





The MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR search for neutrinoless double beta decay

C. M. O'Shaughnessy

Univ. of North Carolina & Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory

On behalf of the MAJORANA Collaboration



20th Particles and Nuclei International Conference 2014 25 – 29 August 2014 Hamburg, Germany

25 August 2014

Outline



- MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR Overview
- Backgrounds
- Status of the DEMONSTRATOR





Black Hills State University, Spearfish, SD Kara Keeter

Duke University, Durham, North Carolina , and TUNL Matthew Busch, James Esterline, Gary Swift, Werner Tornow

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia Alexander Barabash, Sergey Konovalov, Vladimir Yumatov

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia Viktor Brudanin, Slava Egorov, K. Gusev, Oleg Kochetov, M. Shirchenko, V. Timkin, E. Yakushev, I. Zhitnikov

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California and the University of California - Berkeley Nicolas Abgrall, Mark Amman, Paul Barton, Adam Bradley, Yuen-Dat Chan, Paul Luke, Susanne Mertens, Alan Poon, Christopher Schmitt, Kai Vetter, Harold Yaver

Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico Melissa Boswell, Steven Elliott, Johnny Goett, Keith Rielage, Larry Rodriguez, Harry Salazar, Wenqin Xu

North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina and TUNL Dustin Combs, Lance Leviner, David G. Phillips II, Albert Young

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Cristian Baldenegro-Barrera, Fred Bertrand, Kathy Carney, Alfredo Galindo-Uribarri, Matthew P. Green, Monty Middlebrook, David Radford, Elisa Romero-Romero, Robert Varner, Brandon White, Timothy Williams, Chang-Hong Yu

> Osaka University, Osaka, Japan Hiroyasu Ejiri, Ryuta Hazama, Masaharu Nomachi, Shima Tatsuji

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington Isaac Arnquist, Jim Fast, Eric Hoppe, Richard T. Kouzes, Brian LaFerriere, John Orrell, Nicole

Overman

Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, China James Loach

South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, South Dakota Adam Caldwell, Cabot-Ann Christofferson, Stanley Howard, Anne-Marie Suriano, Jared Thompson

> Tennessee Tech University, Cookeville, Tennessee Mary Kidd

University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta Aksel Hallin

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina and TUNL Tom Gilliss, Graham K. Giovanetti, Reyco Henning, MacMullin, Samuel J. Meijer, Benjamin Shanks, Christopher O'Shaughnessy, Jamin Rager, James Trimble, Kris Vorren, John F. Wilkerson

> University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina Frank Avignone, Vince Guiseppe, David Tedeschi, Clint Wiseman

University of South Dakota, Vermillion, South Dakota Dana Byram, Ben Jasinski, Ryan Martin, Nathan Snyder

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee Yuri Efremenko, Sergey Vasilyev

University of Washington, Seattle, Washington Tom Burritt, Micah Buuck, Clara Cuesta, Jason Detwiler, Peter J. Doe, Julieta Gruszko,

Ian Guinn, Greg Harper, Jonathan Leon, David Peterson, R. G. Hamish Robertson, Tim Van Wechel

The MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR



Funded by DOE Office of Nuclear Physics and NSF Particle Astrophysics, with additional contributions from international collaborators.

- **Goals:** Demonstrate backgrounds low enough to justify building a tonne scale experiment.
 - -Establish feasibility to construct & field modular arrays of Ge detectors.
 - -Searches for additional physics beyond the standard model.
- Located underground at 4850' Sanford Underground Research Facility
- Background Goal in the 0vββ peak region of interest (4 keV at 2039 keV) 3 counts/ROI/t/y (after analysis cuts) Assay U.L. currently ≤ 4.1 scales to 1 count/ROI/t/y for a tonne experiment

• 40-kg of Ge detectors

- 30 kg of 87% enriched ⁷⁶Ge crystals
- 10 kg of ^{nat}Ge
- Detector Technology: P-type, point-contact.
- 2 independent cryostats
 - ultra-clean, electroformed Cu
 - 20 kg of detectors per cryostat
 - naturally scalable
- Compact Shield
 - low-background passive Cu and Pb shield with active muon veto





MJD Modular Approach



Detector, mount, and front end electronics



Cryostat and vacuum system



Detector string



MJD Implementation



Three Steps

✓ Prototype Module^{*} (3 strings, ^{nat}Ge)

- Module 1 (7 strings, 20 kg ^{enr}Ge)
- Module 2 (4 strings, 10 kg ^{enr}Ge & 3 strings ^{nat}Ge)





- Commissioning start dates (Estimated)
 - Nov. 2013
 - (Fall 2014)
 - (Fall 2015)



*Same design as Cryos 1 & 2, but fabricated using OFHC Cu (nonelectroformed) components.



Outline



- MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR Overview
- Backgrounds
- Status of the DEMONSTRATOR



MJD Background Strategy



Backgrounds must be both reduced within/near the array & rejected in the analysis.

Reduction	Rejection	
Low-inactive-mass design	Energy resolution	
Ultra-pure materials	Array granularity	
Clean handling	Pulse-shapes	
Shielding and Depth	Time correlations	

MJD Electroformed Cu



• Cu is the key material for MJD

- detector mounts, cryostats, inner shield
- Electroplated on 316 SS mandrels in Class 1000 cleanroom
- Currently there are 10 baths in operation at SURF
- Average growth rate of 1mm/month or 0.033mm/day
- To date 25 of the 31 mandrels needed are complete
- This facility is now becoming available to others



MJD Ultra-Pure Materials



We are working with a novel palette of ultra-pure materials, while also minimizing the total amounts



Point Contact Detectors (PPC)



Luke et al., IEEE trans. Nucl. Sci. 36, 926(1989); P. S. Barbeau, J. I. Collar, and O. Tench, J. Cosm. Astro. Phys. 0709 (2007).

- Ultra-low background requires PSA rejection of multi-site gamma events
- Initially considered coaxial n-type detectors with modest segmentation
- Chose P-type Point-Contact (PPC) detectors
 - No deep hole; small point-like central contact
 - mm thick n+ outer contact
 - Localized weighting potential gives excellent multi-site rejection
 - Low capacitance (~ 1 pF) gives superb resolution at low energies



Point Contact Detectors (PPC)



Luke et al., IEEE trans. Nucl. Sci. 36, 926(1989); P. S. Barbeau, J. I. Collar, and O. Tench, J. Cosm. Astro. Phys. 0709 (2007).

- Ultra-low background requires PSA rejection of multi-site gamma events
- Initially considered coaxial n-type detectors with modest segmentation
- Chose P-type Point-Contact (PPC) detectors
 - No deep hole; small point-like central contact
 - mm thick n+ outer contact
 - Localized weighting potential gives excellent multi-site rejection
 - Low capacitance (~ 1 pF) gives superb resolution at low energies



DEMONSTRATOR Background Budget



Based on achieved assays of materials When UL, use UL as the contribution Current Value: ≤ 4.1 cts / 4 keV / t-y MJD Goal: 3.0 cts / 4 keV / t-y



MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR Simulation



5 year MJD run: 30 kg 87% enriched ⁷⁶Ge; 92% fiducial; 90% livetime (108 kg-years) background of 4.1 cts / 4 keV / t-y



The Majorana Demonstrator search for $0\nu\beta\beta$

MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR Simulation



5 year MJD run: 30 kg 87% enriched ⁷⁶Ge; 92% fiducial; 90% livetime (108 kg-years) background of 4.1 cts / 4 keV / t-y



The Majorana Demonstrator search for $0\nu\beta\beta$

Outline



- MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR Overview
- Backgrounds
- Status of the DEMONSTRATOR



MJD Enriched Detectors



- 30 enriched PPC detectors produced by ORTEC are UG at SURF
 total mass 25.2 kg
- ⁶⁰Co flood measurements to determine detector resolution & detection efficiency
- ¹³³Ba and ²¹⁴Am flood measurements low energy resolutions and dead layer estimation
- ¹³³Ba collimated source scans detector response uniformity
- ²³²Th flood measurement to study PSA performance

Automated scanning of enriched detector







EnrGe Typical Effective Surface Exposure





MJD Strings



- Four strings of natural Ge detectors have been built in the UG glove boxes.
- Strings have been tested in string test cryostats and in the prototype cryostat
- Two of seven enriched strings for module 1 have been built



String with 3 ^{Enr}Ge PPCs and 1 ^{Nat}Ge BEGe



Cable Management System



Loading string into string test cryostat in Glove Box



MJD String Characterization



MJD String Characterization



- String Performance and Characterization
- Study drift times using ¹³³Ba utilizing 356 keV and 81 keV coincidences
- PSA efficiency as a function of position



Azimuthal Scanning Table



Prototype Module

- Aim to debug and validate : cryostat design, vacuum system, monolith, coupling to glove box, string insertion, string cooling & readout, operation. (cryostat not electoformed)
- Define, test and refine all clean procedures.
- Serving the planned purpose in preparations for Module 1
 - operations, cleanliness, glovebox work, IR shielding, cabling, readout, noise
- Have been operating three strings in the prototype cryostat

Prototype Cryostat inserted into the glove box



Prototype Cryostat with three installed strings





MJD Shield

- Shield assembly underway with the lead shield completed.
- Movable (air pad) monoliths operational.
- Radon exclusion box has been fabricated and installed.
- Polyethylene neutron shield designed, being cut on the surface.
- Veto panels fabricated, tested, on-site, and 75% installed. Commissioning data being taken.
- Calibration system partially installed on prototype cryostat

Shield with inner copper components and "slot" for monolith

25 August 2014

Shield with Radon exclusion box installed

The Majorana Demonstrator search for $0\nu\beta\beta$





Shield with veto monolith blank and prototype cryostat



MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR Summary



- Growth of all electroformed copper needed for the DEMONSTRATOR is nearly complete.
- Current background budget is limited by assay measurement to < 4.1 counts/4 keV/t-y, close to the original MJD goal of 3.
- Module 1 EF Cu & 25 kg of enriched detectors in hand.
- Module 1 vacuum system is complete and cryostat assembly nearing completion.
- Shield progressing on schedule.
- Aim to start commissioning Module 1 in September 2014 and taking engineering run in early 2015.
- Phased start of operations of Module 1 in 2015 as we complete fabrication and assembly of Module 2.

Supporting Slides





MJD Electroformed Cu



• MJD operates 10 baths at the Temporary Clean Room (TCR) facility at the 4850' level and 6 baths at a shallow UG site at PNNL. All copper is machined at the MJD Davis campus.

Electroforming Baths in TCR



Inspection of EF copper on mandrels



Preparing to machine electroformed copper mandrel in the clean machine shop, MJD Davis Campus, 4850'

EF copper after turning on lathe



Flattened plate





- Th decay chain 0.06 \pm 0.02 μ Bq/kg (0.15 counts in ROI)
- U decay chain $0.17 \pm 0.03 \mu Bq/kg$ (0.08 counts in ROI)

MJD Materials Assay Results



Material	Part of Demonstrator	Decay Chain	Achieved Assay		Poforonc
			[mBq/kg]	[c/ROI/t/ y]	e
EFCu EFCu Inner Cu S Cryostat, Coldplate, Thermal S Detector Mounts	Inner Cu Shield, Cryostat,	Th	0.06	0.15	[1]
	Coldplate, Thermal Shield, Detector Mounts	U	0.17	0.08	
OFHC	Outer Copper Shield (O.Cut)	Th	1.1	0.26	[2]
		U	1.25	0.03	
Pb L	Lead Shield	Th	<4.1	<0.20	[3]
		U	<24.9	<0.26	
PTFE	Detector Supports	Th	0.1 ± 0.01	0.01	[4,5]
		U	<5	<0.01	
Vespel	Cold Plate Supp., Connectors	Th	<12	<0.01	[4,5]
		U	<1050	<0.4	
Parylene	Cu coating, Cryostat seals	Th	2150	0.27	[6]
		U	3110	0.09	
Silica / Au, Epoxy	Front-End Electronics	Th	6530	0.32	[7]
		U	10570	0.28	
Cu Wire + PFA	Signal /HV Cable	Th	2.2	0.01	[8]
		U	145	0.08	
Stainless Steel	Service Body	Th	13000	<0.04	[9]
		U	<5000	<0.03	
Solder Flux	Connectors	Th	365	0.12	Old SNO Report
		U	1157	0.07	

25 August 2014

[1] "Determination of Method Detection Limits for Trace 232-Thorium and 238-Uranium in Copper using Ion Exchange and ICPMS-January 2014", [M-TECHDOCPhys-2014-72].

[2] Commercial Copper Assay Report[M-TECHDOCDET-2012-149]

[3] Results of Lead Assay by GDMS; final [M-TECHDOCDET-2012-146]

[4] Final report on assay of plastic for MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR [M-TECHDOCDET-2011-137].

[5] Report on NAA performed at HFIR (ORNL) for samples of TE-6742 for the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR [M-TECHDOCDET-2011-128].

[6] Cable Assay [M-TECHDOCDET-2011-124].

[7] ICP-MS of Low Mass Front-End Board; final [M-TECHDOCDET-2013-157]

[8] ICP-MS Assay of MJD Copper Cables for Uranium & Thorium - December 2013; [M-TECHDOCMJTEST-2014-027].

[9] Service Body Assay Results; final [M-TECHDOCDET-2012-143]



 ${}^{68}\text{Ge}(\text{EC}, \text{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}\text{=}270 \text{ d}) \rightarrow {}^{68}\text{Ga}(90\% \text{ 1.9 MeV }\beta^+, \text{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}\text{=}68 \text{ min}):$



Signal Selection in Time Selection tag ⁶⁸Ge decay via
 K-shell (~10 keV, 86.4%), L-shell (~1 keV, 11.5%) de-excitations

– If one observes ⁶⁸Ge decay in a detector veto for several half-lives

MJD Cosmogenic Activation



Cosmogenic activation backgrounds can be mitigated with minimized exposure and cuts

- Our background calculations for Ge assume <100 days sea-level equivalent exposure (slee) after enrichment, <50 days slee after crystal pulling.
- For ⁶⁰Co in Cu, assumed:
- 1 month slee for EFCu
- 6 months slee for OFHC
- Saturation for cables
- We are doing much better than this for EFCu due to co-located UG production, machining and final use.

⁶⁸Ge-⁶⁸Ga in ^{enr}Ge detectors

