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## Status of LHC as discovery machine

- spectacular result: discovery of Higgs boson
- but: no new particles beyond the SM found so far
$\Rightarrow$ we have to be prepared for the possibility that new physics might be very subtil and show up only as small deviations from SM predictions
$\Rightarrow$ entering precision era of LHC
- perform precise measurements of particle couplings (e.g. couplings of the Higgs boson)
- comparison with precise SM predictions $\Rightarrow$ need SM predictions at NNLO QCD and at NLO electroweak


## One-loop amplitudes

general structure of one-loop amplitudes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int d^{D} q \frac{N(q)}{D_{0} \cdots D_{N-1}}=\sum_{r} c_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \underbrace{\int d^{D} q \frac{q^{\mu_{1}} \cdots q^{\mu_{r}}}{D_{0} \cdots D_{N-1}}}_{\text {tensor integral } T^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{r}}} \\
& D_{i}=\left(q+p_{i}\right)^{2}-m_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$
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can be decomposed in terms of scalar integrals:
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different approaches for calculation:

- conventional method (Feynman diagrams) $\rightarrow$ Tl's needed
- generalised unitarity [Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau '07,

Bern, Dixon, Kosower, Britto, Cachazo, Feng,Ellis, Giele, Melnikov, ...]

- recursive methods using tensor integrals $\rightarrow$ Tl's needed


## Tools for NLO

- Many tools for NLO calculatios, e.g. FeynCalc/FormCalc, Blackhat, NGluon, aMC@NLO, HELAC-NLO, GoSam, CutTools, HELAC-1LOOP, Samurai, Madloop, OpenLoops, Recola, ...
- Libraries for scalar and tensor integrals, e.g. FF [van Oldenborgh], LoopTools [Hahn,Perez-Victoria], QCDLoop [R.K.Ellis,Zanderighi], OneLOop [van Hameren], Golem95C [Cullen,Guillet,Heinrich,Kleinschmidt,Pilon,...], P JFry [Fleischer,Riemann]
- This talk:

COLLIER = Complex one loop library in extended regularizations
fortran-library for fast and stable numerical evaluation of tensor integrals [Denner,Dittmaier,LH $\rightarrow$ publication in preparation]

## COLLIER: Applications

- successfully used in many calculations of
- NLO QCD corrections, e.g.
$\mathrm{pp} \rightarrow \mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{t} j}$ [Dittmaier,Uwer,Weinzierl '07]
$\mathrm{pp} \rightarrow \mathrm{t} \mathrm{t} b \overline{\mathrm{~b}}$ [Bredenstein,Denner,Dittmaier,Pozzorini '09] $\mathrm{pp} \rightarrow \mathrm{WWbb}$ [Denner,Dittmaier,Kallweit,Pozzorini '11]
- NLO EW corrections, e.g.
$\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 4$ fermions [Denner,Dittmaier,Roth,Wieders '05]
$\mathrm{pp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hjj}$ via VBF [Ciccolini,Denner,Dittmaier '07]
pp $\rightarrow$ dilepton+jet [Denner,Dittmaier,Kasprzik,Mück '11]
$\mathrm{pp} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}+$ dilepton [Denner,Dittmaier,Kallweit,Mück '11]
$\mathrm{pp} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-} \mathrm{jj}$ [Denner,LH,Scharf,Uccirati, in prep.](talk by A.Denner)
- integrated in automated NLO generators
- OpenLoops [Cascioli,Maierhöfer,Pozzorini]
- Recola [Actis,Denner,LH,Scharf,Uccirati]
(talk by P.Maierhöfer) (talk by S.Uccirati)


## Reduction of tensor integrals

Methods implemented in COLLIER:
applied method depends on number $N$ of propagators

- $N=1,2$ : explicit analytical expressions
- $N=3,4$ : exploit Lorentz-covariance standard PV-reduction [Passarino,Veltman '79]
+ stable expansions in exceptional phase space regions
[Denner,Dittmaier '05]
- $N \geq 5$ : exploit 4-dimensionality of space-time

> [Melrose '65; Denner,Dittmaier '02,'05; Binoth et al. '05]

Basic scalar integrals from analytic expressions
['t Hooft,Veltman'79; Beenaker,Denner'90; Denner,Nierste,Scharf'91;
Ellis,Zanderighi'08; Denner,Dittmaier'11]
$\Rightarrow$ fast and stable numerical reduction algorithm

## $N=3,4: \mathrm{PV}$ reduction

- $T^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}}=\int d^{D} q \frac{q^{\mu_{1} \ldots q^{\mu_{r}}}}{D_{0} \cdots D_{N-1}}, \quad D_{i}=\left(q+p_{i}\right)^{2}-m_{i}^{2}$ contractions:

$$
p_{i}^{\mu} q_{\mu}=-f_{i}+D_{i}-D_{0}, \quad g^{\mu \nu} q_{\mu} q_{\nu}=m_{0}^{2}+D_{0}
$$

$\rightarrow$ reduction to lower-rank and lower-point integrals
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- system of linear equations for coefficients:
$\rightarrow$ invert for $T^{N, P}$ 's $\Rightarrow$ recursive numerical calculation

$$
\Delta T^{N, P}=\left[T^{N, P-1}, T^{N, P-2}, T^{N-1}\right]
$$

Gram determinant: $\Delta=\operatorname{det}(Z)$ with $Z_{i j}=2 p_{i} p_{j}$

## Small Gram determinants
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- scalar integrals $D_{0}, C_{0}, B_{0}, A_{0}$ become linearly dependent $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Delta) / \Delta$-instabilities intrinsic to all methods relying on the full set of basis integrals $D_{0}, C_{0}, B_{0}, A_{0}$
- solution: choose appropriate set of base functions depending on phase-space point
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- higher orders in $\Delta$ iteratively: $\mathcal{O}\left(\Delta^{k}\right)$ of $T^{N, P}$ requires lower-point $T^{N-1}$ up to rank $P+k$
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## coefficients vs. tensors

$$
\left(T^{N}\right)^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{P}}=\sum_{k} \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}} T_{\underbrace{N, P}_{P-k}}^{T_{1}, P} i_{1} \cdots i_{k}<\underbrace{g \cdots g}_{(P-k) / 2} p_{i_{1}} \cdots p_{i_{k}}\}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{P}}
$$

\# of tensor coefficients (TC) vs. \# of tensor elements (TE)

|  | $r=0$ | $r=1$ | $r=2$ | $r=3$ | $r=4$ | $r=5$ | $r=6$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $N=3$ | 1 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 22 | 34 | 50 | \#TC $<$ \#TE |
| $N=4$ | 1 | 4 | 11 | 24 | 46 | 80 | 130 | \#T |
| $N=5$ | 1 | 5 | 16 | 40 | 86 | 166 | 296 |  |
| $N=6$ | 1 | 6 | 22 | 62 | 148 | 314 | 610 | \#TC $>$ \#TE |
| $N=7$ | 1 | 7 | 29 | 91 | 239 | 553 | 1163 |  |
| tensor | 1 | 5 | 15 | 35 | 70 | 126 | 210 |  |
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NLO generators OpenLoops and Recola: parametrisation of one-loop amplitude in terms of tensor integrals: calculated by OpenLoops/Recola
$\Rightarrow$ need full tensors!

## From coefficients to tensors

$$
\left(T^{N}\right)^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{P}}=\sum_{k} \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}} T_{\underbrace{N, P}_{P-k}}^{0 \cdots i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}\{\underbrace{g \cdots g}_{(P-k) / 2} p_{i_{1}} \cdots p_{i_{k}}\}^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{P}}
$$

In COLLIER:

- output: coefficients $T_{0 \cdots 0 i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}^{N}$ or tensors $\left(T^{N}\right)^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{P}}$
- efficient algorithm to construct tensors from invariant coefficients for arbitrary $N, P$ via recursive calculation of tensor structures
- for $N \geq 6$ : Direct reduction at tensor level



## Features of COLLIER

- complete set of one-loop scalar integrals
- implementation of tensor integrals for (in principle) arbitrary number of external momenta $N$ (tested in physical processes up to $N=6$ )
- various expansion methods implemented for exceptional phase-space points (to arbitrary order in expansion parameter)
- mass- and dimensional regularisation supported for IR-singularities
- complex masses supported (unstable particles)
- cache-system to avoid recalculation of identical integrals
- output: coefficients $T_{0 \cdots 0 i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}^{N}$ or tensors $\left(T^{N}\right)^{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{P}}$
- two independent implementations: COLI+DD


## Structure of COLLIER



## Output of Collier

Structure UV- or IR-singular integrals in $D=4-2 \epsilon$ dimensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{N}= & \Gamma(1+\epsilon)(4 \pi)^{\epsilon}\left(T_{(\mathrm{fin})}^{N}+a^{\mathrm{UV}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{UV}}}+a_{2}^{\mathrm{IR}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}}+a_{1}^{\mathrm{IR}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{IR}}}\right. \\
& \left.+b^{\mathrm{UV}} \log \left(\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}\right)+b^{\mathrm{IR}} \log \left(\mu_{\mathrm{IR}}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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$\Rightarrow$ output of Collier: numerical value for bracket (...)
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- cancellation of poles can be checked varying $\delta_{\mathrm{UV}}, \delta_{\mathrm{IR}, 1}, \delta_{\mathrm{IR}, 2}$
- convention for prefactor $=1+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ can be changed by shifting $\delta_{\mathrm{UV}}, \delta_{\mathrm{IR}, 1}, \delta_{\mathrm{IR}, 2}$ accordingly
- coefficient $a^{\mathrm{UV}}$ of $1 / \epsilon_{\mathrm{UV}}$ - pole returned also as separate output


## Treatment of IR singularities

default: use dimensional regularization
mass regularization supported for collinear singularities:

- declare array of squared regulator masses:

$$
\operatorname{minf} 2=\left\{m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}, \ldots, m_{k}^{2}\right\}
$$

with complex (not-necessarily small) numerical values

- if a call of a tensor integral involves an element from minf2, the corresponding mass is
- set to zero in IR finite integrals
- kept as regulator mass in IR-singular integrals
- In the case of mass regularization the IR-scale $\mu_{\mathrm{IR}}$ can be interpreted as gluon/photon mass


## Choice of reduction scheme in COLI

Strategy for 3-,4-point integrals of rank $r \leq r_{\text {max }}$ in Coli:
(similar in DD)
1 PV reduction:
accuracy for rank $r_{\text {max }}$ better than target precision?
$\xrightarrow{\text { yes }} \xlongequal{\text { use PV reduction }}$ for $r \leq r_{\max }$ done

2 Expansions:
do $g=0, g_{\text {max }}$
accuracy for rank $r_{\text {max }}$ yes use expansion
and expansion up to order $g$
better than target precision?
end do
 up to order $g$ done for $r \leq r_{\text {max }}$
use for $r \leq r_{\text {max }}$ method
No method optimal:
do $r_{0}=r_{\text {max }}, 0$
is there a method with
better accuracy for rank $r_{0}$ ?

with best accuracy for $r_{\text {max }}$
end do
done

## Error estimates in COLI

Error estimates in Coli: (similar in DD)
1 PV-reduction

- error propagation:

$$
\delta D_{r} \sim \max \left\{a_{r} \delta D_{0}, b_{r} \delta C_{0}, c_{r} \delta C_{r-1}\right\}
$$

with

$$
a_{r}, b_{r} \sim 1 / \Delta^{r}, \quad c_{r} \sim 1 / \Delta
$$

- after calculation: symmetry of coefficients

$$
\delta D_{r} \sim\left|D_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{r}}-D_{i_{2} i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}\right|, \quad\left(0 \neq i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq 0\right)
$$
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2 Expansions: $D_{r}=D_{r}^{(0)}+\ldots+D_{r}^{(g)}$

- neglected higher orders + error propagation from C's:

$$
\delta D_{r}=\max \left\{a_{r, g}, b_{r} \delta C_{0}, c_{g} \delta C_{r+g}\right\}
$$

with

$$
a_{r, g}, c_{g} \sim \Delta^{g}
$$

- extrapolation after calculation: $\quad \delta D_{r}=D_{r}^{(g)} \times \frac{D_{r}^{(g)}}{D_{r}^{(g-1)}}$


## Cache system

Evaluation of one-loop amplitude leads to multiple calls for the same tensor integral (TI):

- within one master-call: same TI appears several times in reduction tree

- different master calls and their reductions lead to same TI


## Cache system

Evaluation of one-loop amplitude leads to multiple calls for the same tensor integral (TI):

- within one master-call: same TI appears several times in reduction tree

- different master calls and their reductions lead to same TI

Cache system in COLLIER:

- Identify each TI-call via index pair ( $N, i$ ):
$N=$ number of external master call
$i=$ binary index for internal calls (propagated in reduction)
- pointers for each pair ( $N, i$ ) point to same address in cache if arguments of Tl's are identical first call: write cache
- DD: internal cache for internal calls


## Conclusions

- COLLIER= fortran library for numerical calculation of scalar and tensor integrals
- numerical stable results thanks to expansion methods for 3-,4-point integrals
- dimensional and mass regularization supported, as well as complex masses for unstable particles
- two independent implementations: COLLIER = COLI + DD
- used in NLO generators OpenLoops and Recola
- publication in preparation

