ELECTROWEAK PRECISION PHYSICS AT THE LHC

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab For the CMS and ATLAS Collaborations

20th Particles & Nuclei International Conference

25-29 August 2014 Hamburg, Germany



Outline

Introduction

W, Z bosons: Production & Properties

- Cross Sections
- ♦W Mass, W Charge Asymmetry
- ✤Z and Drell-Yan
 - ➢ Differential Cross sections
 - ➤ Kinematics
- Weak Mixing Angle

Multi-boson production and Gauge Couplings
Vector Boson Scattering: First evidence
Summary

Introduction

The Standard Model has been a phenomenal success, especially now that a SM-like Higgs boson has been found.

However, it is most likely that the SM is a low energy approximation to a more fundamental theory.

•We may directly observe particles and interactions of new physics at the LHC.

Precision electroweak measurements can provide us indirect access to new physics beyond the SM.

The Precision Frontier with EWK Physics

 Precision electroweak measurements are sensitive to top mass m₊ and Higgs e.g. mass $M_{\rm H}$ via quantum corrections. Global EWK fits provided estimates for W^+ m_t and M_H before the discoveries. PHYSICAL REVIEW D 81, 035009 (2010) M_w [GeV] aht SUS' uncertainties 68% CL: 80.60 exp.: LEP2/Tevatron 80.5 MSSM





September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab

PANIC 2014 Hamburg

EWK Physics at the Energy Frontier

 Data from the successful LHC Run 1 is providing TeV-scale tests of single and multiple electroweak boson production





September 27, 2014

W, Z Production and Properties

- The W, Z boson production are benchmark processes for physics at the LHC.
- They provide calibration of the energy scale and the rates, and provide control samples for analyses.
- W, Z +X events also form backgrounds for many physics processes of interest, and hence need to be understood very well.
 - (top quark events become important background, e.g., for WW; they also help calibrate jet energy scale)

 Electroweak processes and properties have sensitivity to new physics beyond the SM through radiative corrections.

Cross Section Measurements (CMS) Stairway to .. Where?



Cross section Measurements (ATLAS)



September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

W Boson Mass

The W mass, $M_{W_{i}}$ is a key parameter in the SM.

The world's most precise measurements of M_W, so far, come from the Tevatron experiments.

M_w [MeV]

$$M_{W} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi\alpha}{\sqrt{2}G_{F}}} \frac{1}{\sin\theta_{W}\sqrt{(1-\Delta r)}}$$

 $\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle W}$ is the weak mixing angle $\Delta\,r\!:$ radiative correction







CDF 1988-1995 (107 pb⁻¹) 80432 ± 79 CDF: 19 MeV D0 1992-1995 (95 pb⁻¹ 80478 ± 83 D0: 23 MeV CDF 2002-2007 (2.2 fb⁻¹ 80387 ± 19 LEP2: 33 MeV D0 2002-2009 (5.3 fb⁻¹ 80376 ± 23 Tevatron 2012 80387 ± 16 LEP 80376 ± 33 World Average (2012): World average 80385 ± 15 15 MeV **Tevatron** Combined 80200 80400 80600 Projected: 9 MeV M_w [MeV]

Mass of the W Boson

September 27, 2014

Measurement

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

W Mass at LHC

- The LHC has excellent detectors and huge statistics
 - ♦~100 million W($\mu \nu$) \bullet ~10 million Z(µµ) (CMS in Run I)
- Good prospect for a <10-MeV measurement

◆Big issues:

♦Need 2x better PDFs. Sea quarks play a strong role. ♦Momentum scale Hadronic recoil/Missing ET ♦Pile-up at High Lumi?

CTEQ6.6 -80.415 Phys.Rev.D83: MSTW2008 NNPDF2.1 113008,2011 Nominal value 80.41 m_W (GeV) 80.405 80.4 80.395 LHC7W⁺ LHC7W⁻ LHC14W⁺ LHC14W⁻ TEV 80.39 LHC ΔM_W [MeV] \sqrt{s} [TeV] 8 14 $\mathcal{L}[\mathrm{fb}^{-1}]$ 20300PDF 510 3 QED rad. 4 2 $p_T(W)$ model 1 other systematics 105W statistics 0.21 Total 158

NLO-QCD, normalized transverse mass distribution

September 27, 2014

PANIC 2014 Hamburg

arXiv:1310.6708 10

14

3000

3

2

1

3

0

5

W Charge Asymmetry

In pp collisions, more W⁺ produced than W⁻ since u dominates over d

<u>×10³</u>

(a) $W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ v$, 0.00 < hpl < 0.20

MC (stat)

40

Data

20

40

30

20

10

1.2

0.8

ō

Events / GeV

 Differential W charge asymmetry probes u/d ratio vs x.



11

 $u(x_1)$ p W^+ $\overline{d}(x_2)$

 Extract muon charge asymmetry from a sample of 12.9 M W+ and 9.1 M Wevent sample

✤ 8% QCD, 8% EW, 0.8% ttbar

September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab

Data / MC

PANIC 2014 Hamburg

60

W Charge Asymmetry

arXiv: 1312.6283

New measurement from CMS with > 20 M W events

♦ Measured in 11 y bins and with two p_T (25 GeV, 35 GeV) thresholds ♦ Exp. uncertainty per bin 0.2 -0.4%, smaller than pdf uncertainties



Power to distinguish and constrain PDFs, and improve future fits
 CT10nlo does better than others

RESBOS and FEWZ also describe data well.September 27, 2014 Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

W Charge Asymmetry at the LHC



New measurements in agreement with CMS in the overlap region.

Constrains PDFs at lower X

•LHCb has unique access to high rapidity leptons (2< $|\eta|$ < 4.5)



Improving PDFs

arXiv: 1312.6283

 \bullet CMS W (μ) asymmetry combined with HERA I DIS results improve precision of valence quark PDFs

13 parameter fixed S-fit



CMS data 4.7 fb⁻¹



Z and Drell-Yan Measurements

September 27, 2014 Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

Z and Drell-Yan Process

Cross section as a function of Dilepton mass







Data above predictions for m ~ 120 -400 GeV NLO EWK corrections and photon-induced production relevant at high mass

High mass

DY Cross Section at 8 TeV

Measured from 15 to 2000 GeV!



 Differential Cross section ratios for the two energies measured for the first time!



September 27, 2014

Differential Cross section at 8 TeV

 \diamond d σ /dy for Drell-Yan in six different mass bins (CMS)



September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PAN

PANIC 2014 Hamburg

Kinematic Properties of the Z (CMS)

CMS Preliminary $\sqrt{s}=8$ TeV | L dt = 19.7 fb⁻¹ CMS Preliminary Vs=8TeV $L dt = 19.7 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ σ/σ_{inc} d σ/σ_{inc} \diamond Z boson p_T and $0 \le |Y(Z)| < 2$ Z rapidity - Data 0.2 σ measured and 10⁻¹ - MadGraph k_{NNLO} compared with - RESBOS predictions 10⁻² 0. 🛨 Data Measured MadGraph k doubly 10⁻³ RESBOS differentially MC/Data 1.4 MC/Data MadGraph k_{NNLO}/Data MadGraph k_{NNLO}/Data Data spectrum 1.2 softer at higher **MC/Data** 14 p_T than MC MC/Data 1.4 **RESBOS/Data** RESBOS/Data 1.2 Discrepancy at lower p_T as 100 200 300 0.5 1.5 0 well. $P_{T}(Z)$ [GeV] |Y(Z)|CMS-PAS-SMP-13-013

September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

20

Kinematic Properties of the Z (ATLAS)



 Disagreement with predictions at low and high p_T
 New tune for PYTHIA8 which gives good agreement at low p_T with AZNLO



September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 201

arxiv:1406.3660

PANIC 2014 HULLING

Weak Mixing Angle

September 27, 2014 Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

Weak Mixing Angle (CMS)

- The "forward-backward" asymmetry in the distribution of the polar angle of the lepton w.r.t. the direction of the quark from the incoming proton is used to extract $\sin^2\theta_W$.
- In pp collisions, the direction of the quark is not known and can be deduced only on a statistical basis.
- CMS performed a multivariate likelihood analysis where the quark direction is statistically modeled using the correlation between the dilepton rapidity, invariant mass and decay angle observables.

♦ With 1.1 fb⁻¹, CMS measured

 $sin^2\theta_{eff} = 0.2287 \pm 0.0020(stat) \pm 0.0025(syst)$

Phys. Rev. D 84, 112002 (2011)

A_{FB} and $sin^2\theta_{eff}$ from ATLAS



Prospects for $sin^2\theta_{eff}$ at the LHC

 Need a 6x better measurement to achieve current world-average precision

Requires

- ◆20 fb⁻¹ at 13-14 TeV for target statistical error
- Lepton energy scale
 by 5x
- PDF uncertainty to improve by 5-10x

	CC electrons	CF electrons	Muons	C	ombine	d
Uncertainty source	(10^{-4})	(10^{-4})	(10^{-4})	(10^{-4})		
PDF	9	5	9		7	
MC statistics	9	5	9		4	
Electron energy scale	4	6	_		4	
Electron energy smearing	4	5	_		3	
Muon energy scale	_	_	5		2	
Higher-order corrections	3	1	3		2	
Other sources	1	1	2		2	



September 27, 2014

PANIC 2014 Hamburg

Multi-boson Production and Anomalous Gauge Boson Couplings

September 27, 2014 Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

Diboson Production



•Measurement of diboson production (W_{γ} , Z_{γ} , WW, WZ, ZZ) test the gauge structure of the SM.

- Vector boson self-couplings are fundamental predictions
- Deviations from SM i.e., anomalous triple gauge couplings (aTGC) would indicate new physics
- Sensitive to new particles decaying into boson pairs
- Non-resonant diboson production is an irreducible background to Higgs production processes

Critical to understand to study properties of the Higgs boson

WZ and Wy Production

- Thousands of high purity trilepton WZ candidates and tens of thousands of Wγ
- Main background from photon and lepton fakes
- \bullet No evidence of new physics in high p_T tails

Data 2011 (Exclusive)

MCFM (Exclusive)

SHERPA × 1.0 (Exclusive)

ALPGEN × 1.5 (Exclusive)

60

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab

100

1000

PANIC 2014 Hamburg

 E_{T}^{γ} [GeV]

 $\frac{d\sigma(pp \rightarrow lv\gamma)}{dE_{T}^{\gamma}} [fb \text{ GeV}^{-1}]$

<u>Data</u> Theory

<u>Data</u> Theory 10²

10

10⁻¹

10⁻²

°15

September 27, 2014

ATLAS

∖s=7TeV

L dt = 4.6 fb

Data 2011 (Inclusive)

MCFM (Inclusive)

20

SHERPA × 1.0 (Inclusive)

ALPGEN × 1.5 (Inclusive)

30

40







Pushpa Bhat Fermilab

PANIC 2014 Harius

aTGC Results Summary

 Best LHC 7 TeV measurements as good as LEP2 +Tevatron combinations

 Semileptonic WW gives the best info on κ and λ, leptonic WW and WZ better for g.

Feb 2013			
			ATLAS Limits CMS Limits D0 Limit LEP Limit
Δ10	⊢-I	WW	-0.043 - 0.043 4.6 fb ⁻¹
ΔĸZ	H	WV	-0.043 - 0.033 5.0 fb ⁻¹
	⊢●−∣	LEP Combination	-0.074 - 0.051 0.7 fb ⁻¹
2	⊢ −−1	WW	-0.062 - 0.059 4.6 fb ⁻¹
Λz	⊢	WW	-0.048 - 0.048 4.9 fb ⁻¹
	⊢I	WZ	-0.046 - 0.047 4.6 fb ⁻¹
	н	WV	-0.038 - 0.030 5.0 fb ⁻¹
	ЮЧ	D0 Combination	-0.036 - 0.044 8.6 fb ⁻¹
	⊣	LEP Combination	-0.059 - 0.017 0.7 fb ⁻¹
Δq^{Z}	\vdash	WW	-0.039 - 0.052 4.6 fb ⁻¹
<u></u>	⊢−−−−	WW	-0.095 - 0.095 4.9 fb ⁻¹
	⊢	WZ	-0.057 - 0.093 4.6 fb ⁻¹
	FOH	D0 Combination	-0.034 - 0.084 8.6 fb ⁻¹
	H	LEP Combination	-0.054 - 0.021 0.7 fb ⁻¹
-0.5	0	0.5 1	1.5
		aTGC L	imits @95% C.L.

• Probing $\Lambda \sim 200-500$ GeV for c=1.

WW Production (8 TeV)

PLB 721 (2013) 190 ATLAS-CONF-2014-033



ATLAS Diboson Summary



September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

CMS Diboson Summary



Triboson Search in WWy and WZy

- Studied in Iv+jj+γ final state for best aQGC sensitivity
- No anomalous high p_T tail in photon p_T
- Cross section Upper Limit on SM triboson rate is 3.4xSM (<311 fb)
- First limits ever on several aQGCs
 - $$\begin{split} -21 &< a_0^W / \Lambda^2 < 20 \, {\rm TeV^{-2}}, \\ -34 &< a_C^W / \Lambda^2 < 32 \, {\rm TeV^{-2}}, \\ -25 &< f_{T,0} / \Lambda^4 < 24 \, {\rm TeV^{-4}}, \\ -12 &< \kappa_0^W / \Lambda^2 < 10 \, {\rm TeV^{-2}}, \text{ and} \\ -18 &< \kappa_C^W / \Lambda^2 < 17 \, {\rm TeV^{-2}}. \end{split}$$



September 27, 2014

PANIC 2014 Hamburg

Electroweak Z + 2 jet production

<u>JHEP 04 (2014) 031</u> JHEP 10 (2013) 062

- Unique process for studying rapidity gaps and VBF jet dynamics
- Require dijet "VBF topology":
- large dijet mass (250-1000 GeV)
- ➤ Large dijet ∆y (or rapidity gap)
- other kinematic information to separate from QCD Z+ 2 jet
- CMS observed a 2.6σ signal in the 7 TeV data after a BDT selection







Electroweak Z + 2 jet production

>5 σ evidence has been reported by both experiments at 8 CMS 8 TeV to be submitted TeV, first published by ATLAS. Cross sections are consistent $\sigma_{EWK} = 226 \pm 44$ fb with SM predictions.





 After reweighting QCD Z+2 jets in sidebands, jet dynamics well-modeled in and around search regions

JHEP 04 (2014) 031

First VV→VV search at the LHC WWyy QGC

 First search for photon-photon scattering production of WW

JHEP 1307 (2013) 116

Two photon production of WW (s, t, and u channel)



Two eµ events observed with no UE present

 First quartic gauge coupling limits at LHC; WW_{γγ} limit two orders better than LEP or Tevatron!

September 27, 2014



Vector Boson Scattering and EWSB

- Vector Boson scattering is an important process to study in order to probe the nature of electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB).
- EWSB in SM with Higgs essential to preserve VV scattering cross section unitarity



VV jj Production via VBS

At hadron colliders VBS can be seen as an interaction of gauge bosons radiated from initial state quarks, yielding two vector bosons (VV) and two jets (jj) in the final state.



The VVjj final state can have contributions from strong production processes as well

In case of same-sign WW +jj, the strong production background is small enough to make this channel attractive at the LHC

W[±]W[±]jj Production (ATLAS)

 Both CMS and ATLAS have searched 8 TeV data for same sign WW + 2 jets

ATLAS analysis defines dijet_mass>500 GeV, rapidity-gap> 2.4 as the signal rich VBS region





 1.39 ± 0.27

 $0.50\,\pm\,0.26$

 7.6 ± 1.0

6

 0.64 ± 0.24

 1.5 ± 0.6

 15.6 ± 2.0

18

 $0.34\,\pm\,0.19$

 6.6 ± 0.8

10

Good agreement with SM in signal and control region.
Background mainly from real multi-lepton processes

September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab

PANIC 2014 Hamburg

OS prompt leptons

Other non-prompt

Total Predicted

Data

 2.0 ± 0.5

 $2.3\,\pm\,0.7$

 29.8 ± 3.5

34



W[±]W[±] ii Production (CMS)

• CMS Analysis (19.4 fb⁻¹):

CMS-PAS-SMP-13-015

- > 500 GeV dijet mass and 2.5 dijet rapidity gap, with top veto, Z veto, and dilepton mass > 50 GeV defined as VBS signal region
- Most remaining background is fake/non-prompt leptons
- Observed events agree with SM predictions

Pushpa Bhat Fermilap

	Data	W [±] W [±] jj	Total bkg.	Non-prompt	WZ	VVV	Wrong sign	WW DPS
$W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$	12	8.8 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.8	4.2 ± 0.8	1.0 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.08	0.1 ± 0.1
W^+W^+	10	7.0 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 0.6	2.1 ± 0.6	0.6 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.08	0.1 ± 0.1
W^-W^-	2	1.8 ± 0.1	$\textbf{2.6} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	2.1 ± 0.5	0.4 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	—	



PANIC 2014 Hamburg

Summary

- Precision electroweak measurements at the LHC can provide indirect access to new physics beyond the SM
- Many impressive results on single- and multi- vector boson production and properties obtained by ATLAS and CMS from data at 7 TeV and 8 TeV LHC runs
- First evidence for EWK vector boson scattering (VBS) seen by ATLAS in W[±]W[±]jj production
- LHC poised to directly test electroweak symmetry breaking. Exploring VBS at higher energies is complementary to studying Higgs properties in order to understand EWSB.
- CMS, ATLAS public results:
 - https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResults
 - https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic

BACK-UP SLIDES

September 27, 2014 Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

W, Z Cross Sections

CMS-SMP-12-011

UA2

UA1

0.5

10⁻¹





Systematic uncertainties:

lepton efficiency (1-3%), momentum (energy) scale (0.3-0.7%), MET modeling (0.5-0.8%), background subtraction (0.2-0.3%) theoretical uncertainty (acceptance): ~2 -2.5 % luminosity: 2.6%

Drell-Yan Cross Section at 7 TeV (CMS) ee and µµ channels



Differential Cross-section at 7 TeV

\diamond d σ /dy for Drell-Yan in different mass bins from CMS



September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

Electroweak Z + 2 jet production JHEP 04 (2014) 031 JHEP 10 (2013) 062 CMS 8 TeV to be submitted

- Now a laboratory for studying generator behavior in VBF/VBS context!
- TGC limits obtained from ATLAS less stringent than diboson



	<u>wwww</u>	······································	$[fb^{-1}]$	neierence
pp total	$\sigma=95.35\pm0.38\pm1.3$ hackb (data) COMPETE RRpl2u 2002 (theory)	8×10 ⁻⁸	ATLAS-CONF-2014-040	
ets R=0.4	$\sigma=563.9\pm1.5+55.4-51.4$ nb (data) NLOJet++, CT10 (theory)	0.1 < pT < 2 TeV	4.5	ATLAS-STDM-2013-11
jets R=0.4 <3.0, y*<3.0	$\sigma=86.87\pm0.26$ + 7.56 – 7.2 nb (data) NLOJet++, CT10 (theory)	0.3 < m _{jj} < 5 TeV	4.5	JHEP 05, 059 (2014)
W total	$\sigma=94.51\pm0.194\pm3.726$ nb (data) FEWZ+HERA1.5 NNLO (theory)	¢ å	0.035	PRD 85, 072004 (2012)
Z total	$\sigma = 27.94 \pm 0.178 \pm 1.096 \text{ nb (data)} \\ \text{FEWZ+HERA1.5 NNLO (theory)}$	¢ ¢	0.035	PRD 85, 072004 (2012)
+Ŧ	$\sigma = 182.9 \pm 3.1 \pm 6.4 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)} \\ \text{top++ NNLO+NNLL (theory)}$	¢ 🔰	4.6	arXiv:1406.5375 [hep-ex
total	$\sigma = 242.4 \pm 1.7 \pm 10.2 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$ top++ NNLO+NNLL (theory)	4 4	20.3	arXiv:1406.5375 [hep-ex
t _{t-chan}	$\sigma = 68.0 \pm 2.0 \pm 8.0 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{NLO+NLL (theory)}$	۵ ا	4.6	arXiv:1406.7844 [hep-ex
total		4 4	20.3	ATLAS-CONF-2014-007
	$\sigma = 72.0 \pm 9.0 \pm 19.8 \ \mathrm{pb} \ \mathrm{(data)} \\ \mathrm{MCFM} \ \mathrm{(theory)}$	ATLAS Preliminary	4.7	ATLAS-CONF-2012-157
۱۸/۱۸/	$\sigma = 51.9 \pm 2.0 \pm 4.4 \text{ pb} (\text{data})$ MCFM (theory)		4.6	PRD 87, 112001 (2013)
total	$\sigma = 71.4 \pm 1.2 \pm 5.5 - 4.9 \mathrm{pb}$ (data) MCFM (theory)	$\mathbf{A} Run \forall \mathbf{y} \mathbf{y} = 7, 0 ev \mathbf{y} = 1$	20.3	ATLAS-CONF-2014-033
Haar	$\sigma=19.0+6.2-6.0+2.6-1.9~\mathrm{pb}$ (data) LHC-HXSWG (theory)		4.8	ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-0
total	$\label{eq:second} \begin{split} \sigma = 25.4 + 3.6 - 3.5 + 2.9 - 2.3 \mathrm{pb} \; \mathrm{(data)} \\ \mathrm{LHC}\text{-HXSWG} \; \mathrm{(theory)} \end{split}$	\square	20.3	ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-0
\//t	$\sigma = 16.8 \pm 2.9 \pm 3.9$ pb (data) NLO+NLL (theory)		2.0	PLB 716, 142-159 (201
total	$\sigma = 27.2 \pm 2.8 \pm 5.4 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{NLO+NLL (theory)}$	A Theory	20.3	ATLAS-CONF-2013-10
W/7	$\sigma = 19.0 + 1.4 - 1.3 \pm 1.0$ pb (data) MCFM (theory)	Data	4.6	EPJC 72, 2173 (2012)
total	$\sigma = 20.3 + 0.8 - 0.7 + 1.4 - 1.3 {\rm pb} \; {\rm (data)} \; {\rm MCFM} \; {\rm (theory)}$	↓ Stat stat+syst	13.0	ATLAS-CONF-2013-02
77	$\sigma = 6.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.5 - 0.4$ pb (data) MCFM (theory)	<u>۵</u>	4.6	JHEP 03, 128 (2013)
total	$\sigma = 7.1 + 0.5 - 0.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ pb (data)}$ MCFM (theory)	4 I HC pp $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV	20.3	ATLAS-CONF-2013-020
H vBF total	$\sigma = 2.6 \pm 0.6 + 0.5 - 0.4 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{LHC-HXSWG (theory)} $	Theory	▲ 20.3	ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-0
ttW total	$\sigma = 300.0 + 120.0 - 100.0 + 70.0 - 40.0$ fb (data) MCFM (theory)	Data stat	20.3	ATLAS-CONF-2014-038
tīZ total	$\sigma = 150.0 + 55.0 - 50.0 \pm 21.0 \text{ fb} \text{ (data)}$ HELAC-NLO (theory)		20.3	ATLAS-CONF-2014-038
	$10^{-5} 10^{-4} 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} 1$	10^{1} 10^{2} 10^{3} 10^{4} 10^{5} 10^{6} 10^{11} 0 5 1	15 2	
	TO TO TO TO TO T	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 0.3 1	1.5 2	

Sensitivity to higher order corrections



September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

Double Differential Cross Section Ratio





September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

52

VV scattering: future prospects

Entries

3500

3000

2500⊢

2000

1500**⊢**

1000**⊢**

500



Snowmass study to understand VBS potential of LHC Run2+

Select 3 lepton or same-sign dilepton events with "VBF dijet" (dijet mass > 1 TeV)

Several modes expected to be observed with < 300/fb

5 sigma anomalous dim 8 production

D (dimension	channel	Λ_{UV} [TeV]	300 fb ⁻¹		
Parameter				5σ	95% CL	
$c_{\phi W}/\Lambda^2$	6	ZZ	1.9	34 TeV^{-2}	$20 { m TeV^{-2}}$	
f_{S0}/Λ^4	8	$W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$	2.0	10 TeV^{-4}	6.8 TeV^{-4}	
f_{T1}/Λ^4	8	WZ	3.7	1.3 TeV^{-4}	$0.7 { m TeV^{-4}}$	
f_{T8}/Λ^4	8	Ζγγ	12	0.9 TeV^{-4}	$0.5 { m TeV^{-4}}$	
f_{T9}/Λ^4	8	$Z\gamma\gamma$	13	2.0 TeV^{-4}	$0.9 { m TeV^{-4}}$	





HamburbAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-00653

Effective Field Theory and Boson Interactions

- For generic new physics effects descended from some high energy scale Λ, explore operator product expansion with Wilson coefficients c_i
- Before EWSB, 5 gauge boson interaction terms respect gauge invariance (3 CP even + 2 CP odd)
- After EWSB, induces trilinear VVV', VV'H, and quartic interactions with correlated coefficients.
- At dim 6, expect WWγ, WWZ interactions with 3 CP-even parameters (g₁, κ, λ)
- Manifested as high mass/momentum production tails

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{UNBROKEN} \underset{\mathcal{L}_{eff}}{\overset{\infty}{=}} \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum \sum \frac{c_i^{(n)}}{\Lambda n} \mathcal{O}_i^{(n+4)} \end{aligned}$ $\mathcal{O}_{WWW} = \operatorname{Tr}[W_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W^{\mu}_{\rho}]$ $\mathcal{O}_W = (D_\mu \Phi)^{\dagger} W^{\mu\nu} (D_\nu \Phi)$ $\mathcal{O}_B = (D_\mu \Phi)^{\dagger} B^{\mu\nu} (D_\nu \Phi)$ $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}WW} = \operatorname{Tr}[\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W^{\mu}_{\rho}]$ $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\tilde{W}^{\mu\nu}(D_{\nu}\Phi)$ BROKEN $\mathcal{L} = ig_{WWV} \left(g_1^V (W_{\mu\nu}^+ W^{-\mu} - W^{+\mu} W_{\mu\nu}^-) V^{\nu} + \right)$ $\kappa_V W^+_\mu W^-_\nu V^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\lambda_V}{M^2_{\mu\nu}} W^{\nu+}_\mu W^{-\rho}_\nu V^\mu_
ho$ $\left. + \tilde{\kappa}_V W^+_\mu W^-_\nu \tilde{V}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\lambda_V}{m_{\mu\nu}^2} W^{\nu+}_\mu W^{-\rho}_\nu \tilde{V}^\mu_\rho \right)$ GC 54

Quartic Gauge Boson Couplings

SM has four quartic interactions at tree level
 WWWW, WWZZ, WW_{YY}, WWZ_Y



 Neutral 4 boson vertices can be nonzero, though not predicted in the SM at tree level.
 *ZZZZ, ZZZY, ZZYY, ZYYY

Manifested as triboson or vector-boson scattering

September 27, 2014 Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg

WW Production (7 TeV)

Events / 20 Ge/

- Thousands of candidates in dilepton channel
- \bullet Leading p_T shows no anomalous contribution
- Signficant diboson signal in semileptonic channel



PANIC 2014 Hamburg

Weak Mixing Angle at the LHC

♦ The parton-level cross section for $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \ell \ell$



Forward-Backward Asymmetry

CMS did measure A_{FR} in an independent analysis. ◆5 fb⁻¹, 7 TeV >1 M events 4 rapidity bins ♦Subtract backgrounds, unfold F and B separately, correct for dilution, ... Phys. Lett. B718 (2013) 752 September 27, 2014 Pushpa Bhat Fermilab



A_{FB} and $sin^2\theta_w$ from ATLAS

◆5 fb⁻¹ at √s =7 TeV, both ee, μμ central-central ee, μμ and central-forward ee pairs
 ◆Unfold and correct for detector effects, QED FSR and dilution



September 27, 2014

Pushpa Bhat Fermilab PANIC 2014 Hamburg