#### 20th Particles & Nuclei International Conference





# **Volodymyr Magas**

# Cascade production in antikaon reactions with protons and nuclei

In collaboration with A. Ramos, A. Feijoo Aliau

University of Barcelona, Spain

**Perturbative QCD**, with *quark* and *gluon* d.o.f., **works well** at **high energies** and high momentum transfers, but **fails** to describe **dynamics of hadrons** at **low energies** 

Chiral Perturbation Theory:  $(\chi PT)$ which is based on effective Lagrangian with hadron d.o.f., which respects the symmetries of QCD, in particular chiral symmetry  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$  **Perturbative QCD**, with *quark* and *gluon* d.o.f., **works well** at **high energies** and high momentum transfers, but **fails** to describe **dynamics of hadrons** at **low energies** 

Chiral Perturbation Theory:  $(\chi PT)$ which is based on effective Lagrangian with hadron d.o.f., which respects the symmetries of QCD, in particular chiral symmetry  $SU(3)_R \times SU(3)_L$ 

- S = -1 sector:  $\overline{K}N$  interaction
- $\overline{KN}$  scattering in the I = 0 channel is dominated by the presence of the  $\Lambda(1405)$  resonance, located only 27 MeV below KN threshold  $\Rightarrow$
- $\chi PT$  is not applicable  $\Rightarrow$
- **non-perturbative** techniques implementing unitarization in coupled channels are mandatory!

Unitary extension of Chiral Perturbation Theory  $(U\chi PT)$ The pioneering work -- *Kaiser, Siegel, Weise*, NPA594 (1995) 325

$$I_{ij}(E;k_i,k_j) = V_{ij}(k_i,k_j) + \sum_k \int d^3 q_k V_{ik}(k_i,q_k) \widetilde{G}_k(E;q_k) T_{kj}(E;q_k,k_j)$$

$$I_{ij}(E;k_i,k_j) = V_{ij}(k_i,k_j) + \sum_k \int d^3 q_k V_{ik}(k_i,q_k) \widetilde{G}_k(E;q_k) T_{kj}(E;q_k,k_j)$$

$$I_{ij}(E;E_{ij}(E;E_{ij}) = V_{ij}(E_{ij}) + \sum_k \int d^3 q_k V_{ik}(E_{ij}) \widetilde{G}_k(E;q_k) T_{kj}(E_{ij})$$

$$I_{ij}(E) = V_{ij} + \sum_k V_{ik} G_k(E) T_{kj}(E), \quad I_{ij}(E) = V_{ij}$$

 $V_{ij}$  - interaction kernel to be taken from the chiral Lagrangian

#### Loop function

G is a diagonal matrix given by the loop function of meson and baryon propagators:

$$G_{l} = i \int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{M_{l}}{E_{l}(\vec{q})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s - q^{0} - E_{l}(\vec{q}) + i\epsilon}} \frac{1}{q^{2} - m_{l}^{2} + i\epsilon}$$

in which  $M_l$  and  $m_l$  are the masses of the baryons and mesons respectively.

In the dimensional regularization scheme this is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_l &= i \, 2M_l \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(P-q)^2 - M_l^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_l^2 + i\epsilon} \\ &= \frac{2M_l}{16\pi^2} \left\{ a_l(\mu) + \ln \frac{M_l^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{m_l^2 - M_l^2 + s}{2s} \ln \frac{m_l^2}{M_l^2} - 2i\pi \frac{q_l}{\sqrt{s}} \right. \\ &+ \frac{q_l}{\sqrt{s}} \left[ \ln(s - (M_l^2 - m_l^2) + 2q_l\sqrt{s}) + \ln(s + (M_l^2 - m_l^2) + 2q_l\sqrt{s}) \right. \\ &- \ln(s - (M_l^2 - m_l^2) - 2q_l\sqrt{s}) - \ln(s + (M_l^2 - m_l^2) - 2q_l\sqrt{s}) \right] \bigg\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu$  is the scale of dimensional regularization,  $q_l$  denotes the three-momentum of the meson or baryon in the CM frame, and  $a_l$  are the subtraction constants.

Substraction contants

#### S=-1 channel there are 10 channels → 10 corresponding subtracting constants

 $a_{K^-p}, a_{\overline{K}} \circ_n, a_{\pi} \circ_{\Lambda}, a_{\pi} \circ_{\Sigma} \circ, a_{\pi^+\Sigma^-}, a_{\pi^-\Sigma^+}, a_{\eta\Lambda}, a_{\eta\Sigma} \circ, a_{K^+\Xi^-}, a_{K} \circ_{\Xi} \circ$ 

#### Taking into account isospin symmetry:

$$a_{K^{-}p} = a_{\overline{K}^{0}n} = a_{\overline{K}N}$$

$$a_{\pi^{0}\Lambda} = a_{\pi\Lambda}$$

$$a_{\pi^{0}\Sigma^{0}} = a_{\pi^{+}\Sigma^{-}} = a_{\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+}} = a_{\pi\Sigma}$$

$$a_{\eta\Lambda}$$

$$a_{\eta\Sigma^{0}} = a_{\eta\Sigma}$$

$$a_{K^{+}\Xi^{-}} = a_{K^{0}\Xi^{0}} = a_{K\Xi}$$

$$b_{K^{\pm}\Sigma^{-}} = a_{K^{0}\Xi^{0}} = a_{K\Xi}$$

#### Recent experimental advances

The SIDDHARTA collaboration at DAΦNE collider has determined the most precise values of shift and width of the 1s state of the kaonic hydrogen induced by the strong interaction.
 [M. Bazzi et al, Phys. Lett. B704 (2011) 113]

These measurements allowed us to clarify the discrepancies between KEK and DEAR results for the kaonic hydrogen shift and width of the ground state.



Chiral meson-baryon effective Lagrangian at NLO

#### **Recent Publications:**

- B. Borasoy, R. Nißler, W. Wiese, Eur. Phys. J. A25 (2005) 79
- Y. Ikeda, T. Hyodo, W. Wiese, **Phys. Lett. B706 (2011) 63;** Nucl. Phys. A881 (2012) 98
- Z.-H. Guo, J.A. Oller, Phys. Rev. C87 (2013) 035202
- M. Mai, U.G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A900 (2013) 51
- A. Feijoo, Master Thesis, U. of Barcelona (Nov 2012)
- A. Feijoo, V. Magas, A. Ramos, arXiv:1311.5025; arXiv:1402.3971

#### FORMALISM Effective Chiral Lagrangian up to LO

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}_{M}(U) + \mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(1)}(B,U) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{eff}(B,U) = \mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(1)}(B,U)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(1)}(B,U) = \langle \bar{B}i\gamma^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}B \rangle - M_{B}\langle \bar{B}B \rangle + \frac{1}{2}D\langle \bar{B}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\{u_{\mu},B\} \rangle + \frac{1}{2}F\langle \bar{B}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}[u_{\mu},B] \rangle$$

$$\nabla_{\mu}B = \partial_{\mu}B + [\Gamma_{\mu},B]$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(u^{\dagger}\partial_{\mu}u + u\partial_{\mu}u^{\dagger}) \qquad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & \pi^{+} & K^{+} \\ \pi^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & K^{0} \\ K^{-} & \bar{K}^{0} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\eta \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda & \Sigma^{+} & p \\ \Sigma^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda & n \\ \Xi^{-} & \Xi^{0} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cdot \quad WT, \text{ lowest order term}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(1)}(B,U) = \langle \bar{B}i\gamma^{\mu}\frac{1}{4f^{2}}[(\Phi\partial_{\mu}\Phi - \partial_{\mu}\Phi\Phi)B - B(\Phi\partial_{\mu}\Phi - \partial_{\mu}\Phi\Phi)]\rangle \qquad B(p^{\mu}) \qquad B(p^{\mu}) \qquad B(p^{\mu})$$

$$W_{ij}^{WT} = -C_{ij}\frac{1}{4f^{2}}\bar{u}(p)\gamma^{\mu}u(p)(k_{\mu} + k'_{\mu}) \qquad \text{At low energies} \qquad V_{ij}^{WT} = -C_{ij}\frac{1}{4f^{2}}(k^{0} + k'^{0})$$

The only model parameter is pion decay constant, f

#### **FORMALISM** Effective Chiral Lagrangian up to LO

 $C_{ij}$  coefficients are represented as a symmetric matrix where the indices i and j cover all the channels that conform the S=-1 sector.

	K <sup>−</sup> p	$\overline{K}{}^{0}n$	$\pi^0\Lambda$	$\pi^0 \Sigma^0$	ηΛ	$\eta \Sigma^0$	$\pi^+\Sigma^-$	$\pi^-\Sigma^+$	K <sup>+</sup> Ξ <sup>-</sup>	$K^0 \Xi^0$
<i>K</i> <sup>-</sup> <i>p</i>	2	1	$\sqrt{3}/2$	1/2	3/2	$\sqrt{3}/2$	0	1		(0)
$\overline{K}^0 n$		2	$-\sqrt{3}/2$	1/2	3/2	$-\sqrt{3}/2$	1	0	0	0
$\pi^0\Lambda$			0	0	0	0	0	0	$\sqrt{3}/2$	$-\sqrt{3}/2$
$\pi^0 \Sigma^0$				0	0	0	2	2	(1/2)	(1/2)
ηΛ					0	0	0	0	3/2	3/2
$\eta \Sigma^0$						0	0	0	V3/2	$-\sqrt{3}/2$
$\pi^+\Sigma^-$							2	0	$(\mathbf{T})$	0
$\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+}$								2	0	(1)
$K^+\Xi^-$									2	1
$K^0 \Xi^0$										2
	X	+	$\subset$	$\times$	+ >	<	$\mathbf{\times}$	$\bigcirc$	+	

#### FORMALISM Effective Chiral Lagrangian up to NLO

 $\mathcal{L}_{eff}(B, U) = \mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(1)}(B, U) + \mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(2)}(B, U)$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(2)}(B,U) = b_D \langle \bar{B}\{\chi_+,B\}\rangle + b_F \langle \bar{B}[\chi_+,B]\rangle + b_0 \langle \bar{B}B \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle + d_1 \langle \bar{B}\{u_\mu,[u^\mu,B]\}\rangle$  $+d_2\langle \overline{B}[u_{\mu}, [u^{\mu}, B]]\rangle + d_3\langle \overline{B}u_{\mu}\rangle\langle u^{\mu}B\rangle + d_4\langle \overline{B}B\rangle\langle u^{\mu}u_{\mu}\rangle$ 

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\pi}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{\pi}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2m_K^2 - m_{\pi}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

NLO, next - to - leading order term •

$$\int_{0}^{\sqrt{2}} 2m_{k}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2} \int_{\pi}^{\sqrt{2}} \Phi(k^{\mu}) \Phi'(k^{\mu}) \Phi'(k^{\mu})$$
• NLO, next - to - leading order term
$$\mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(2)}(B,U) = -\frac{b_{D}}{4f^{2}} \langle \overline{B}(\Phi^{2}\chi + 2\Phi\chi\Phi + \chi\Phi^{2})B + \overline{B}B(\Phi^{2}\chi + 2\Phi\chi\Phi + \chi\Phi^{2})\rangle - \frac{b_{D}}{4f^{2}} \langle \overline{B}(\Phi^{2}\chi + 2\Phi\chi\Phi + \chi\Phi^{2})B - \overline{B}B(\Phi^{2}\chi + 2\Phi\chi\Phi + \chi\Phi^{2})\rangle - \frac{b_{0}}{4f^{2}} \langle \overline{B}B \rangle \langle \Phi^{2}\chi + 2\Phi\chi\Phi + \chi\Phi^{2} \rangle + \frac{2d_{1}}{f^{2}} \langle \overline{B}(\partial_{\mu}\Phi\partial^{\mu}\Phi B - \partial_{\mu}\Phi B\partial^{\mu}\Phi + \partial^{\mu}\Phi B\partial_{\mu}\Phi - B\partial^{\mu}\Phi\partial_{\mu}\Phi)\rangle + \frac{2d_{2}}{f^{2}} \langle \overline{B}(\partial_{\mu}\Phi\partial^{\mu}\Phi B - \partial_{\mu}\Phi B\partial^{\mu}\Phi - \partial^{\mu}\Phi B\partial_{\mu}\Phi + B\partial^{\mu}\Phi\partial_{\mu}\Phi)\rangle + \frac{2d_{3}}{f^{2}} \langle \overline{B}\partial_{\mu}\Phi \rangle \langle \partial^{\mu}\Phi B \rangle + \frac{2d_{4}}{f^{2}} \langle \overline{B}B \rangle \langle \partial^{\mu}\Phi\partial_{\mu}\Phi \rangle$$

$$V_{ij}^{NLO} = \frac{1}{f^{2}} \left( D_{ij} - 2 \left( k_{\mu}k'^{\mu} \right) L_{ij} \right) \sqrt{\frac{M_{i} + E_{i}}{2M_{i}}} \sqrt{\frac{M_{j} + E_{j}}{2M_{j}}}$$

7 new parameters to be fixed:  $b_D$ ,  $b_F$ ,  $b_0$ ,  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ ,  $d_3$ ,  $d_4$ 

#### **FORMALISM** Effective Chiral Lagrangian up to **NLO**

	K <sup>-</sup> p	$\overline{K}{}^{0}n$	$\pi^0\Lambda$	$\pi^0 \Sigma^0$	ηΛ	$\eta \Sigma^0$	$\pi^+\Sigma^-$	$\pi^-\Sigma^+$	$K^+\Xi^-$	$K^0 \Xi^0$
K <sup>−</sup> p	$4(b_0+b_D)m_K^2$	$2(b_D + b_F)m_K^2$	$\frac{-(b_D+3b_F)}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{\mu_1^2}{2} = \frac{(b_D - b_F)\mu_1^2}{2}$	0	$(b_D-b_F)\mu_1^2$	$\frac{(b_D+3b_F)\mu_2^2}{6}$	$-\frac{(b_D - b_F)\mu_2^2}{2\sqrt{3}}$	0	0
$\overline{K}{}^{0}n$		$4(b_0+b_D)m_K^2$	$\frac{(b_D + 3b_F)\mu}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{(b_D - b_F)\mu_1^2}{2}$	$(b_D - b_F)\mu_1^2$	0	$\frac{(b_D+3b_F)\mu_2^2}{6}$	$\frac{(b_D - b_F)\mu_2^2}{2\sqrt{3}}$	0	0
$\pi^0\Lambda$			$\frac{4(3b_0+b_D)n}{3}$	$\frac{n_{\pi}^2}{0}$	0	0	0	$\frac{4b_D m_\pi^2}{3}$	$-\frac{(b_D-3b_F)\mu_1^2}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{(b_D - 3b_F)\mu_1^2}{2\sqrt{3}}$
$\pi^0 \Sigma^0$			5	$4(b_0+b_D)m_\pi^2$	0	0	$\frac{4b_D m_\pi^2}{3}$	0	$\frac{(b_D + b_F)\mu_1^2}{2}$	$\frac{(b_D + b_F)\mu_1^2}{2}$
ηΛ					$4(b_0+b_D)m_\pi^2$	0	$\frac{4b_D m_\pi^2}{3}$	$\frac{4b_F m_\pi^2}{\sqrt{3}}$	$(b_D + b_F)\mu_1^2$	0
$\eta \Sigma^0$		<b>D</b>				$4(b_0+b_D)m_\pi^2$	$\frac{4b_D m_\pi^2}{3}$	$-\frac{4b_F m_\pi^2}{\sqrt{3}}$	0	$(b_D + b_F)\mu_1^2$
$\pi^+\Sigma^-$		<sup>J</sup> ij					$\frac{4(3b_0\mu_3^2+b_D\mu_4^2)}{9}$	0	$\frac{(b_D - 3b_F)\mu_2^2}{6}$	$\frac{(b_D - 3b_F)\mu_2^2}{6}$
$\pi^-\Sigma^+$							-	$\frac{4(b_0\mu_3^2+b_Dm_\pi^2)}{3}$	$\frac{(b_D + b_F)\mu_2^2}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{(b_D + b_F)\mu_2^2}{2\sqrt{3}}$
$K^+\Xi^-$								0	$4(b_0+b_D)m_K^2$	$2(b_D - b_F)m_K^2$
$K^0 \Xi^0$										$4(b_0+b_D)m_K^2$
	$K^-p$	$\overline{K}{}^{0}n$	$\pi^0\Lambda$	$\pi^0 \Sigma^0$	ηΛ	$\eta \Sigma^0$	$\pi^+\Sigma^-$	$\pi^-\Sigma^+$	$K^+\Xi^-$	$K^0 \Xi^0$
$K^-p$	$2d_2 + d_3 + 2d_4$	$d_1 + d_2 + d_3$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}(d_1+d_2)}{2}$	$\frac{-d_1 - d_2 + 2d_3}{2}$	$-2d_2 + d_3$	$-d_1 + d_2 + d_3$	$\frac{d_1 - 3d_2 + 2d_3}{2}$	$\frac{d_1 - 3d_2}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$-4d_2 + 2d_3$	$-2d_2 + d_3$
$\overline{K}^0 n$		$2d_2 + d_3 + 2d_4$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}(d_1+d_2)}{2}$	$\frac{-d_1 - d_2 + 2d_3}{2}$	$-d_1 + d_2 + d_3$	$-2d_2 + d_3$	$\frac{d_1 - 3d_2 + 2d_3}{2}$	$-\frac{(d_1-3d_2)}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$-2d_2 + d_3$	$-4d_2 + 2d_3$
$\pi^0\Lambda$			$2d_4$	0	0	0	0	$d_3$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}(d_1 - d_2)}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}(d_1-d_2)}{2}$
$\pi^0 \Sigma^0$				$2(d_3 + d_4)$	$-2d_2 + d_3$	$-2d_2 + d_3$	$d_3$	0	$\frac{d_1-d_2+2d_3}{2}$	$\frac{d_1-d_2+2d_3}{2}$
ηΛ		-			$2d_2 + d_3 + 2d_4$	$-4d_2 + 2d_3$	$d_3$	$\frac{2d_1}{\sqrt{3}}$	$d_1 + d_2 + d_3$	$-2d_2 + d_3$
$\eta \Sigma^0$						$2d_2 + d_3 + 2d_4$	$d_3$	$-\frac{2d_1}{\sqrt{3}}$	$-2d_2 + d_3$	$d_1 + d_2 + d_3$
$\pi^+\Sigma^-$		IJ					$2(d_3 + d_4)$	0	$\frac{-d_1 - 3d_2 + 2d_3}{2}$	$\frac{-d_1 - 3d_2 + 2d_3}{2}$
$\pi^-\Sigma^+$								$2d_4$	$-\frac{(d_1+3d_2)}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{d_1 + 3d_2}{2\sqrt{3}}$
$K^+\Xi^-$									$2d_2 + d_3 + 2d_4$	$-d_1 + d_2 + d_3$
$K^0 \Xi^0$										$2d_2 + d_3 + 2d_4$

#### **FORMALISM** Effective Chiral Lagrangian up to **NLO**

$$V_{ij} = V_{ij}^{WT} + V_{ij}^{NLO} \implies T = (1 - VG)^{-1}V \implies T_{ij}^{NLO}$$

#### Fitting parameters:

- Decay constant f Its usual value, in real calculations, is between  $1.15 - 1.2 f_{\pi}^{exp}$  in order to simulate effects of higher order corrections .  $(f_{\pi}^{exp}=93.4 \text{MeV})$
- 6 subtracting constants  $a_{\overline{K}N}$ ,  $a_{\pi\Lambda}$ ,  $a_{\pi\Sigma}$ ,  $a_{\eta\Lambda}$ ,  $a_{\eta\Sigma}$ ,  $a_{K\overline{E}}$ These terms came from the regularization of the loop in LS equations. Isospin symmetry is taken into account.
- 7 coefficients of the NLO lagrangian terms  $b_0, b_D, b_F, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4$

#### Experimental data

# - Cross sections for different channels $\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{MM'}{s} \frac{k'}{k} |T_{ij}|^2$

#### - Branching ratios

$$\gamma = \frac{\Gamma(K^- p \longrightarrow \pi^+ \Sigma^-)}{\Gamma(K^- p \longrightarrow \pi^- \Sigma^+)} = \frac{\sigma_{\pi^+ \Sigma^- \longrightarrow K^- p}}{\sigma_{\pi^- \Sigma^+ \longrightarrow K^- p}}$$

$$R_{n} = \frac{\Gamma(K^{-}p \to \pi^{0}\Lambda)}{\Gamma(K^{-}p \to neutral \ states)} = \frac{\sigma_{\pi^{0}\Lambda \to K^{-}p}}{\sigma_{\pi^{0}\Lambda \to K^{-}p} + \sigma_{\pi^{0}\Sigma^{0} \to K^{-}p}}$$

$$R_{c} = \frac{\Gamma(K^{-}p \to \pi^{+}\Sigma^{-}, \pi^{-}\Sigma^{+})}{\Gamma(K^{-}p \to inelastic \ channels)} = \frac{\sigma_{\pi^{+}\Sigma^{-} \to K^{-}p} + \sigma_{\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+} \to K^{-}p}}{\sigma_{\pi^{+}\Sigma^{-} \to K^{-}p} + \sigma_{\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+} \to K^{-}p} + \sigma_{\pi^{0}\Lambda \to K^{-}p} + \sigma_{\pi^{0}\Sigma^{0} \to K^{-}p}}$$

These are particularly interesting for us, because they are very sensitive to the NLO terms in the Lagrangian

Also these channels are not included in the other fits!
B. Borasoy, R. Nißler, W. Wiese, Eur. Phys. J. A25 (2005) 79
Y. Ikeda, T. Hyodo, W. Wiese, Phys. Lett. B706 (2011) 63; Nucl. Phys. A881 (2012) 98
Z.-H. Guo, J.A. Oller, Phys. Rev. C87 (2013) 035202
M. Mai, U.G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A900 (2013) 51

But studied in the phenomenological model of D. A. Sharov, V. L. Korotkikh, D. E. Lanskoy, Eur. Phys. J. A47 (2011) 109



#### **Results** 1



#### **Results** 1

• Branching ratios

MODEL	γ	$R_n$	$R_{c}$
WT	2.34	0.185	0.665
WT+E channels	2.30	0.185	0.665
WT+ NLO+E channels	2.31	0.186	0.660
Experimental	$2.36\pm0.04$	$0.189 \pm 0.015$	$0.664 \pm 0.011$

## Fitting parameters 1

		WT	WT+E channels	WT+ NLO+E channels
$a_{\overline{K}N}$	(10 <sup>-3</sup> )	-1.79	-1.95	4.13
$a_{\pi\Lambda}$	$(10^{-3})$	-39.83	-222.69	26.03
$a_{\pi\Sigma}$	$(10^{-3})$	0.06	0.40	0.37
$a_{n\Lambda}$	$(10^{-3})$	1.18	1.49	4.50
$a_{n\Sigma}$	$(10^{-3})$	38.04	247.17	-16.00
$a_{K\Xi}$	$(10^{-3})$	239.0	32.26	51.60
f	(MeV)	$1.21 f_{\pi}$	$1.21 f_{\pi}$	$1.21 f_{\pi}$
$\boldsymbol{b}_0$	$(GeV^{-1})$	_	_	-0.58
$\boldsymbol{b}_D$	$(GeV^{-1})$	_	_	0.28
$\boldsymbol{b}_F$	$(GeV^{-1})$	_	_	0.39
$d_1$	$(GeV^{-1})$	_	_	0.36
$d_2$	$(GeV^{-1})$	_	_	0.49
$d_3$	$(GeV^{-1})$	_	_	0.95
$d_4$	$(GeV^{-1})$	_	_	-0.68
χ	,2 . d.o.f.	1.23 (no Ξ!)	3.56	1.79





- Missing contribution from the heavy high spin resonances



 $K^-p \to Y \to K\Xi$ 

Resonancia	$I(J^P)$	Mass (MeV)	Г (MeV)	Fraction $(\Gamma_{K\Xi}/\Gamma)$
Λ(1890)	$0\left(\frac{3}{2}^+\right)$	1850 - 1910	60 - 200	_
Λ(2100)	$0\left(\frac{7}{2}^{-}\right)$	2090 - 2110	100 - 250	< 3%
Λ(2110)	$0\left(\frac{5}{2}^+\right)$	2090 - 2140	150 - 250	_
Λ(2350)	$0\left(\frac{9^+}{2}\right)$	2340 - 2370	100 - 250	_
Σ(1915)	$1\left(\frac{5}{2}^+\right)$	1900 - 1935	80 - 160	_
Σ(1940)	$1\left(\frac{3}{2}^{-}\right)$	1900 - 1950	150 - 300	_
Σ(2030)	$1\left(\frac{7}{2}^{+}\right)$	2025 - 2040	150 - 200	< 2%
Σ(2250)	1(??	2210 - 2280	60 - 150	_

Sharov, Korotkikh, Lanskoy, **EPJA47** (11) 109: trying different combinations, the author conclude that  $\Sigma(2030)$  and  $\Sigma(2250)$  give the better fit to the data

#### Inclusion of hyperonic resonances in $K^-p \rightarrow K \equiv$ channels

$$\sum_{p(p^{\mu})} K^{(k^{\mu})} K^{$$

#### Inclusion of hyperonic resonances in $K^-p \rightarrow K\Xi$ channels



K. Nakayama, Y. Oh, H. Habertzettl, Phys. Rev. C74, 035205 (2006) K. Shing Man, Y. Oh, K. Nakayama, Phys. Rev. C83, 055201 (2011)

Y= Σ(2030), Σ(2250)



$$\Sigma(2250), J^{P} = \frac{5}{2}, T^{5/2}$$
$$S_{7/2}(p) = \frac{i}{\not p - m_{R} + i\Gamma/2} \Delta_{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}}^{\beta_{1}\beta_{2}\beta_{3}}$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1}\beta_{2}}(\frac{5}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \theta_{\alpha_{1}}^{\beta_{1}} \theta_{\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{2}} + \theta_{\alpha_{1}}^{\beta_{2}} \theta_{\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1}} \right) - \frac{1}{5} \theta_{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} \theta^{\beta_{1}\beta_{2}} - \frac{1}{10} \left( \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_{1}} \bar{\gamma}^{\beta_{1}} \theta_{\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{2}} + \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_{1}} \bar{\gamma}^{\beta_{2}} \theta_{\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1}} + \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_{2}} \bar{\gamma}^{\beta_{1}} \theta_{\alpha_{1}}^{\beta_{2}} + \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_{2}} \bar{\gamma}^{\beta_{2}} \theta_{\alpha_{1}}^{\beta_{1}} + \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_{2}} \bar{\gamma}^{\beta_{2}} \theta_{\alpha_{1}}^{\beta_{1}} \right) \\ \Delta_{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}}^{\beta_{1}\beta_{2}\beta_{3}}(\frac{7}{2}) = \frac{1}{36} \sum_{P(\alpha), P(\beta)} \left\{ \theta_{\alpha_{1}}^{\beta_{1}} \theta_{\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{2}} \theta_{\alpha_{3}}^{\beta_{3}} - \frac{3}{7} \theta_{\alpha_{1}}^{\beta_{1}} \theta_{\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}} \theta^{\beta_{2}\beta_{3}} - \frac{3}{7} \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_{1}} \bar{\gamma}^{\beta_{1}} \theta_{\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{2}} \theta_{\alpha_{3}}^{\beta_{3}} + \frac{3}{35} \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_{1}} \bar{\gamma}^{\beta_{1}} \theta_{\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}} \theta^{\beta_{2}\beta_{3}} \right\},$$

$$\theta^{\nu}_{\mu} = g^{\nu}_{\mu} - \frac{p_{\mu}p^{\nu}}{M^2}, \qquad \bar{\gamma}_{\mu} = \gamma_{\mu} - \frac{p_{\mu}p}{M^2}$$

#### Inclusion of hyperonic resonances in $K^-p \rightarrow K \equiv$ channels



T

Y= Σ(2030), Σ(2250)

Finally, the scattering amplitudes related to the resonances can be obtained in the following way :

$$T^{5/2^{-}}(s',s) = \frac{g_{\Xi Y_{5/2} K} g_{NY_{5/2} \overline{K}}}{m_{K}^{4}} \overline{u}_{\Xi}^{s'}(p') \frac{k'_{\beta_{1}} k'_{\beta_{2}} \Delta_{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1}\beta_{2}} k^{\alpha_{1}} k^{\alpha_{2}}}{q' - M_{Y_{5/2}} + i\Gamma_{5/2}/2} u_{N}^{s}(p) \exp\left(-\overline{k}^{2}/\Lambda_{5/2}^{2}\right) \exp\left(-\overline{k'}^{2}/\Lambda_{5/2}^{2}\right)$$

$$T^{2^{+}}(s',s) = \frac{g_{\Xi Y_{7/2} K} g_{NY_{7/2} \overline{K}}}{m_{K}^{6}} \overline{u}_{\Xi}^{s'}(p') \frac{k'_{\beta_{1}} k'_{\beta_{2}} k'_{\beta_{2}} \Delta_{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}\alpha_{3}}^{\beta_{1}\beta_{2}\beta_{3}} k^{\alpha_{1}} k^{\alpha_{2}} k^{\alpha_{3}}}{q' - M_{Y_{7/2}} + i\Gamma_{7/2}/2} u_{N}^{s}(p) \exp\left(-\overline{k'}^{2}/\Lambda_{7/2}^{2}\right) \exp\left(-\overline{k'}^{2}/\Lambda_{7/2}^{2}\right)$$

Note that a form factor has been included in each vertex of the diagram, we chose exponential form factor due to the high dependence in momentum of the scattering amplitudes.

#### Inclusion of hyperonic resonances in $K^-p \rightarrow K\Xi$ channels

Taking into account the scattering amplitude given by LS equations for a NLO Chiral Lagrangian and the phenomenological contributions from the resonances, the total scattering amplitude for the  $\overline{K}N \rightarrow K\overline{E}$  reaction should be written as:

$$T_{ij,s,s'}^{tot} = T_{ij,s,s'}^{LS} + T_{s,s'}^{5/2^{-}} + T_{s,s'}^{7/2^{+}}$$

#### Fitting parameters:

- Decay constant f Its usual value, in real calculations, is between  $1.15 - 1.2 f_{\pi}^{exp}$  in order to simulate effects of higher order corrections.  $(f_{\pi}^{exp}=93.4 \text{MeV})$
- Subtracting constants  $a_{\overline{K}N}$ ,  $a_{\pi\Lambda}$ ,  $a_{\pi\Sigma}$ ,  $a_{\eta\Lambda}$ ,  $a_{\eta\Sigma}$ ,  $a_{K\Sigma}$ These terms came from the regularization of the loop in LS equations. Isospin symmetry is taken into account.
- Coefficients of the NLO lagrangian terms  $b_0, b_D, b_F, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4$
- Masses and width of the resonances  $M_{Y_{5/2}}$ ,  $M_{Y_{7/2}}$ ,  $\Gamma_{5/2}$ ,  $\Gamma_{7/2}$ Not free at all, their values are constrained according to PDG summary
- Cutoff parameters from the form factor  $\Lambda_{5/2}$ ,  $\Lambda_{7/2}$
- Product of the coupling constants (one for each vertex) for both resonances  $g_{\Xi Y_{5/2}K}, g_{NY_{5/2}\overline{K}}, g_{\Xi Y_{7/2}\overline{K}}, g_{NY_{7/2}\overline{K}}$

#### Results 2



#### **Results 2**



#### Branching ratios

MODEL	γ	$R_n$	R <sub>c</sub>
WT +RES	2.48	0.202	0.667
WT+ NLO	2.31	0.186	0.660
WT+NLO+RES	2.50	0.188	0.664
Experimental	$2.36\pm0.04$	$0.189 \pm 0.015$	$0.664 \pm 0.011$

### Fitting parameters 2

	WT+RES	WT+ NLO	WT+ NLO +RES	
$a_{\overline{K}N}$ (10 <sup>-3</sup> )	-1.87	4.13	4.03	
$a_{\pi\Lambda}$ (10 <sup>-3</sup> )	-202.56	26.03	26.89	
$a_{\pi\Sigma}$ $\left( 10^{-3}  ight)$	0.29	0.37	0.16	
$a_{\eta\Lambda}$ (10 <sup>-3</sup> )	1.42	4.50	5.10	
$a_{\eta\Sigma}$ (10 <sup>-3</sup> )	224.53	-16.00	-37.03	
$a_{K\Xi}$ (10 <sup>-3</sup> )	36.05	51.60	58.397	
f (MeV)	$1.20 f_{\pi}$	$1.21 f_{\pi}$	$1.21 f_{\pi}$	
$b_0$ (GeV <sup>-1</sup> )	_	-0.58	-0.52	
$b_D$ (GeV <sup>-1</sup> )	_	0.28	0.26 . $tions$ 20	0/0
$\boldsymbol{b}_F \;\; \left( \textit{GeV}^{-1}  ight)$	_	0.39	0.43 Variati 10-20	
$d_1$ (GeV <sup>-1</sup> )	_	0.36	0.41	
$d_2$ (GeV <sup>-1</sup> )	_	0.49	0.45	
$d_3$ (GeV <sup>-1</sup> )	_	0.95	0.85	
$d_4$ (GeV <sup>-1</sup> )	_	-0.68	-0.59	
$g_{{\scriptscriptstyle {\it Z}} Y_{5/2} K} \cdot g_{NY_{5/2} \overline{K}}$	-6.0	_	3.65	
$g_{\Xi Y_{7/2}K} \cdot g_{NY_{7/2}\overline{K}}$	-6.59	-	0.12	
$\Lambda_{5/2}(MeV)$	506.50	_	578.94	
$\Lambda_{7/2}(MeV)$	484.05	_	862.25	
$M_{Y_{5/2}}(MeV)$	2300.0	-	2275.2	
$M_{Y_{7/2}}(MeV)$	2025.0	_	2040.0	
$\Gamma_{5/2}(MeV)$	60.0	_	130.71	
$\Gamma_{7/2}(MeV)$	200.0	_	200.00	
$\chi^2_{d.o.f.}$	3.19	1.79	1.34	

#### **Results 2**



#### **Results 2**



#### Production of $\Xi$ in nuclei: (K<sup>-</sup>,K<sup>+</sup>) reaction on nuclear targets

- These reactions are employed to produce double  $\Lambda$  hypernuclei
- They may inform us on the size of the  $\Xi$  optical potential in the nucleus

 $0.95 < p_{K^*} < 1.30 \text{ GeV/c}$ 



## Conclusions

Chiral Perturbation Theory with unitarization in coupled channels is a very powerful technique to describe low energy hadron dynamics

Next - to - leading order calculations are now possible

NLO terms in the Lagrangian do improve agreement with data

 $K^-p \rightarrow K\Xi$  channels are very interesting and important for fitting NLO parameters

The high spin and high mass hyperonic resonances may play an important role in these channels

NLO parameters of the chiral Lagrangian are now available in the 1st approximation

Work in progress...

# **BACK SLIDES**

#### $\Lambda$ (1405) as a dynamically generated resonance

Jones, Dalitz and Horgan, Nucl. Phys. B129 (1977) 45 -  $\Lambda(1405)$  is dynamical generated

Boost in unitary extensions of chiral perturbation theory  $(U_{\chi PT})$ 

1995-2003: Kaiser, Oset, Ramos, Oller, Meissner, Jido, Hosaka, Garcia-Recio, Vicente Vacas



#### $\Lambda$ (1405) as a dynamically generated resonance

Jones, Dalitz and Horgan, Nucl. Phys. B129 (1977) 45 -  $\Lambda(1405)$  is dynamical generated

Boost in unitary extensions of chiral perturbation theory  $(U_{\chi PT})$ 

1995-2003: Kaiser, Oset, Ramos, Oller, Meissner, Jido, Hosaka, Garcia-Recio, Vicente Vacas

 $\pi\Sigma$ 

Surprisingly there are **two poles** in the neighborhood of the Lambda(1405) both contributing to the final experimental invariant mass distribution

Mass ~ 1390 MeV Width ~ 130 MeV Mass ~ 1425 MeV Width ~ 30 MeV

# $\Lambda(1405)$

The observed shapes are in good agreement with corresponding chiral unitarity model calculations:

$$K^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \Sigma^0$$
  $\Rightarrow$  Magas, Oset, Ramos,  
Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (05) 052301

$$\pi^- p \rightarrow K^0 \pi \Sigma \longrightarrow$$
 Thomas et al.,  
Nucl. Phys. B56 (1973) 15

This combined study gives the first **experimental** evidence of the two-pole nature of the  $\Lambda(1405)$ 

 $K^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \Sigma^0$ 

(Width  $\sim 38 \text{ MeV}$ )

 $\pi^{-}p \rightarrow K^{0}\pi \Sigma$ (Width ~ 60 MeV)



versus

FIG. 5: Two experimental shapes of  $\Lambda(1405)$  resonance. See text for more details.

Crystall Ball Collaboration data from

Thomas et al., NP B 56 (1973) 15

#### **Fine-tuning of the model**

Large I=1 effects have been detected in the photoproduction experiment at CLAS, Moriya *et al.*, *Phys.Rev.C87,035206 (2013)*  $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \pi \Sigma$ 



Line Curves, Nacher et al. Better reproduction for  $\pi^0 \Sigma^0$  But not for the charged modes with I=1

#### Inclusion of hyperonic resonances in $K^-p \rightarrow K \equiv$ channels

Taking into account the scattering amplitude given by LS equations for a NLO Chiral Lagrangian and the phenomenological contributions from the resonances, the total scattering amplitude for the  $\overline{K}N \rightarrow K\overline{E}$  reaction should be written as:

$$T_{ij,s,s'}^{tot} = T_{ij,s,s'}^{LS} + T_{s,s'}^{5/2^{-}} + T_{s,s'}^{7/2^{+}}$$

Being aware of isospin symmetry, the coupling constants for each channel have to integrate this fact in its value.  $\begin{aligned}
|K^+\Xi^-\rangle &= \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|K\Xi\rangle_{I=1} + |K\Xi\rangle_{I=0}\right) \\
|K^0\Xi^0\rangle &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|K\Xi\rangle_{I=1} - |K\Xi\rangle_{I=0}\right) \\
\text{Or in a equivalent manner:} \\
\cdot K^-p \to K^+\Xi^- \qquad \qquad T_{s,s'}^{tot} = T_{s,s'}^{LS} - T_{s,s'}^{5/2^-} - T_{s,s'}^{7/2^+} \\
\cdot K^-p \to K^0\Xi^0 \qquad \qquad T_{s,s'}^{tot} = T_{s,s'}^{LS} + T_{s,s'}^{5/2^-} + T_{s,s'}^{7/2^+}
\end{aligned}$