma(g, m, \mu) \right] G_{ren}^{(n)} = 0$$


- $\beta(g, m, \mu) = \partial g / \partial (\ln \mu)$ β (RG) function
- $\beta(g, m, \mu) = -\partial (\ln m) / \partial (\ln \mu)$ anomalous mass dimension
- $\gamma(g, m, \mu) = -\frac{1}{2} d(\ln Z) / d(\ln \mu)$ anomalous dimension

Typical one-loop values: $\beta(g) = \beta_0 \frac{g^3}{16\pi^2}$ $\gamma_m(g) = \gamma_{m,0} \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}$ $\gamma(g) = \gamma_0 \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}$

- Solution of RG equation:

$$\frac{dg}{d \ln \mu} = \frac{\beta_0 g^3}{16\pi^2} \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha(\mu) = \frac{\alpha(\mu_0)}{1 - \frac{\alpha(\mu_0)}{2\pi} \beta_0 \ln(\frac{\mu}{\mu_0})}$$



Non-Abelian Gauge (Yang-Mills) theories

Yang/Mills, 1954

- Local symmetry based on a (semi-)simple Lie group or direct product:
 $\phi_i(x) \rightarrow \exp [ig\theta^a(x)T^a]_{ij} \psi_j(x) \quad [T^a, T^b] = if_{abc}T^c \quad D_{\mu,ij} = \partial_\mu \delta_{ij} + igT_{ij}^a A_\mu^a$
- Matter-gauge boson vertex contains a non-Abelian generator:

$$-g\bar{\psi}T_{ij}^a A^a \psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \bullet \\ \searrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{wavy line} \\ \mu, a \end{array} \quad -ig\gamma_\mu T_{ij}^a$$

- Non-Abelian field strength tensor:

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = igF_{\mu\nu}^a T^a = -ig(\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - gf_{abc}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c) T^a$$

does contain gauge boson self interactions:

$$\begin{array}{c} p, \mu \\ \nearrow \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \bullet \\ \searrow \\ q, \nu \\ a \\ c \\ b \end{array} \quad -gf^{abc}[(p-k)^\nu \eta^{\mu\sigma} + (q-p)^\sigma \eta^{\mu\nu} + (k-q)^\mu \eta^{\nu\sigma}] \quad \begin{array}{c} \tau \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \bullet \\ \text{wavy line} \\ \mu \\ a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ \nu \end{array} \quad -ig^2[f^{abc}f^{ade}(\eta^{\mu\sigma}\eta^{\nu\tau} - \eta^{\mu\tau}\eta^{\nu\sigma}) + f^{abd}f^{ace}(\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\sigma\tau} - \eta^{\mu\tau}\eta^{\nu\sigma}) + f^{abe}f^{acd}(\eta^{\mu\nu}\eta^{\sigma\tau} - \eta^{\mu\sigma}\eta^{\nu\tau})]$$

Dynkin index T_R : $\text{tr} [T_R^a T_R^b] = T_R \delta_{ab} \rightarrow T_F = \frac{1}{2}$ Quadratic

Casimir: $\sum_a T_R^a T_R^a = C_R \mathbb{1} \quad C_{adj.} := C_A \rightarrow 3 \quad C_{fund.} := C_F \rightarrow \frac{4}{3}$

Quantization of Yang-Mills theories

- Use **path integral representation of Green's functions**
- Summing over physically equivalent field configurations (gauge orbits)
- **Gauge fixing**: Choose one configuration per space-time point
- Can be written as a functional determinant Faddeev/Popov, 1967
- Leads to gauge-fixing/ghost Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF+FP} = -\frac{1}{2\xi}(\partial \cdot A)^2 - \bar{c}^a \partial^\mu D_\mu^{ab} c^b$$

- First term leads to invertible gluon propagator
- **Faddeev-Popov ghosts** c, \bar{c} : fermionic scalars!!! cancel unphysical longitudinal and scalar gluon modes, **preserve S-Matrix unitarity**

$$\mu, a \quad \text{---} \quad \nu, b \quad \frac{-i}{k^2+i\epsilon} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - (1-\xi) \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right) \delta_{ab}$$




$$a \quad \text{---} \quad b \quad \frac{i}{k^2+i\epsilon} \delta_{ab}$$

$$a \quad \text{---} \quad \mu, c \quad -gf_{abc} p_\mu$$

- Ghosts decouple in QED
- After gauge fixing: gauge invariance lost, remainder global, non-linear BRST symmetry

QCD: Asymptotic freedom, Confinement


- ▶ Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) is $SU(3)$ Yang-Mills theory of strong interactions
- ▶ QCD β function is negative!



$$\beta_0 = C_F N_f T_R - \frac{11}{3} C_A \rightarrow \frac{2}{3} N_f - 11 < 0$$


- ▶ **Asymptotic Freedom:** $\alpha_s \rightarrow 0$ for $\mu \rightarrow \infty$
Quarks quasi-free particles (Antiscreening of YM field)
- ▶ **Confinement/Infrared Slavery:**
Landau pole: $\alpha_s \rightarrow \infty$ for $\mu \sim \Lambda_{QCD} \sim 0.2$ GeV
- ▶ QCD forms bound states at scale $(1 - 3) \times \Lambda_{QCD}$: mesons ($q\bar{q}$) and baryons (qqq)

Gross, Politzer, Wilczek, 1973





Discovery of QCD: Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS)

- 1969 SLAC electron beam to hadronic fixed target
- Cross section const., no $1/s$ drop-off



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}(P) = \int_0^1 dx \sum_f F_f(x, Q^2) \times \frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}(e^- f \rightarrow e^- f, xP)$$




- ▶ Bjorken scaling $F_f(x) \sim const.$: scattering at quasi-free partons

Bjorken, Feynman, 1969

- ▶ Scaling violations: $F_f(x) := F_f(x, Q^2) \sim \ln Q^2$ described by logarithmically enhanced higher order QCD radiative corrections (Altarelli-Parisi equations)

Proofs: R Ratio, Jet Events, and all that...



e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) &:= \sigma_0 = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} \\ \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) &= \sigma_0 \cdot N_c \cdot \sum_f Q_f^2\end{aligned}$$


$$R = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} = \frac{5}{3} \quad \text{below } 2m_s$$

- ▶ Gluon discovery: 3 jets @ DORIS/PETRA Timm/Wolf (DESY), 1979

- ▶ $x_1 = E_q/E_e$, $x_2 = E_{\bar{q}}/E_e$, $x_3 = E_g/E_e$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 dx_2}(e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}g) &= \sigma_0 \times \\ &\left(3 \sum_f Q_f^2\right) \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)}\end{aligned}$$

Proofs: R Ratio, Jet Events, and all that...



e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) &:= \sigma_0 = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} \\ \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) &= \sigma_0 \cdot N_c \cdot \sum_f Q_f^2\end{aligned}$$


$$R = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} = 2 \quad \text{below } 2m_c$$

- ▶ Gluon discovery: 3 jets @ DORIS/PETRA Timm/Wolf (DESY), 1979

- ▶ $x_1 = E_q/E_e$, $x_2 = E_{\bar{q}}/E_e$, $x_3 = E_g/E_e$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 dx_2}(e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}g) &= \sigma_0 \times \\ &\left(3 \sum_f Q_f^2\right) \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)}\end{aligned}$$

Proofs: R Ratio, Jet Events, and all that...



e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) &:= \sigma_0 = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} \\ \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) &= \sigma_0 \cdot N_c \cdot \sum_f Q_f^2\end{aligned}$$


$$R = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} = \frac{10}{3} \quad \text{below } 2m_b$$

- ▶ Gluon discovery: 3 jets @ DORIS/PETRA Timm/Wolf (DESY), 1979

- ▶ $x_1 = E_q/E_e$, $x_2 = E_{\bar{q}}/E_e$, $x_3 = E_g/E_e$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 dx_2}(e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}g) &= \sigma_0 \times \\ &\left(3 \sum_f Q_f^2\right) \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)}\end{aligned}$$

Proofs: R Ratio, Jet Events, and all that...



e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) &:= \sigma_0 = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} \\ \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) &= \sigma_0 \cdot N_c \cdot \sum_f Q_f^2\end{aligned}$$


$$R = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} = \frac{11}{3} \quad \text{below } 2m_t$$

- ▶ Gluon discovery: 3 jets @ DORIS/PETRA Timm/Wolf (DESY), 1979

- ▶ $x_1 = E_q/E_e$, $x_2 = E_{\bar{q}}/E_e$, $x_3 = E_g/E_e$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 dx_2}(e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}g) &= \sigma_0 \times \\ &\left(3 \sum_f Q_f^2\right) \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)}\end{aligned}$$

Proofs: R Ratio, Jet Events, and all that...



e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons


$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) &:= \sigma_0 = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} \\ \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) &= \sigma_0 \cdot N_c \cdot \sum_f Q_f^2\end{aligned}$$

$$R = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} = \frac{11}{3} \quad \text{below } 2m_t$$


- ▶ Gluon discovery: 3 jets @ DORIS/PETRA Timm/Wolf (DESY), 1979

- ▶ $x_1 = E_q/E_e$, $x_2 = E_{\bar{q}}/E_e$, $x_3 = E_g/E_e$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 dx_2}(e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}g) &= \sigma_0 \times \\ &\left(3 \sum_f Q_f^2\right) \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)}\end{aligned}$$



Proofs: R Ratio, Jet Events, and all that...



e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons


$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) &:= \sigma_0 = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} \\ \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}) &= \sigma_0 \cdot N_c \cdot \sum_f Q_f^2\end{aligned}$$

$$R = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} = \frac{11}{3} \quad \text{below } 2m_t$$

- ▶ Gluon discovery: 3 jets @ DORIS/PETRA Timm/Wolf (DESY), 1979


- ▶ $x_1 = E_q/E_e$, $x_2 = E_{\bar{q}}/E_e$, $x_3 = E_g/E_e$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 dx_2}(e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}g) &= \sigma_0 \times \\ &\left(3 \sum_f Q_f^2\right) \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)}\end{aligned}$$



The Factorization Theorem

- Cornerstone of perturbative QCD:
 - **Factorization theorem** Sterman, 1979; Collins/Soper, 1981
- Hadronic cross sections can be split into
 - ▶ Perturbative part: **hard scattering process**
 - ▶ Non-perturbative part:
 - parton distribution functions**
 - jet or fragmentation functions**
- Hard scattering cross sections perturbatively calculable
- Parton distribution and fragmentation functions from experimental fits
- Perturbative evolution of PDFs/fragmentation func. to different scales:



$$\frac{d}{d \ln Q} F_g(x, Q) = \frac{\alpha_s(Q)}{\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \left\{ P_{g \leftarrow q}(z) \sum_f \left[F_q\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q\right) + F_{\bar{q}}\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q\right) \right] + P_{g \leftarrow g}\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q\right) F_g\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q\right) \right\}$$


$$\frac{d}{d \ln Q} F_q(x, Q) = \frac{\alpha_s(Q)}{\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} \left\{ P_{q \leftarrow q}(z) F_q\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q\right) + P_{q \leftarrow g}\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q\right) F_g\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q\right) \right\}$$

$$\frac{d}{d \ln Q} F_{\bar{q}}(x, Q) = \frac{d}{d \ln Q} F_q(x, Q) = \frac{dz}{z} \left\{ P_{\bar{q} \leftarrow \bar{q}}(z) F_{\bar{q}}\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q\right) + P_{\bar{q} \leftarrow g}\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q\right) F_g\left(\frac{x}{z}, Q\right) \right\}$$

Hadronic Cross Sections, PDFs

- Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs): $F_f(x)dx =$ prob. of finding constituent f with longitudinal momentum fraction x
- Momentum sum rule: $\int_0^1 dx x \left[\sum_q F_q(x) + \sum_{\bar{q}} F_{\bar{q}}(x) + F_g(x) \right] = 1$
- Charge sum rules: $\int_0^1 dx [F_u(x) - F_{\bar{u}}(x)] = 2, \quad \int_0^1 dx [F_d(x) - F_{\bar{d}}(x)] = 1$
- PDFs Have to be fitted from experiments
- Hadronic cross section are calculated according to the factorization theorem:

$$\sigma(p(P_1) + p(P_2) \rightarrow Y + X) = \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \sum_f F_f(x_1) F_{\bar{f}}(x_2) \cdot \sigma_{\text{showered}}(f(x_1 P_1) + \bar{f}(x_2 P_2) \rightarrow Y) \cdot \prod_Y \tilde{F}(Y \rightarrow M, B)$$



- Parton shower describes QCD radiation

Hadronic Cross Sections, PDFs

- Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs): $F_f(x)dx =$ prob. of finding constituent f with longitudinal momentum fraction x


- Momentum sum rule: $\int_0^1 dx x \left[\sum_q F_q(x) + \sum_{\bar{q}} F_{\bar{q}}(x) + F_g(x) \right] = 1$

-

Charge sum rules: $\int_0^1 dx [F_u(x) - F_{\bar{u}}(x)] = 2, \quad \int_0^1 dx [F_d(x) - F_{\bar{d}}(x)] = 1$

- PDFs Have to be fitted from experiments
- Hadronic cross section are calculated according to the factorization theorem:


$$\sigma(p(P_1) + p(P_2) \rightarrow Y + X) = \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \sum_f F_f(x_1) F_{\bar{f}}(x_2) \cdot \sigma_{showered}(f(x_1 P_1) + \bar{f}(x_2 P_2) \rightarrow Y) \cdot \prod_Y \tilde{F}(Y \rightarrow M, B)$$




- Parton shower describes QCD radiation

Lattice QCD

- Discretize space and time
- Solve Yang-Mills equation of motion numerically
- Gluon fields are links between lattice points
- Fermions sit on the sites of the lattice
- Problem: artefacts from continuum limit




- ▶ Hadron spectra are "measured" on the lattice
- ▶ m_π is the usual input
- ▶ Old days: "quenched" (no fermions)
- ▶ One sees $V(r) \sim r$ confinement potential




Lattice QCD

- Discretize space and time
- Solve Yang-Mills equation of motion numerically
- Gluon fields are links between lattice points
- Fermions sit on the sites of the lattice
- Problem: artefacts from continuum limit



- ▶ Hadron spectra are "measured" on the lattice
- ▶ m_π is the usual input
- ▶ Old days: "quenched" (no fermions)
- ▶ One sees $V(r) \sim r$ confinement potential



Part III (2. Abend)

The Noble Hero:
Hidden Symmetries
(formerly known as Spontaneous
Symmetry Breaking)

Basics of Hidden Symmetries

- Hidden symmetry is obeyed by the Lagrangian (and the E.O.M.)
- It is not respected by the spectrum, especially the ground state
- In principle only possible in a system of infinite volume
-

Nambu-Goldstone Theorem

Goldstone, 1961; Nambu, 1960; Goldstone/Salam/Weinberg, 1962

For any broken symmetry generator of a global symmetry there is a massless boson (Nambu-Goldstone boson) in the theory.

Two cases:

- $Q^a |0\rangle = 0 \forall a$ unbroken or Wigner-Weyl phase
- $Q^a |0\rangle \neq 0$ for at least one $a \Rightarrow$ Nambu-Goldstone phase

► Simple proof:



$$\phi_i \rightarrow i\theta^a T_{ik}^a \phi_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \phi_i} T_{ij}^a \phi_j = 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{V}}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j} \Big|_{\langle 0 | \phi | 0 \rangle}}_{=(m^2)_{ij}} T_{jk}^a \langle 0 | \phi_k | 0 \rangle + \underbrace{\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \phi_j} \Big|_{\langle 0 | \phi | 0 \rangle}}_{=0} T_{ji}^a = 0$$

The Nambu-Goldstone Theorem

- N -component real scalar field, possesses $O(N)$ symmetry

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi^T)(\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{\mu^2}{2}\phi^T \phi - \frac{g}{4}(\phi^T \phi)^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N)$$



Minimizing the potential:

$\langle \phi \rangle = 0$ (metastable) or

$\langle \phi^T \phi \rangle \sim \langle \phi \rangle^T \langle \phi \rangle = -\mu^2/g > 0$

- Without loss of generality: $\langle \phi_i \rangle = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \langle \phi_N \rangle)$ VEV in n -th comp.
- Mass squared matrix:

$$(M^2)_{ij} = \left. \frac{\partial^2 V(\phi)}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j} \right|_{\phi=\langle \phi \rangle} = 2g \langle \phi_i \rangle \langle \phi_j \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(N-1) \times (N-1)} & 0_{1 \times (N-1)} \\ 0_{(N-1) \times 1} & 2g \langle \phi \rangle^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $O(N)$ symmetry group broken down to $O(N-1)$ symmetry group
- # broken symmetry generators = # Goldstone bosons = $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1) - \frac{1}{2}(N-1)(N-2) = N-1$

Chiral Symmetry Breaking in QCD

- ▶ Light quarks (almost) massless $\Rightarrow SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ **global** symmetry

$$Q := \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{L} = \bar{Q} \not{D} Q = \bar{Q}_L \not{D} Q_L + \bar{Q}_R \not{D} Q_R$$

$$(\text{mass term: } -m\bar{Q}Q = -m\bar{Q}_L Q_R + \bar{Q}_R Q_L)$$

- ▶ Rewrite left- and right-handed rotations into vector and axial transformations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \exp \left[i \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \vec{\theta}_L \mathcal{P}_L \right] \exp \left[i \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \vec{\theta}_R \mathcal{P}_R \right] \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \exp \left[i \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \vec{\theta}_V \right] \exp \left[i \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \vec{\theta}_A \gamma^5 \right] \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ For massive quarks, the axial Noether current is not conserved:

$$\partial_\mu \vec{J}_V^\mu = 0 \quad \partial_\mu \vec{J}_A^\mu = -2m\bar{Q} \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} \gamma^5 Q \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow 0} 0$$

- ▶ If $SU(2)_A$ were exact: $|h\rangle \Rightarrow T_A |h\rangle$ degenerate opposite parity pairs of hadrons, **not seen in Nature**
- ▶ $SU(2)_A$ **hidden symmetry** $\vec{T}_A |h\rangle = |h + \vec{\pi}\rangle$
- ▶ Pions are the Nambu-Goldstone bosons (NGB) of spontaneously broken $SU(2)_A$

What breaks chiral symmetry?

- ▶ **Strong interactions (QCD) make quark-antiquark pairs condens** (like Cooper pairs in a BCS superconductor)
- ▶ Quark condensate: $(300 \text{ MeV})^3 \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^3 \sim \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ is invariant under $SU(2)_V$, but breaks $SU(2)_A$
- ▶ Axial current generates pion states:

$$\langle 0 | J_A^{\mu, a} | \pi_b \rangle = i F_\pi \delta_{ab} p_\pi^\mu e^{i p_\pi x} \quad F_\pi = 184 \text{ MeV from pion decay}$$

- ▶ Explicit breaking of $SU(2)_A$ by finite quark masses
- ▶ Pions only **approximate NGBs**, i.e. **pseudo NGBs (pNGBs)**:

$$m_\pi^2 = \frac{4(m_u + m_d) \langle \frac{1}{2}(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) \rangle}{F_\pi^2}$$

- ▶ Difference $m(\pi^\pm) - m(\pi^0) \approx 5 \text{ MeV}$ from electromagnetic quantum corrections
- ▶ Include strange quark: $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ stronger broken $m_s \sim 95 \text{ MeV}$ vs. $m_{u,d} \sim 2 - 6 \text{ MeV}$

Hidden Local Symmetries

Anderson, 1961; Higgs, 1964; Brout/Englert, 1964; Kibble 1964

- Consider scalar electrodynamics:


$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu\phi)^\dagger(D^\mu\phi) - V(\phi)$$

$$V(\phi) = -\mu^2|\phi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}(|\phi|^2)^2$$

-

Remember the gauge trafos: $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu\theta(x)$, $\phi(x) \rightarrow \exp[-ie\theta(x)]\phi(x)$

- ▶ Minimize the potential $\Rightarrow \langle\phi\rangle = v/\sqrt{2}e^{i\alpha}$ where $v/\sqrt{2} = \mu/\sqrt{\lambda}$
- ▶ Radial excitation: "Higgs field"
- ▶ Phase is the NGB



- ▶ Evaluating the kinetic term

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + h(x))e^{\frac{i}{v}\pi(x)}$$

$$|D_\mu\phi|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial h)^2 + \frac{e^2}{2}(v + h)^2 \left(A_\mu - \frac{1}{ev}\partial_\mu\pi \right)^2$$

- Mixture between **gauge boson** and **NGB**. Define $B_\mu := A_\mu - \frac{1}{ev}\partial_\mu\pi$
- Field strength term does not change under this redefinition

Hidden Local Symmetries

Anderson, 1961; Higgs, 1964; Brout/Englert, 1964; Kibble 1964


- Consider scalar electrodynamics:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu\phi)^\dagger(D^\mu\phi) - V(\phi)$$

$$V(\phi) = -\mu^2|\phi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}(|\phi|^2)^2$$

-

Remember the gauge trafos: $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu\theta(x)$, $\phi(x) \rightarrow \exp[-ie\theta(x)]\phi(x)$



- ▶ Minimize the potential $\Rightarrow \langle\phi\rangle = v/\sqrt{2}e^{i\alpha}$ where $v/\sqrt{2} = \mu/\sqrt{\lambda}$
- ▶ Radial excitation: "Higgs field"
- ▶ Phase is the NGB

- ▶ Evaluating the kinetic term

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + h(x))e^{\frac{i}{v}\pi(x)}$$

$$|D_\mu\phi|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial h)^2 + \frac{e^2}{2}(v + h)^2 \left(A_\mu - \frac{1}{ev}\partial_\mu\pi \right)^2$$

- Mixture between **gauge boson** and **NGB**. Define $B_\mu := A_\mu - \frac{1}{ev}\partial_\mu\pi$
- Field strength term does not change under this redefinition

Hidden Local Symmetries

Anderson, 1961; Higgs, 1964; Brout/Englert, 1964; Kibble 1964


- Consider scalar electrodynamics:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu\phi)^\dagger(D^\mu\phi) - V(\phi)$$

$$V(\phi) = -\mu^2|\phi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}(|\phi|^2)^2$$

-

Remember the gauge trasfos: $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu\theta(x)$, $\phi(x) \rightarrow \exp[-ie\theta(x)]\phi(x)$



- ▶ Minimize the potential $\Rightarrow \langle\phi\rangle = v/\sqrt{2}e^{i\alpha}$ where $v/\sqrt{2} = \mu/\sqrt{\lambda}$
- ▶ Radial excitation: "Higgs field"
- ▶ Phase is the NGB

- ▶ Evaluating the kinetic term

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + h(x))e^{\frac{i}{v}\pi(x)}$$

$$|D_\mu\phi|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial h)^2 + \frac{e^2}{2}(v + h)^2 \left(A_\mu - \frac{1}{ev}\partial_\mu\pi \right)^2$$

- Mixture between **gauge boson** and **NGB**. Define $B_\mu := A_\mu - \frac{1}{ev}\partial_\mu\pi$
- Field strength term does not change under this redefinition

The Higgs Mechanism

- VEV generates mass term for the gauge boson
- Gauge boson mass: only consistent (renormalizable) way † Hooft/Veltman, 1971

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}M_B^2 B_\mu B^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial h)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_h h^2 - g_{h,3}h^3 - g_{h,4}h^4$$

with

$$m_h^2 = \lambda v^2$$


$$M_B = ev$$

$$g_{h,3} = \frac{m_h^2}{2v}$$


$$g_{h,4} = \frac{m_h^2}{8v^2}$$

Higgs field generates particle masses proportional to its VEV and its coupling to that particle

Feynman rules



$$2 \frac{M_B^2}{v}$$



$$2 \frac{M_B^2}{v^2}$$



$$3 \frac{m_h^2}{v}$$



$$3 \frac{m_h^2}{v^2}$$

- Hey, what happened to the Nambu-Goldstone theorem??

Longitudinal polarisation now becomes physical, Goldstone boson takes over its place in cancelling unphysical degrees of freedom.

The Electroweak Standard Model

THE STANDARD MODEL

	Fermions			Bosons		
Quarks	u up	c charm	t top	γ photon	Force carriers	
	d down	s strange	b bottom	Z Z boson		
Leptons	ν_e electron neutrino	ν_μ muon neutrino	ν_τ tau neutrino	W W boson		
	e electron	μ muon	τ tau	g gluon		
				H Higgs boson		

*Yet to be confirmed

Source: AAAS

- ▶ Standard Model (SM) is $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge theory
- ▶ Nuclear forces known since 1930s
- ▶ QCD ($SU(3)_c$) proven to be the correct theory in 1968-1980 (DIS, $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ jets at SLAC/DESY)
- ▶ Weak interactions known since 1895 (beta decay)
- ▶ Charged current weak processes, e.g. muon decay $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$ Fermi, 1934

- ▶ Weak interactions couple only to left-handed particles Wu, 1957; Goldhaber, 1958
- ▶ Discovery of neutral currents in ν -nucleus scattering 1973, discrepancy in strength to charged current \Rightarrow weak mixing angle
- ▶ Production of W , Z bosons (CERN, 1983)

The Lagrangian and its particles *in totaliter*

- Building blocks $(SU(3)_c, SU(2)_L)_{U(1)_Y}$ quantum numbers:

Q_L	u_R	d_R	L_L	e_R	H	ν_R
$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})_{\frac{1}{3}}$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{\frac{4}{3}}$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{-\frac{2}{3}}$	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_1$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-2}$	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1})_1$	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$

- All renormalizable interactions possible with these fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_{SM} = & \sum_{\psi=Q,u,d,L,e,H,\nu} \bar{\psi} \not{D} \psi - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}] - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu}] \\
 & - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + Y^u \bar{Q}_L \epsilon H^\dagger u_R + Y^d \bar{Q}_L H d_R \\
 & + Y^e \bar{L}_L H e_R \quad [+Y^n \bar{L}_L \epsilon H^\dagger \nu_R] + \mu^2 H^\dagger H - \lambda (H^\dagger H)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

- Higgs vev $\langle H \rangle = (0, v/\sqrt{2})$ breaks $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_{em}$.

$$D_\mu \phi = (\partial_\mu + ig\vec{W}_\mu \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} + ig'YB_\mu)\phi$$

- Electroweak gauge boson mass term:

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(0, v) \left(g\vec{W}_\mu \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} + \frac{g'}{2}B_\mu \right) \left(g\vec{W}^\mu \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} + \frac{g'}{2}B^\mu \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

- Three massive vector bosons W^\pm, Z

$$W_\mu^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W_\mu^1 \mp iW_\mu^2) \quad m_W = \frac{1}{2}gv$$

$$Z_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2+g'^2}} (gW_\mu^3 - g'B_\mu) \quad m_Z = \frac{v}{2}\sqrt{g^2+g'^2}$$

- Orthogonal combination remains massless **photon**

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2+g'^2}} (g'W_\mu^3 + gB_\mu) \quad m_A = 0$$

- Rewrite the covariant derivative: $\sigma^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^1 \pm \sigma^2)$

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + i\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}}(W_\mu^+ \sigma^+ + W_\mu^- \sigma^-) + i\frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2+g'^2}}Z_\mu(g^2T^3 - g'^2Y) + i\frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2+g'^2}}A_\mu(T^3+Y)$$

$$\text{Weak mixing angle: } \cos\theta_W = \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2+g'^2}}, \quad \sin\theta_W = \frac{g'}{\sqrt{g^2+g'^2}}$$

$$\text{Gell-Mann-Nishijima relation: } Q = T^3 + Y$$

Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

- Higgs vev $\langle H \rangle = (0, v/\sqrt{2})$ breaks $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_{em}$.

$$D_\mu \phi = (\partial_\mu + ig\vec{W}_\mu \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} + ig' Y B_\mu) \phi$$

- Electroweak gauge boson mass term:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (0, v) \left(g\vec{W}_\mu \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} + \frac{g'}{2} B_\mu \right) \left(g\vec{W}^\mu \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} + \frac{g'}{2} B^\mu \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

- Three massive vector bosons W^\pm, Z

$$W_\mu^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W_\mu^1 \mp iW_\mu^2) \quad m_W = \frac{1}{2} gv$$

$$Z_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (gW_\mu^3 - g'B_\mu) \quad m_Z = \frac{v}{2} \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}$$

- Orthogonal combination remains massless **photon**

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (g'W_\mu^3 + gB_\mu) \quad m_A = 0$$


- Rewrite the covariant derivative: $\sigma^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^1 \pm \sigma^2)$

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + i \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (W_\mu^+ \sigma^+ + W_\mu^- \sigma^-) + i \frac{1}{\cos \theta_W} Z_\mu (T^3 - \sin^2 \theta_W Q) + ie A_\mu Q$$

Weak mixing angle: $\cos \theta_W = \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}, \sin \theta_W = \frac{g'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}$

Gell-Mann–Nishijima relation: $Q = T^3 + Y$


Electroweak Feynman Rules (all momenta outgoing)



Easily derivable:

$$\left(m_W^2 W_\mu^+ W^{-\mu} + \frac{1}{2}m_Z^2 Z^2\right) \left(1 + \frac{H}{v}\right)^2$$

Electroweak Feynman Rules (all momenta outgoing)



$$-ig_{WWX} \left[(k_- - k_+)^{\rho} \eta^{\mu\nu} + (q - k_-)^{\mu} \eta^{\nu\rho} + (k_+ - q)^{\nu} \eta^{\mu\rho} \right]$$

$$g_{WWZ} = g \cos \theta_W \quad g_{WW\gamma} = e$$

 W_{ν}^{-}
 W_{σ}^{+}

 X_1^{μ}

$$-ig_{WWX_1X_2} \left[2\eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\sigma\tau} - \eta^{\mu\sigma} \eta^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\sigma} \eta^{\nu\tau} \right]$$


 W_{τ}^{-}
 X_2^{ν}

$$g_{WW\gamma\gamma} = e^2$$

$$g_{WWZZ} = g^2 \cos^2 \theta_W$$

$$g_{WW\gamma Z} = g^2 \cos \theta_W \sin \theta_W$$

$$g_{WWWW} = -g^2$$




Fermion masses: Yukawa terms

- **Fermion mass terms** $-m_f(\bar{f}_L f_R + \bar{f}_R f_L)$ forbidden by $U(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge invariance
- Yukawa coupling is gauge invariant dimension-4 operator:

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{Yuk.} = -Y_e(\bar{L}_L \cdot \phi)e_R \rightarrow -\frac{vY_e}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{e}_L e_R \left(1 + \frac{H}{v}\right)$$


- Again, Higgs boson couples proportional to mass:

$$m_f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Y_f v$$




- Hierarchy of Yukawa couplings according to fermion masses: $Y_t \approx 1$, $Y_{c,\tau,b} \approx 10^{-2}$, $Y_{\mu,s} \approx 10^{-3}$, $Y_{e,\nu,d} \approx 10^{-5}$
- $Y_\nu \lesssim 10^{-10}$, but Majorana mass term $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Majorana}} = -\frac{1}{2} m_\nu \bar{\nu}^c_R \nu_R$ possible
-

$$H\gamma\gamma, Hgg \text{ couplings: } \frac{1}{v} \frac{Y g^2}{16\pi^2} \cdot c \cdot H F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$



Higgs: Properties and Search



Production: gluon/vector boson fusion

decays predominantly into the heaviest particles

$b\bar{b}$ hopeless: background!


Detection of rare decays

Complicated search: many channels


high statistics necessary

$\gamma\gamma$: mass determination

$M_H \gtrsim 125 \text{ GeV}$: $ZZ^* \rightarrow llll$



Higgs: Properties and Search



Production: gluon/vector boson fusion

decays predominantly into the heaviest particles

$b\bar{b}$ hopeless: background!


Detection of rare decays

Complicated search: many channels


high statistics necessary

$\gamma\gamma$: mass determination

$M_H \gtrsim 125 \text{ GeV}$: $ZZ^* \rightarrow llll$



Higgs: Properties and Search



Production: gluon/vector boson fusion

decays predominantly into the heaviest particles

$b\bar{b}$ hopeless: background!


Detection of rare decays

Complicated search: many channels


high statistics necessary

$\gamma\gamma$: mass determination

$M_H \gtrsim 125 \text{ GeV}$: $ZZ^* \rightarrow llll$



Higgs: Properties and Search



Production: gluon/vector boson fusion

decays predominantly into the heaviest particles

$b\bar{b}$ hopeless: background!


Detection of rare decays

Complicated search: many channels

high statistics necessary



$\gamma\gamma$: mass determination

$M_H \gtrsim 125$ GeV: $ZZ^* \rightarrow llll$







4.7.2012: The Discovery of the Higgs (?)

- ▶ After roughly 5 fb^{-1} data from 2011 and 2012:
4.7.2012 CERN-Seminar: *We have found a scalar boson at $125.3 \pm 0.6 \text{ GeV}$*




► Rolf Heuer (CERN DG, 4.7.12): *As a layman I would say we have it!*





2013: It's the/a/sort of Higgs(-like) WTF

- ▶ 2012 data: $25 \text{ fb}^{-1} \Rightarrow$ compatible with EW precision
- ▶ Higgs compatible with EW precision measurements:
- ▶ *Higgs measurement by far not precise enough!!!*



Digitization Science Fiction



Flavor, the CKM matrix, and CP violation


- Three generations of fermions in Nature
- Diagonalization of fermion mass matrices:

$$v^2 Y_u Y_u^\dagger = L_u \text{diag}(m_u^2, m_c^2, m_t^2) L_u^\dagger \quad v^2 Y_d Y_d^\dagger = L_d \text{diag}(m_d^2, m_s^2, m_b^2) L_d^\dagger$$

- Rotation of quark fields leaves a trace in the charged current:

$$\bar{u}_L \not{W} (L_u^\dagger L_d) d_L = \bar{u}_L \not{W} V_{CKM} d_L$$

- CKM matrix: unitary, experimentally almost diagonal
- Three angles $\theta_{12}, \theta_{13}, \theta_{23}$, one phase
- Phase violates CP (charge conjugation and parity)
- After discovery of neutrino oscillations: MNS matrix
- CKM describes flavor incredibly well




Part IV (3. Abend)

Götterdämmerung: Beyond the Standard Model



The Standard Model of Particle Physics – Doubts

– describes microcosm (too well?)



Open questions

- Unification of all interactions (?)
- Baryon asymmetry $\Delta N_B - \Delta N_{\bar{B}} \sim 10^{-9}$
missing CP violation
- Flavour: three generations
- Tiny neutrino masses: $m_\nu \sim \frac{v^2}{M}$
- Dark Matter:
 - ▶ stable
 - ▶ weakly interacting
 - ▶ $m_{DM} \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$
- Quantum theory of gravity
- Cosmic inflation
- Cosmological constant



“This does not necessarily mean that this is the Higgs mass!”



Ideas for New Physics since 1970

(1) Symmetry for elimination of quantum corrections

- **Supersymmetry:** Spin statistics \Rightarrow corrections from bosons and fermions cancel each other
- **Little Higgs Models:** Global symmetries \Rightarrow corrections from particles of like statistics cancel each other

(2) New Building Blocks, Substructure

- **Technicolor/Topcolor:** Higgs bound state of strongly interacting particles

(3) Nontrivial Space-time structure eliminates Hierarchy

- **Extra Space Dimensions:** Gravitation appears only weak
- **Noncommutative Space-time:** space-time coarse-grained



(4) Ignoring the Hierarchy

- **Anthropic Principle:** Parameters are as we observe them, *since* we observe them


Supersymmetry (SUSY)

Gelfand/Likhtman, 1971; Akulov/Volkov, 1973; Wess/Zumino, 1974


- connects gauge and space-time symmetries
 - Multiplets with equal-mass fermions and bosons
- ⇒ SUSY broken in Nature



Standard-Teilchen



SUSY-Teilchen



- Every particle gets a superpartner
- Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM)
- Mass eigenstates:

Charginos: $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm} = \tilde{H}^{\pm}, \tilde{W}^{\pm}$

Neutralinos: $\tilde{\chi}^0 = \tilde{H}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{\gamma}$

SUSY: Success and Side-Effects



MSSM: spontaneous SUSY breaking $\not\leftarrow$
(SUSY partners in MeV range)

Breaking in “hidden sector”

Breaking mechanism induces 100 free parameters

solves hierarchy problem:

$$\delta M_H \propto F \log(\Lambda^2)$$



- ▶ Existence of fundamental scalars
- ▶ Form of Higgs potential
- ▶ light Higgs ($M_H = 90 \pm 50 \text{ GeV}$)
- ▶ discrete R parity
 - ▶ SM particles even, SUSY partners odd
 - ▶ prevents a proton decay too rapid
 - ▶ lightest SUSY partner (LSP) stable
Dark Matter $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$
- ▶ Unification of coupling constants

SUSY: Success and Side-Effects



MSSM: spontaneous SUSY breaking ✗
(SUSY partners in MeV range)

Breaking in “hidden sector”

Breaking mechanism induces 100 free parameters

solves hierarchy problem:

$$\delta M_H \propto F \log(\Lambda^2)$$



- ▶ Existence of fundamental scalars
- ▶ Form of Higgs potential
- ▶ light Higgs ($M_H = 90 \pm 50 \text{ GeV}$)
- ▶ discrete R parity
 - ▶ SM particles even, SUSY partners odd
 - ▶ prevents a proton decay too rapid
 - ▶ lightest SUSY partner (LSP) stable
Dark Matter $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$
- ▶ Unification of coupling constants

SUSY: Success and Side-Effects



MSSM: spontaneous SUSY breaking $\not\leftarrow$
(SUSY partners in MeV range)

Breaking in “hidden sector”

Breaking mechanism induces 100 free parameters

solves hierarchy problem:

$$\delta M_H \propto F \log(\Lambda^2)$$



- ▶ Existence of fundamental scalars
- ▶ Form of Higgs potential
- ▶ light Higgs ($M_H = 90 \pm 50 \text{ GeV}$)
- ▶ discrete R parity
 - ▶ SM particles even, SUSY partners odd
 - ▶ prevents a proton decay too rapid
 - ▶ lightest SUSY partner (LSP) stable
- Dark Matter $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$
- ▶ Unification of coupling constants



”SUSY will be discovered, even if non-existent”



What, if not SUSY?


Higgs as Pseudo-Goldstone boson: Technicolor

Nambu-Goldstone Theorem: Spontaneous breaking of a global symmetry: spectrum contains massless (Goldstone) bosons 1960/61

Color:

Adler/Weisberger, 1965; Weinberg, 1966-69

Light pions as (Pseudo-)Goldstone bosons of spontaneously broken chiral symmetry



Skala Λ : chiral symmetry breaking,
Quarks, $SU(3)_C$

Scale v : pions, kaons, ...


Higgs as Pseudo-Goldstone boson: Technicolor

Nambu-Goldstone Theorem: Spontaneous breaking of a global symmetry: spectrum contains massless (Goldstone) bosons 1960/61

Technicolor:

Georgi/Pais, 1974; Georgi/Dimopoulos/Kaplan, 1984

Light Higgs as (Pseudo)-Goldstone boson of a new spontaneously broken chiral symmetry



Skala Λ : chiral symmetry breaking, techni-quarks, $SU(N)_{TC}$

Skala v : Higgs, techni-pions

experimentally constrained, but not ruled out

Collective Symmetry Breaking, Moose Models

Collective Symmetry Breaking:


Arkani-Hamed/Cohen/Georgi/Nelson/..., 2001



2 different global symmetries; if one were unbroken
 \Rightarrow Higgs *exact* Goldstone boson

Higgs mass only by quantum corrections of
 2. order:

$$M_H \sim (0.1)^2 \times \Lambda$$



Scale Λ : chiral SB, strong interaction

Scale F : Pseudo-Goldstone bosons, new gauge bosons

Scale v : Higgs

Little-Higgs Models

- Economic implementation of collective symmetry breaking



– New Particles:

- ▶ Gauge bosons:

$$\gamma', Z', W'^{\pm}$$


- ▶ Heavy Fermions:

$$T, U, C, \dots$$

- ▶ Quantum corrections to M_H cancelled by particles of like statistics

Littlest Higgs

Arkani-Hamed/Cohen/Katz/Nelson, 2002




- “Little Big Higgs”: Higgs heavy (300 – 500 GeV)

– discrete T -(TeV scale) parity:

- ▶ allows for new light particles
- ▶ Dark matter: LTOP (lightest T-odd), often γ'

Extra Dimensions & Higgsless Models



Motivation: String theory


$3 + n$ Space dimensions: Radius $R \sim 10^{\frac{30}{n}-17}$ cm
Antoniadis, 1990; Arkani-Hamed/Dimopoulos/Dvali, 1998

Gravitation strong in higher dimensions


Particles in quantum well: Kaluza-Klein tower

Production of mini Black Holes at LHC

- ▶ **“Higgsless Models”**: Higgs component of higher-dim. gauge field
- ▶ **“Large Extra Dimensions”**: continuum of states
- ▶ **“Warped Extra Dimensions”**: discrete, resolvable resonances Randall/Sundrum, 1999
- ▶ **“Universal Extra Dimensions”**: also fermions/gauge bosons in higher dimensions



Extra Dimensions & Higgsless Models



Motivation: String theory


$3 + n$ Space dimensions: Radius $R \sim 10^{\frac{30}{n} - 17}$ cm
Antoniadis, 1990; Arkani-Hamed/Dimopoulos/Dvali, 1998

Gravitation strong in higher dimensions

Particles in quantum well: Kaluza-Klein tower



Production of mini Black Holes at LHC

- ▶ “Higgsless Models”: Higgs component of higher-dim. gauge field
- ▶ “Large Extra Dimensions”: continuum of states
- ▶ “Warped Extra Dimensions”: discrete, resolvable resonances Randall/Sundrum, 1999
- ▶ “Universal Extra Dimensions”: also fermions/gauge bosons in higher dimensions



KK parity and Dark Matter

- ▶ typical Kaluza-Klein spectra



- ▶ Spectrum structure **similar to SUSY**, but shifted in spin

- ▶ Dark matter: lightest KK -odd particle (LKP)


Photon resonance γ' (in 5D vector, in 6D scalar)

- ▶ Quote from SUSY orthodoxy:

"This is a strawman's model invented with the only purpose to be inflamed to shed light on the beauty of supersymmetry!"

Noncommutative Space-time

Wess et al., 2000



- Assumption: **non-commuting**

Space-time coordinates $[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}$

- Classical analogue: charged particle in lowest Landau level:

$$\{x_i, x_j\}_P = 2c(B^{-1})_{ij}/e$$

- Low energy limit of string theory


Seiberg/Witten, 1999

- **Yang-Landau-Theorem violated:** $Z \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, gg$ possible

- Special direction in the Universe:
broken rotational invariance

- Cross sections depend on azimuth

⇒ Varying signals as Earth rotates



- Dark Matter, cosmology, theoretical problems





Which model?

A Conspiracy Unmasked




The Challenge of the LHC

Partonic subprocesses: qq, qg, gg
no fixed partonic energy





$$R = \sigma \mathcal{L} \quad \mathcal{L} = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

High rates for $t, W/Z, H, \Rightarrow$ **huge backgrounds**




The Challenge of the LHC

Partonic subprocesses: qq, qg, gg
no fixed partonic energy



$$R = \sigma \mathcal{L} \quad \mathcal{L} = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

High rates for $t, W/Z, H, \Rightarrow$ **huge backgrounds**



Search for New Particles

Decay products of heavy particles:


- ▶ high- p_T Jets
- ▶ many hard leptons

Production of coloured particles


weakly interacting particles only in decays

Dark Matter \Leftrightarrow **discrete parity** (R, T, KK)

- ▶ only pairs of new particles \Rightarrow high energies, long decay chains
- ▶ Dark Matter \Rightarrow large missing energy in detector (\cancel{E}_T)



Different Models/Decay Chains — same signatures



Search for New Particles

Decay products of heavy particles:


- ▶ high- p_T Jets
- ▶ many hard leptons

Production of coloured particles


weakly interacting particles only in decays

Dark Matter \Leftrightarrow **discrete parity** (R, T, KK)

- ▶ only pairs of new particles \Rightarrow high energies, long decay chains
- ▶ Dark Matter \Rightarrow large missing energy in detector (\cancel{E}_T)






Different Models/Decay Chains — same signatures



Model Discrimination – A Journey to Cross-Roads

- **Mass of new particles:** end points of decay spectra



- **Spin of new particles:** Spin of new particles: angular correlations, ...


- Model determination: **measuring coupling constants**

⇒ Precise predictions for signals and backgrounds

- kinematic cuts
- Exclusive multi particle final states $2 \rightarrow 4$ up to $2 \rightarrow 10$
- Quantum corrections: real and virtual corrections

Outlook


- ▶ LHC: new era of physics
- ▶ New Particles, new symmetries, new interactions
- ▶ Dark Matter
- ▶ Interesting times!



Outlook

የአዲስ አክሲዮን ስር ማጠቃለያ።

- ▶ LHC: new era of physics
- ▶ New Particles, new symmetries, new interactions
- ▶ Dark Matter
- ▶ Interesting times!



“Will man nun annehmen, dass das abstrakte Denken das Höchste ist, so folgt daraus, dass die Wissenschaft und die Denker stolz die Existenz verlassen und es uns anderen Menschen überlassen, das Schlimmste zu erdulden. Ja es folgt daraus zugleich etwas für den abstrakten Denker selbst, dass er nämlich, da er ja doch selbst auch ein Existierender ist, in irgendeiner Weise distrairt sein muss.”

Søren Kierkegaard

One Ring to Find Them, One Ring to Rule Them Out?

