Conclusions

1/17

Measurements of the Form Factor in VP γ^* transitions and study of the $\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ Dalitz plot at KLOE

Li Caldeira Balkeståhl on behalf of KLOE-2 Collaboration

Department of Physics and Astronomy Uppsala University

> 2014-08-28 PANIC 2014



$\mathsf{DA}\phi\mathsf{NE}\ \phi$ factory





- e^+e^- collider at $\sqrt{s} = \mathsf{M}_\phi$
- 2 interaction regions
- separate e^+e^- rings
- 105+105 bunches
- 2.7 ns bunch spacing
- $I_{peak}^{+/-} \sim 2.4/1.5 \text{ A}$
- $\theta_{cross} = 2 \cdot 12.5 \text{ mrad}$

Best performances (1999-2007)

$$L_{peak} = 1.4 \cdot 10^{32} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$$

•
$$\int L dt = 8.5 pb^{-1}/day$$



2008, new interaction scheme $L_{new} \sim 3 \cdot L_{old}$



KLOE Experiment





KLOE: DC and EMC in $\sim 0.52T$ Drift Chamber (4 m diameter, 3.75m long)

 $\bullet~$ Gas Mixture 90% He $+10\%~C_4H_{10}$

•
$$\sigma_{xy} = 150 \ \mu \text{m}; \ \sigma_z = 2 \ \text{mm}$$

•
$$\frac{\delta p_t}{p_t} < 0.4\% \ (\theta > 45^\circ)$$

Electromagnetic Calorimeter

• lead/scintillating fibers • 98% solid angle coverage • $\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = \frac{5.7\%}{\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})}}$ • $\sigma_t = \frac{57 \text{ ps}}{\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})}} \oplus 100 \text{ ps}$ • PID capabilities

KLOE data taking ended 2006

• 2.5 fb⁻¹ at
$$\sqrt{s} = M_{\phi}$$

- $\sim 10 \text{ pb}_{1}^{-1}$ at 1010, 1018, 1023, 1030 MeV
- 250 pb⁻¹ at 1000 MeV



KLOE-2 Upgrade







- High energy tagger, Low energy tagger (tag e^+e^- for $\gamma\gamma$ physics)
- 2 new calorimeters (for low angle γ s & γ s from K_L decays)
- Inner tracker (cylindrical GEM, for better vertex reconstruction and larger low p_t track accepatance)







KLOE-2 Upgrade



- Comissioning of detector and accelerator in progress
- KLOE-2 goal: collect 5 fb^{-1} in next 2-3 years











New theoretical models

- Terschlusen and Leupold [Phys. Lett. B 691 191 (2009)]
- Ivashyn S. [Prob. Atom. Sci. Tech. 2012N1 179 (2012)]
- Schneider, Kubis, Nieking [Phys. Rev. D 86 054013 (2012)]



Experimental results



Existing results on TFF and BR of $\phi \to \eta e^+ e^-$ and $\phi \to \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ have large errors

• Branching ratio

decay	SND	CMD-2	PDG avg.
${\sf BR}(\phi o \eta e^+ e^-) imes 10^4$	$(1.19\pm0.19\pm0.07)$	$(1.14\pm 0.10\pm 0.06)$	(1.15 ± 0.10)
${\sf BR}(\phi o \pi^0 e^+ e^-) imes 10^5$	$(1.01\pm 0.28\pm 0.29)$	$(1.22\pm 0.34\pm 0.21)$	(1.12 ± 0.28)

J. Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 86, 010001 (2012)

• Form factor $F(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{1-q^{2}/\Lambda^{2}} \text{ with } q^{2} = M_{l+l-}^{2}$ slope parameter $b = \frac{dF(q^{2})}{dq^{2}}|_{q^{2}=0} = \Lambda^{-2}$ $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^{+}e^{-}$: $\Lambda^{-2} = (3.8 \pm 1.8) \text{ GeV}^{-2} \text{ SND at VEPP-2M}$ $VMD: \Lambda^{-2} \approx M_{\phi}^{-2} \approx 1 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ $\phi \rightarrow \pi^{0}e^{+}e^{-}$: No data availbale on FF slope $VMD: \Lambda^{-2} \approx 1.6 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ SND @ VEPP-2M [F

SND @ VEPP-2M [Phys.Lett. B 504 275-281 (2001)] 7/17

400 M_(MeV)



Selection:

- 2 tracks
- 6 photons
- $400 < M_{6\gamma} < 700 \; {
 m MeV}$
- 536.5 $< M_{eerecoil} <$ 554.5 MeV
- Conversion on beam pipe and drift chamber cut
- TOF cut



After $M_{eerecoil}$ cut



 $\mathcal{L} \sim 1.7 \text{ fb}^{-1}$, small residual background (< 3%, from $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$ and $\phi \rightarrow K_S K_L$) After background subtraction: 29625 ± 178 events

 $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$ with $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$







With $\eta\to 3\pi^0$

Systematic effects

	Cut	BR variation
$\sum_{i} N_i / \epsilon_i$	$M_{eerecoil}$ +1 σ	-0.1%
$BR(\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-) = \frac{2\pi}{\sigma - C} \frac{BR(\mu \rightarrow 3\pi^0)}{BR(\mu \rightarrow 3\pi^0)}$	-1σ	+0.6%
$\mathcal{O}_{\phi} \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot DR(\eta \to 3\pi^{+})$	TOF $+1\sigma$	+0.01%
i hin in Maa	-1σ	-0.1%
i din in iviee	Conv. (small box)	-0.1%
	(large box)	+0.1%
	Efficiencies	-0.1%
		-0.2%
		+0.6%

	VMD	SND	CMD-2	Our Analysis	
	(theory)[1]	(exp.)[2]	(exp)[3]	(Norm) (Stat) (Syst)	
$BR(10^{-4})$	1.1	$1.19 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.07$	$1.14 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.06$	$1.075 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.007^{+0.006}_{-0.002}$	
[1]Phys Rev C 61 035206 (2000) [2]Phys Lett B 504 275 (2001) [3]Phys Lett B 501 191 (2001)					







$$\begin{split} & \text{With } \eta \to 3\pi^{0} \\ & \text{Fit data to Landsberg decay parametrization [1]} \\ & \frac{d}{dq^{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\phi \to \eta e^{+}e^{-})}{\Gamma(\phi \to \eta \gamma)} = \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \frac{|F(q^{2})|^{2}}{q^{2}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^{2}}{q^{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{2m^{2}}{q^{2}}\right) \left[\left(1 + \frac{q^{2}}{m_{\phi}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}}\right)^{2} - \frac{4m_{\phi}^{2}q^{2}}{(m_{\phi}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2})^{2}} \right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ & \text{with } F(q^{2}) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{2}/\Lambda^{2}} \\ & \frac{g_{\mu\nu}^{000}}{\int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{1 - q^{2}/\Lambda^{2}}}{\int_{0}^{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{10^{1}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{0} \frac{$$

[1] Landsberg, L.G., Phys. Rep. 128 (1985) 301







With $\eta
ightarrow 3\pi^0$

Extracted by dividing bin by bin data Mee distribution by the reconstructed MC Mee distribution with $F_{\phi\eta}=1$

MC sample normalized to first data bin



 $b_{\phi\eta} = \ (1.25 \pm 0.10) \; {
m GeV}^{-2}$







 $\begin{array}{ll} {\sf BR}(\phi\to\pi^0 e^+ e^-) = (1.12\pm 0.28)\cdot 10^{-5} & 25\% \text{ uncertainty!} \\ ({\sf SND:} \ 52 \ {\sf events}, \ {\sf CMD-2} \ 46 \ {\sf events}) \end{array}$

Analyzing sample from 1.7 fb^{-1}

- 2 tracks + 2 photons
- selection cuts
- main backgrounds
 - double radiative Bhabha scattering ($e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\gamma\gamma)$
 - $\phi \to \pi^0 \gamma$ with photon conversion

e+e- mass spectrum







At the end of analysis 14680 events

- $\sim 22\%~e^+e^-
 ightarrow e^+e^-\gamma\gamma$
- $\sim 20\% \ \phi \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ +conversion

For each bin in Mee

- fit $M_{eerecoil}$
- subtract background result from the fit

Finalizing background subtraction Results on TFF and BR soon!





 $\frac{m_s}{m_d}$



Determining Q constrains the quark mass ratios [1]

heighter et al. 93 Gasser and Leutwyler RD

Langacker & Pagels 79 0 Weltherp 77 Gasser & Leutevier 7

χPT :

 $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ motivation

- $\Gamma_{LO} \sim 70 \text{eV}$
- $\Gamma_{NLO} = 160 \pm 50 \text{eV}$
- $\Gamma_{exp} = 295 \pm 16 \text{eV}$
- \rightarrow final state interactions important
- \rightarrow dynamics of decay important
- \rightarrow measure Dalitz plot
 - Dispersion relations can be applied to improve theoretical results







In KLOE $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$ ($E_{\gamma} = 363$ MeV)

- Previous KLOE analysis (2001-2002 data) [1]
 - 450 $pb^{-1} \Rightarrow 1.34 \cdot 10^6 \text{ events}$
 - Event classification efficiency biggest contribution to systematic errors
- New analysis (2004-2005 data)
 - 1.6 $fb^{-1} \Rightarrow 4.48 \cdot 10^{6}$ events
 - independent data sample
 - different analysis scheme
 - reduced systematics expected (better MC, better way of determining event classification efficiency)

In η -rest frame $X = \sqrt{3} \frac{T_+ - T_-}{Q_\eta}$ $Y = \frac{3T_0}{Q_\eta} - 1$ $(Q_\eta = T_+ + T_- + T_0)$





[1] JHEP 0805 (2008) 006



Fit the data to

- $N_{theory} = \int |A(X,Y)|^2 dPh(X,Y)$ $|A(X,Y)|^2 \simeq N(1 + aY + bY^2 + cX + dX^2 + eXY + fY^3 + gX^2Y)$

 $\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

- previous analysis: by average value in bin
- new analysis: using Monte Carlo integration

c, e = 0 (C-invariance), we find c and e consistent with 0

Experiment	-a	b	d	f		
Gormley[1]	1.17(2)	0.21(3)	0.06(4)			
Layter[2]	1.080(14)	0.03(3)	0.05(3)			
CBarrel[3]	1.22(7)	0.22(11)	0.06(fixed)	- //		
KLOE[4]	$1.090(5)(^{+19}_{-8})$	0.124(6)(10)	$0.057(6)(^{+7}_{-16})$	0.14(1)(2)		
WASA[5]	1.144(18)	0.219(19)(37)	0.086(18)(18)	0.115(37)		
KLOE (13)	1.104(3)	0.144(3)	0.073(3)	0.155(6)		

KLOE(13) PRELIMINARY, only statistical errors

M. Gormley et al. Phys. Rev. D2, 501 (1970)

- [2] J. Layter et al. Phys. Rev. D7, 2565 (1973)
- [3] Crystal Barrel Collaboration Phys. Lett. B417, 197 (1998)
- [4] The KLOE collaboration JHEP, Vol 5, page 006 (2008)
- [5] WASA-at-COSY collaboration, arXiv:1406.2505 (2014)



Conclusions

- KLOE high statistics data sample still producing results in light hadronic physics
 - $\phi
 ightarrow \eta e^+e^-$ TFF and BR measured with $\eta
 ightarrow 3\pi^0$
 - in agreement with VMD expectations
 - preparing paper

Outlook

- Some analysis still to be finalized
 - $\phi \to \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ TFF and BR, finalizing background subtraction
 - $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ Dalitz plot, investigating systematic effects
- New interaction region installed, comissioning ongoing
- KLOE-2 run coming up, goal to collect 5 fb⁻¹ in next 2-3 years (physics program at EPJC68 619 (2010))









0.5 0.6









TFF theoretical calculations

 $|F_{\phi\eta\gamma^*}|^2$



Phys. Lett. B 691 191 (2009)





TFF $\phi \rightarrow \eta e^+ e^-$





Background rejection

Colored and the second

Photon conversion

Photon from interaction region converts in the beam pipe or drift chamber wall (mainly $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$)



At surface

- e⁺e⁻ invariant mass
- distance between e^+e^-

Time of flight

Background with $\pi^+\pi^-$ in final state (mainly from $\phi \to K_S K_L$ and $e^+e^- \to \omega \pi^0$)

 $DTe = T_{track} - T_{cluster}$ in electron hypothesis





Background rejection - conversion





Cuts

- BP Mee < 10 MeV and D < 2 cm
- DC *Mee* < 120 MeV and *D* < 4 cm

Events inside the red boxes rejected



Data/MC comparison at the end of analysis **Mee "residuals"**













Data-MC comparison



After event reconstruction and cuts for backround suppression:

- Signal efficiency $\epsilon_{sig} = 37.6\%$
- Background contamination 0.96%

Fit of Monte Carlo to data to get scaling factors for background





Dalitz plot variables - resolution



Evaluated with Monte Carlo: Look at $X_{rec} - X_{gen}$ and $Y_{rec} - Y_{gen}$, fit with 2 gaussians.



Figure: MonteCarlo distribution for resolution of X and Y.

Taking the width of the "core" gaussian as an estimate of the resolution:

$$\delta X = 0.021 \qquad \delta Y = 0.032$$

Dalitz plots made with 16 bins, $\Delta X = 0.125$ $\Delta Y = 0.125$





Figure: Projection on X and Y variables, data and smeared fitted function