# ATLAS measurements of Higgs boson properties in bosonic decay channels

Eleonora Benhar Noccioli Université de Genève

on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration

PANIC 14 - Hamburg 25-29 August

the bottom quark. The four main production processes, the Feynman diagrams  $\phi t$ displayed in Fig. 3.1, areathusitetherassociatest production with W// \* bosone 241, colliders make use of the fact that the Higgs boson couples preferentially to the neavy 99,99 machine machine particles, that is the massive W and Z vector bosons, the top quark and, to the spin extent Model, the main production is the bottom quark. The four main production processes, the Feyner and Frederansed which use appendix that the Higgs displayed in Fig. 3.1 archine the associated production with glyznbosed of the store is the genassive way and Z vector bo weak vector to son fusion processes [112,243s246atedeproveneerow with mean charge and the four main production pro 3.1, are this: the associated prand the assocrated Higgs production with heavy top [247,248] or bottom [249,24] 3.1.2 Higgs production at hadron machines The Manin of States boon p  $V/Z: q\bar{q} \longrightarrow V + H$  and the tion mechanisms for Higgs particles. Datsefiel Union Decomposition of the new are also several decided and the new are als colliders make use of the the test of MANAR and, to a lesser extrat3) hosons er besettetisi ion processes, the Feynman diagrams of which are production with heavy quarks in 455 W/Z bosons 12  $H_{h}^{2}$  42  $H_{h}^{2}$ nechanisms for Higgs particles at weak vector boson fusion processes [112, 243–246], the gluon–gluon fusion mechanic uark loops [251, 252], the ass nechanisms in hadronic collisi ,  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow HHV$ , and the vect Hages coverlation fatential by this strate and clien angles production with heavy top [247,248] of Sottom (249,248] of Sottom (259,254] sons, the top quark and, to a lesser extent, E V  $q^2$  Higgs produce the standard Model, the main production and the standard model in the main production and the standard model in the standard model is the standard model. The main product and an extend in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model. The main product and the standard model is the standard model is the standard model in the standard model. The main product and the standard model is the standard model is the standard model in the standard model is the standard model. The standard model is the standard model is the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard model in the standard model in the standard model is the standard model in the standard cesses, the Feynman diagrams of which are source of the last of the last you want the last you are source of the last you are sou so duation he in a structure of the state of onthe gluon function of the section of the fernance of the section of studies function of the section of the se HH mecheroponich proceeds torough h top [Eig7 & 18 portboat statistication] or statistication in the second of  $\mathbf{p}_{12}$   $\mathbf{p}$ tin proserve with a states of the section of the se Zssociated Higgs/production with heavy ated 147g248 our not fully the 201 to are to a sons [253, 254]  $q\bar{q}$  . HHV, and the vector boson fusion mechanisms  $qq \rightarrow V^*V$  and the vector boson fusion mechanisms in hadronic conduction mechanisms paller e not the base 1999 and the are also several mechanistics for Z: associated to the baye are also several mechanistics for the uction of the Higgs particle batesonhathestsing analy to colesses on mariana above. qqd Fig. Brouge Of the Will have r24658001 tugo production with heavy marks  $(3.4gg, qq \longrightarrow QQ + H)$ The dominant SM Mags to sole part subor processors are the der grife SUM on the proceeds through the proceeds the proceeds through the proceeds through the proceeds the proceeds through the proceeds through the proceeds through the proceeds through the proceeds the proceeds through the proceeds through the proceeds through the proceeds through the proceeds the proceeds through the proceed the proceed through the proceed throu soviated 1447g345rodu.co tion where are also issus for the pair production of the Higgs particles realso several mechanisms for the pair ATTAS measurements of Higgs boson properties in bosonic decay channels E. Benhar Noccioli

es

### SM Higgs boson decay channels





#### Highlights

- $_{\odot}\,$  S/B  $\sim$  2 in mass window 120–130 GeV
- Excellent mass resolution:
   1.6 (2.2) GeV for 4μ (4e) final state
- $\bigcirc$  Very low  $\sigma \cdot BR \sim 2.9$  fb for m<sub>H</sub>=125.5 GeV @ 8 TeV

#### Latest public results

- Mass: arXiv 1406.3827 accepted by PRD
- Couplings: to be submitted to PRD
- Differential Cross-Sections: ATLAS-CONF-2014-044 / paper to be submitted to PRD
- Indirect  $\Gamma_H$ : ATLAS-CONF-2014-042
- Spin/CP: Phys. Lett. B726 (2013) 120

 $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ 





- $\odot$  Search for peak in  $m_{4\ell}$  spectrum over smooth background
- Event selection:
  - 2 same flavor, opposite-sign lepton pairs
  - $\bigcirc$  p<sub>T</sub>>7(6) GeV for muons (electrons)
  - isolated leptons from same vertex
  - $_{\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}}$  leading  $m_{\ell\ell}$  (+FSR) constrained to  $m_Z$

#### **events** 120 < m<sub>4l</sub> < 130 GeV

Final state	Signal	Signal	ZZ*	$Z + jets, t\bar{t}$	s/b	Expected	Observed
	full mass range						
	$110 < m_{4l} < 140 \text{ GeV}$	$\sqrt{s} =$	7 TeV and $\sqrt{s}$	= 8 TeV			
$4\mu$	$6.80 \pm 0.67$	$6.20 \pm 0.61$	$2.82 \pm 0.14$	$0.79 \pm 0.13$	1.7	$9.81 \pm 0.64$	14
$2e2\mu$	$4.58 \pm 0.45$	$4.04 \pm 0.40$	$1.99 \pm 0.10$	$0.69 \pm 0.11$	1.5	$6.72 \pm 0.42$	9
$2\mu 2e$	$3.56 \pm 0.36$	$3.15\pm0.32$	$1.38\pm0.08$	$0.72\pm0.12$	1.5	$5.24 \pm 0.35$	6
4e	$3.25 \pm 0.34$	$2.77\pm0.29$	$1.22\pm0.08$	$0.76 \pm 0.11$	1.4	$4.75\pm0.32$	8
Total	$18.2 \pm 1.8$	$16.2 \pm 1.6$	$7.41 \pm 0.40$	$2.95\pm0.33$	1.6	$26.5 \pm 1.7$	37

ATLAS measurements of Higgs boson properties in bosonic decay channels





- Recent improvements in analysis:
  - Likelihood based electron identification
  - Electron/muon calibration
  - $\odot$  BDT for ZZ<sup>(\*)</sup> suppression: matrix element kinematic discriminant + p<sub>41</sub> +  $\eta_{41}$



![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

- $\bigcirc$  Mass and signal strength measurement performed with 2D fit to  $m_{4\ell}$  and  $BDT_{ZZ}$  (8% improvement over simple 1D fit to  $m_{4\ell}$ )
- $\bigcirc$  Maximum local significance (at m<sub>H</sub>=124.51): expected: 5.8 $\sigma$  / observed: 8.2 $\sigma$

 $m_H = 124.51 \pm 0.52$  (stat)  $\pm 0.06$  (syst) GeV

$$\mu = 1.66_{-0.38}^{+0.45}$$
 (at m<sub>H</sub> = 124.51)

#### $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : event categories

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Events categorized according to production mechanism
  - **VBF** : n<sub>Jets</sub>≥2, m<sub>JJ</sub>>130 GeV (2D fit to m<sub>4ℓ</sub> and BDT<sub>VBF</sub>)
  - WH hadronic : n<sub>Jets</sub>≥2, m<sub>JJ</sub><130 GeV and selected
     by BDT<sub>VH</sub> (1D fit to m<sub>4ℓ</sub>)
  - VH leptonic : additional lepton in event
     (1D fit to m<sub>4</sub>)
  - ggF : all other events
     (2D fit to m<sub>4ℓ</sub> and BDT<sub>ZZ</sub>)
- $\bigcirc$  Event yields for  $m_{4\ell}$  in 110-140 GeV range:
  - no events in VH categories
  - $_{\odot}~$  5 events in VBF enriched category ( 1 with BDT > 0 )

![](_page_7_Figure_10.jpeg)

# $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : couplings measurement

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

## $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : fiducial cross section

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

- $\bigcirc$  Inclusive measurement with  $m_{4\ell}$  fit
- G differential measurements

  - cut and count method,with bin-by-bin unfolding

	p-values							
Variable	Powheg	Minlo	HRes2					
<i>р</i> <sub>Т,<i>Н</i></sub>	0.30	0.23	0.16					
$ y_H $	0.37	0.45	0.36					
$m_{34}$	0.48	0.60	-					
$ \cos \theta^* $	0.35	0.45	-					
n <sub>jets</sub>	0.37	0.28	-					
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{jet}}$	0.33	0.26	_					

No significant deviations from theoretical predictions observed

![](_page_9_Figure_8.jpeg)

## $H \rightarrow ZZ$ : indirect $\Gamma_H$ measurement

Events / 0.2

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

- $\bigcirc$  High-mass off-peak region of H→ZZ (above 2m<sub>Z</sub>) sensitive to Higgs production through signal (off-shell) and background interference effects
- Required assumptions:
  - SM backgrounds not sensitive to new physics modifying off-shell couplings
  - $\Im$   $\kappa^{i}_{on-shell} = \kappa^{i}_{off-shell}$
- - o off-peak region: 220 GeV-1 TeV
  - Matrix element kinematic discriminant used to set limit
    - $E_T^{miss} > 150 \text{ GeV}, 76 < m_{\ell\ell} < 106 \text{ GeV}$
    - $\circ$  off-peak region m<sub>T</sub> > 350 GeV
    - o off-shell rate limit based on event counting

![](_page_10_Figure_12.jpeg)

ME Discriminant

## $H \rightarrow ZZ$ : indirect $\Gamma_H$ measurement

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

	Observed			Median expected		ected	Alternative hypothesis
$R^B_{H^*}$	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.5	1.0	2.0	
$\mu_{ ext{off-shell}}$	5.6	6.7	9.0	6.6	7.9	10.7	$R_{H^*}^B = 1, \mu_{\text{off-shell}} = 1$
$\Gamma_H/\Gamma_H^{\mathrm{SM}}$	4.1	4.8	6.0	5.0	5.8	7.2	$R_{H^*}^B = 1, \Gamma_H / \Gamma_H^{SM} = 1, \mu_{on-shell} = 1.51$
$\Gamma_H/\Gamma_H^{\rm SM}$	4.8	5.7	7.7	7.0	8.5	12.0	$R_{H^*}^B = 1, \Gamma_H / \Gamma_H^{SM} = 1, \mu_{on-shell} = 1$

ATLAS measurements of Higgs boson properties in bosonic decay channels

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Highlights

- $\odot$  S/B ~ 3-4% at m<sub>H</sub> = 125 GeV
- Excellent mass resolution:1.7 GeV
- $\odot \sigma \cdot BR \sim 45 \text{ fb}$ for m<sub>H</sub>=125.5 GeV @ 8 TeV

#### Latest public results

- Mass: arXiv:1406.3827 accepted by PRD
- Couplings: Phys. Lett. B726 (2013) 88 / ATLAS-CONF-2013-012
- Differential Cross-Sections: arXiv:1407.4222 submitted to JHEP
- Spin/CP: Phys. Lett. B726 (2013) 120

 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ 

# $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : analysis

#### Sevent selection:

- $\bigcirc$  two isolated photons, p<sub>T</sub>/m<sub> $\gamma\gamma$ </sub>>0.35,0.25
  - $\bigcirc$  Excellent  $\gamma$  ID: 75%  $\gamma\gamma$  after cuts
- photon pair vertex from tracks and calorimeter segmentation
- 10 categories based on: (un)converted photons, detector region and p<sub>Tt</sub>\*
- $\odot$  New e/ $\gamma$  calibration: 10% improvement in resolution
- Main backgrounds:

  - $\bigcirc \gamma$ j and jj (~20%)
  - modeled with analytical functions and fit in the 105-160 GeV range

![](_page_13_Figure_11.jpeg)

# $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : fiducial cross section

- Measurement of inclusive fiducial cross sections:
  - Saseline: two isolated photons with p<sub>T</sub>/m<sub>γγ</sub> > 0.35,0.25 and with |η| < 2.37</p>

 $\sigma_{\text{meas}} = 43.2 \pm 9.4 \text{ (stat)}^{+3.2}_{-2.9} \text{ (syst)} \pm 1.2 \text{ (lumi)}, @m_{\text{H}} = 125.4 \text{ GeV}$ 

 $\sigma_{\text{theory}} = 30.5 \pm 3.3$ 

- Jet multiplicity, VBF enhanced, \*lepton multiplicity and \*high
   E<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> (\*upper limits)
- Measurement of differential cross sections in the baseline fiducial volume:
  - Higgs boson kinematics, jet activity, spin-CP sensitive variables,
     VBF-sensitive variables
- Overall agreement in shape between data and Standard Model expectations

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

# $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma + H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ combination k

2InA

- New mass measurement just made public
- Total uncertainty reduced by ~40%
  - Systematics reduced by factor ~3
- Generation Compatibility between channels:
  - $\odot$  1.97 $\sigma$  (was 2.5 $\sigma$ )
    - $\odot$  1.6 $\sigma$  if fixing both signal strengths to 1
    - $\odot$  1.8 $\sigma$  if using "box-like" systematics for  $\gamma$  energy scale

Channel	Mass measurement [GeV]
$H \to \gamma \gamma$	$125.98 \pm 0.42 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.28 (\text{syst}) = 125.98 \pm 0.50$
$H \!\!\rightarrow\! ZZ^* \!\!\rightarrow\! 4\ell$	$124.51 \pm 0.52 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.06 (\text{syst}) = 124.51 \pm 0.52$
Combined	$125.36 \pm 0.37 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.18 \text{ (syst)} = 125.36 \pm 0.41$

#### Combined $\gamma\gamma + 4l$ $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV } \int Ldt = 4.5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV } \int Ldt = 20.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ $\rightarrow$ ZZ<sup>\*</sup> $\rightarrow$ 4*l* without systematics 5 $-2\sigma$ 124 124.5 125 125.5 126 126.5 123.5 127 m<sub>H</sub> [GeV] Signal yield (σ/σ<sub>SM</sub>(m<sub>H</sub>=125.36 GeV)) Combined $\gamma\gamma + ZZ^2$ ATLAS $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV} \text{ } \text{Ldt} = 4.5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ → γγ 3.5 → ZZ\* → 4i √s = 8 TeV j̃Ldt = 20.3 fb⁻ỉ 68% CI 2.5 1.5 0.5

123.5 124 124.5 125 125.5 126 126.5

#### More on <u>O. Kortner's talk</u>

E. Benhar Noccioli

127

m<sub>н</sub> [GeV]

#### $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \ell \ell \nu \nu$ Highlights

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- $\odot$  S/B ~ 0.3 in most sensitive category
- No reconstructed mass peak
- $\odot \sigma \cdot BR \sim 100 \text{ fb}$ for m<sub>H</sub>=125.5 GeV @ 8 TeV

#### Latest public results

- Couplings: Phys. Lett. B726 (2013) 88 -119 / ATLAS-CONF-2013-030
- Spin/CP: Phys. Lett. B726 (2013) 120-144 / ATLAS-CONF-2013-031
- VH( $\rightarrow$ WW): ATLAS-CONF-2013-075

#### $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \ell\ell\nu\nu$ : analysis

 $\odot$  Signature:  $\ell^+\ell^- + E_T^{\text{miss}}$ , no mass peak: observable  $m_T$ 

$$m_T^2 = \left(\sqrt{m_{\ell\ell}^2 + |\vec{p}_{T_{\ell\ell}}|^2} + E_T^{miss}\right)^2 - \left(\vec{p}_{T_{\ell\ell}} + \vec{E}_T^{miss}\right)^2$$

 $\odot$  Large backgrounds: WW, W+jets, top, Z/ $\gamma^*$ 

 $\bigcirc$  Local significance (at m<sub>H</sub>=125 GeV): 3.8 $\sigma$  observed, 3.7 $\sigma$  expected

 $\odot$  Measured signal strength (at m<sub>H</sub>=125 GeV): 1.01 ± 0.31

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

E. Benhar Noccioli

ATLAS measurements of Higgs boson properties in bosonic decay channels

### **Combined Spin/CP results**

- $\odot$  Compared Standard Model spin-parity J<sup>P</sup>=0<sup>+</sup> hypothesis to alternative hypotheses (0<sup>-</sup>, 1<sup>+</sup>, 1<sup>-</sup>, 2<sup>+</sup>)
- General All hypotheses are excluded at confidence levels above 97%
  - Independent on assumptions on the couplings to SM particles
  - $\odot$  Independent on the fraction of gluon-gluon or quark-antiquark production (for J<sup>P</sup>=2<sup>+</sup>)

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

E. Benhar Noccioli

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Highlights

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow Z\gamma \rightarrow \ell\ell\gamma$

- $\odot$  Event selection: opposite-sign lepton pair (e/µ) + isolated photon with E<sub>T</sub>>15 GeV
- ☺ Backgrounds: Z + γ (82%), Z + jets (17%), ttbar (1%): modeled using analytical functions

Gategories

- $\bigcirc$  ee/μμ final states, 7/8 TeV, Δη<sub>γZ</sub>, p<sub>Tt</sub>
- $\odot$  95% CL limit at m<sub>H</sub> = 125.5 GeV: obs 11(exp 9) x SM

$\sqrt{s}$	l	Category	$N_{\rm S}$	NB	ND	$\frac{N_{\rm S}}{\sqrt{N_{\rm P}}}$	FWHM
[TeV]						A. D	[GeV]
8	μ	high p <sub>Tt</sub>	2.3	310	324	0.13	3.8
8	μ	low $p_{\text{Tt}}$ , low $\Delta \eta$	3.7	1600	1587	0.09	3.8
8	μ	low $p_{\text{Tt}}$ , high $\Delta \eta$	0.8	600	602	0.03	4.1
8	е	high p <sub>Tt</sub>	1.9	260	270	0.12	3.9
8	е	low $p_{\mathrm{Tt}}$ , low $\Delta \eta$	2.9	1300	1304	0.08	4.2
8	е	low $p_{\text{Tt}}$ , high $\Delta \eta$	0.6	430	421	0.03	4.5
7	μ	high p <sub>Tt</sub>	0.4	40	40	0.06	3.9
7	μ	low $p_{\mathrm{Tt}}$	0.6	340	335	0.03	3.9
7	е	high p <sub>Tt</sub>	0.3	25	21	0.06	3.9
7	е	low $p_{\mathrm{Tt}}$	0.5	240	234	0.03	4.0

![](_page_20_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_8.jpeg)

ATLAS in easurements of Higgs boson properties to bosonic decay chan were - Linder

### Summary

- Many new/updated measurements this summer for Higgs decaying into bosonic final states!
  - More to come in the near future
- Presented latest results by ATLAS in this sector :
  - $\bigcirc$  Combined mass measurement using H→ZZ→4I and H→ $\gamma\gamma$ : **125.36** ± **0.37** (stat) ± **0.18** (syst)
  - Production rates, inclusive and categorized: all compatible with Standard Model expected values
  - $\bigcirc$  Indirect measurement of  $\Gamma_H$  via high-mass  $H \rightarrow ZZ$
  - Evidence for the spin-0 nature of the Higgs boson
  - $\bigcirc$  Search for rare decay of  $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$
  - $\bigcirc$  First differential cross sections in H $\rightarrow$ ZZ $\rightarrow$ 4I and H $\rightarrow\gamma\gamma$

# **Backup Slides**

#### New electron calibration

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

- $\bigcirc$  Intercalibration of calorimeter layers using Z  $\rightarrow \mu\mu$  events
  - I-2% for EM layers 1 & 2
- Accurate knowledge of material in front of EM calorimeter
  - $\bigcirc$  Constrain inactive material (2-5 X<sub>0</sub>) to ~2-10% X<sub>0</sub>
- EM cluster energy correction via MVA regression
- ♀ Energy scale and resolution extracted with Z → ee and J/ψ → ee
  - Good data/MC agreement after corrections

#### Leptons improvements

- Wew electron likelihood-based identification selection
  - same signal efficiency as cut-based
  - improves rejection of light-flavor jets and photon conversions by a factor ~2
- New electron combined fit of the track momentum and cluster energy
  - $\odot$  for E<sub>T</sub> < 30 GeV and when track momentum and cluster energy are consistent
  - $\odot$  improves m4 $\ell$  resolution in 4e and 2µ2e channels by ~4%
- Improved muon momentum scale and resolution corrections
  - $\odot$  determined using Z  $\rightarrow \mu\mu$  and J/ $\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ , checked with  $Y \rightarrow \mu\mu$
  - $\odot$  momentum scale uncertainties: 0.05% in barrel, up to 0.2% for  $|\eta| > 2$

![](_page_24_Figure_10.jpeg)

0.997 - Data 2012, √s=8 TeV

10

 $L = 20.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 

0.996

0.995

10<sup>2</sup> [GeV]

<p\_>

#### Split decay modes

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : Event Selection (1)

#### Electrons:

- $_{\odot}$  E<sub>T</sub>>7 GeV, |η|<2.47
- improved reconstruction algorithm with higher efficiency in 2012
- Iikelihood-based selection (cut-based for 7 TeV)

#### Muons:

E. Benhar Noccioli

- $_{☉}$  p<sub>T</sub>>6 GeV, |η|<2.7
- $\odot$  Quality and cleaning cuts; e-e, e-µ, e-jet overlap removal
- Quadruplets: 2 SF OS lepton pairs
- Single- and dilepton trigger object(s) matched to the lepton(s)

![](_page_26_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_13.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : Event Selection (2)

- $\odot$  Select lepton pair with the mass closest to the Z boson mass : Z<sub>1</sub>
  - $\bigcirc$  Leading pair: 50 < m<sub>12</sub> < 106 GeV
- General Among remaining pairs, select the next-closest to m<sub>Z</sub> : Z<sub>2</sub>
  - $\bigcirc$  Subleading pair: m<sub>min</sub> < m<sub>34</sub> < 115 GeV, m<sub>min</sub> = 12 (50) for m4l≤140 (≥190) GeV
- $\odot \Delta R > 0.1$  (0.2) between same (opposite) flavour leptons
- $\odot$  Relative track isolation in cone  $\Delta R=0.2$ :  $I_{track} < 0.15$
- $\odot$  Relative calorimeter isolation in cone  $\Delta R$ =0.2: typically  $I_{calo} < 0.3$
- $[] |d_0/\sigma(d_0)| < 3.5$  (6.5) for muons (electrons)
- Gereichten Seine Sei
  - $\odot$  collinear FSR for leading dimuon pairs (~4% of events)
  - $\odot$  far FSR with high E<sub>T</sub> for leading dimuon and dielectrons (~1% of events)

Overall accepance for m<sub>H</sub> =125 GeV @ 8 TeV: 39% 4μ, 27% 2e2μ and 20% 4e

![](_page_27_Figure_13.jpeg)

#### E. Benhar Noccioli

#### $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : Backgrounds (1)

- Irreducible background: ZZ\*
  - main source of background
  - estimated from MC (POWHEG+gg2ZZ+SHERPA)
  - or normalized to MCFM cross-section
- To reduce impact of the ZZ\*background on the fitted mass use BDT discriminant
  - $\bigcirc$  p<sub>T</sub> of four lepton system
  - η of four lepton system
  - matrix element based kinematic discriminant

Events in 120-130 GeV: 7.41 ± 0.40

![](_page_28_Figure_10.jpeg)

 $M_{ZZ}$ <sup>2</sup>

### $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : Backgrounds (2)

- Generation Series → Participation Series
  - estimated separately for final states with subleading muons and electrons
  - Gata-driven methods
- $\bigcirc \ell\ell + \mu\mu$  channels
  - Four CRs are fitted simultaneously to extract each component of the reducible background
  - Fitted yields extrapolated to signal region using efficiencies from simulation
  - Small contribution from WZ decays estimated using simulation
- $\bigcirc \ell\ell + ee$  channels
  - $\odot$  3 $\ell$ +X CR full selection on 3 $\ell$ , relaxed ID on X, SS
  - Generation First fit to hits in B-layer and TRT threshold
  - General Strates in the signal region using efficiencies from Z+X

![](_page_29_Figure_12.jpeg)

Total estimate in 120-130 GeV:  $2.95 \pm 0.33$ 

![](_page_29_Figure_14.jpeg)

#### $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : Mass measurement (1)

Sinematic fit used to constrain m<sub>Z1</sub> to the Z pole mass within the experimental resolution

- $\odot$  improvement on the  $m_{4\ell}$  resolution of ~15%
- Two-dimensional fit to m<sub>4</sub> and BDT<sub>ZZ</sub>\*
  - $\odot \sim 8\%$  improvement over simple m<sub>4l</sub> fit
- Signal model based on smoothed simulation distributions
  - $\ensuremath{\,{\tiny \Theta}}$  templates parameterized as a function of  $m_H$
- 26.5 events expected, 37 observed

![](_page_30_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : Mass measurement (2)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $m_{\rm H} = 124.51 \pm 0.52$  (stat)  $\pm 0.06$  (syst) GeV

previous result: 
$$m_H = 124.3 \frac{+0.6}{-0.5}$$
 (stat)  $\frac{+0.5}{-0.3}$  (syst) GeV

#### $H \rightarrow ZZ$ : indirect $\Gamma_H$ measurement

$$\frac{{\rm d}\sigma_{pp\to H\to ZZ}}{{\rm d}M_{4l}^2}\sim \frac{g_{Hgg}^2g_{HZZ}^2}{(M_{4l}^2-m_H^2)^2+m_H^2\Gamma_H^2}$$

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$\mu_{\text{off-shell}} = \kappa_{g,\text{off-shell}}^2 \cdot \kappa_{V,\text{off-shell}}^2$$

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : Event categorization (1)

Table 1: Summary of the expected number of signal events in the 105–160 GeV mass range  $n_{sig}$ , the FWHM of mass resolution,  $\sigma_{eff}$  (half of the smallest range containing 68% of the signal events), number of background events *b* in the smallest mass window containing 90% of the signal ( $\sigma_{eff90}$ ), and the ratio s/b and  $s/\sqrt{b}$  with *s* the expected number of signal events in the window containing 90% of signal events, for the  $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  channel. *b* is derived from the fit of the data in the 105–160 GeV mass range. The value of  $m_H$  is taken to be 126 GeV and the signal yield is assumed to be the expected Standard Model value. The estimates are shown separately for the 7 TeV and 8 TeV datasets and for the inclusive sample as well as for each of the categories used in the analysis.

Category	<i>n</i> <sub>sig</sub>	FWHM [GeV]	$\sigma_{ m eff}[ m GeV]$	$b \text{ in } \pm \sigma_{\text{eff90}}$	s/b [%]	$s/\sqrt{b}$		
$\sqrt{s}=8$ TeV								
Inclusive	402.	3.69	1.67	10670	3.39	3.50		
Unconv. central low $p_{\text{Tt}}$	59.3	3.13	1.35	801	6.66	1.88		
Unconv. central high $p_{\text{Tt}}$	7.1	2.81	1.21	26.0	24.6	1.26		
Unconv. rest low $p_{\text{Tt}}$	96.2	3.49	1.53	2624	3.30	1.69		
Unconv. rest high $p_{\text{Tt}}$	10.4	3.11	1.36	93.9	9.95	0.96		
Unconv. transition	26.0	4.24	1.86	910	2.57	0.78		
Conv. central low $p_{\text{Tt}}$	37.2	3.47	1.52	589	5.69	1.38		
Conv. central high $p_{\text{Tt}}$	4.5	3.07	1.35	20.9	19.4	0.88		
Conv. rest low $p_{\text{Tt}}$	107.2	4.23	1.88	3834	2.52	1.56		
Conv. rest high $p_{\text{Tt}}$	11.9	3.71	1.64	144.2	7.44	0.89		
Conv. transition	42.1	5.31	2.41	1977	1.92	0.85		
		$\sqrt{s}=7$ Te	eV					
Inclusive	73.9	3.38	1.54	1752	3.80	1.59		
Unconv. central low $p_{\text{Tt}}$	10.8	2.89	1.24	128	7.55	0.85		
Unconv. central high $p_{\text{Tt}}$	1.2	2.59	1.11	3.7	30.0	0.58		
Unconv. rest low $p_{\text{Tt}}$	16.5	3.09	1.35	363	4.08	0.78		
Unconv. rest high $p_{\text{Tt}}$	1.8	2.78	1.21	13.6	11.6	0.43		
Unconv. transition	4.5	3.65	1.61	125	3.21	0.36		
Conv. central low $p_{\text{Tt}}$	7.1	3.28	1.44	105	6.06	0.62		
Conv. central high $p_{\text{Tt}}$	0.8	2.87	1.25	3.5	21.6	0.40		
Conv. rest low $p_{\text{Tt}}$	21.0	3.93	1.75	695	2.72	0.72		
Conv. rest high $p_{\text{Tt}}$	2.2	3.43	1.51	24.7	7.98	0.40		
Conv. transition	8.1	4.81	2.23	365	2.00	0.38		

### $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : Event categorization (2)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

## $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : Mass measurement

Ц.

- $\odot$  New result: 125.98  $\pm$  0.42(stat)  $\pm$  0.28(syst) GeV
- $\odot$  Previous result: 126.8  $\pm$  0.2 (stat)  $\pm$  0.7 (syst) GeV
  - Served shift: 0.8 GeV / Expected change: -0.45 ± 0.35
     GeV
     (consistent with expected change from updated photon energy scale calibration)
- Statistical error compatible with expected for given signal strength (p-value 16%)
  - Reduced with respect to the past because:
    - Reduced signal strength
    - Generation Changes in mass resolution and event categorization
    - Consistent with a statistical fluctuation from changes in the measured masses of individual events

![](_page_35_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_10.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : Mass measurement checks

- Many cross-checks performed:
  - Splitting events according to:
    - conversions
    - onumber of primary vertices
    - Getector region
  - $\odot$  All checks consistent, no deviations above 1.5 $\sigma$

![](_page_36_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Mass measurement: systematics

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : cross section

#### $\odot$ Based on the same event selection as used in the H $\rightarrow$ 4l mass and couplings measurements

- Inclusive measurement
  - Simple cut and count measurement using a mass window
  - more precise measurement based on a fit of the invariant mass distribution
- Differential measurements
  - $\odot$  Higgs pT and rapidity, cos( $\theta^*$ ), M34, Njets, leading jet pT
  - only cut and count measurement using a mass window
- Estimate background yields (as in main analysis) and shapes
- Unfold reconstructed signal to truth distribution in fiducial volume
- Comparisons to different theory calculations

#### $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : cross section

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : cross section

Fiducial region	$N_{\rm data}$	$N_{ m MC}^{ m sig}$	$ u_i^{ m sig}$
Baseline	94627	$403 \pm 45$	$570 \pm 130$
$N_{ m jets} \ge 1$	34293	$178^{+31}_{-26}$	$308 \pm 79$
$N_{\rm jets} \ge 2$	10699	$63 \pm 11$	$141 \pm 43$
$N_{ m jets} \ge 3$	2840	$17 \pm 4$	$64 \pm 22$
VBF-enhanced	334	$13 \pm 2$	$24 \pm 9$
$N_{\rm leptons} \ge 1$	168	$3.5 \pm 0.4$	$-3\pm5$
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 80 {\rm ~GeV}$	154	$2.6 \pm 0.4$	$-2\pm4$

**Table 1**. The total number of events selected in data in each fiducial region,  $N_{\text{data}}$ , the expected signal yield obtained from the simulation samples discussed in section 4,  $N_{\text{MC}}^{\text{sig}}$ , and the fitted yield obtained from data,  $\nu_i^{\text{sig}}$ . The uncertainty on the fitted yield is the total uncertainty on the signal extraction, including the statistical and systematic uncertainties. The uncertainty on the expected yields include both the theoretical and experimental systematic uncertainties.

### $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : cross section

Source	Uncertainty on fiducial cross section $(\%)$						
	Baseline	$N_{\rm jets} \ge 1$	$N_{\text{jets}} \ge 2$	$N_{\rm jets} \ge 3$	VBF-		
					enhanced		
Signal extraction (stat.)	$\pm 22$	$\pm 25$	$\pm 30$	$\pm 33$	$\pm 34$		
Signal extraction (syst.)	$\pm 6.5$	$\pm 7.4$	$\pm 7.1$	$\pm 6.5$	$\pm 9.0$		
Photon efficiency	$\pm 1.5$	$\pm 2.1$	$\pm 3.1$	$\pm 4.2$	$\pm 2.3$		
Jet energy scale/resolution	-	$+6.2 \\ -5.8$	$+11 \\ -10$	$+15 \\ -13$	$+12 \\ -11$		
JVF/pileup-jet	-	$\pm 1.3$	$\pm 2.2$	$\pm 3.3$	$\pm 0.5$		
Theoretical modelling	$+3.3 \\ -1.0$	$+5.0 \\ -2.6$	$\pm 4.1$	$+6.3 \\ -4.9$	$+2.2 \\ -3.2$		
Luminosity	$\pm 2.8$	$\pm 2.8$	$\pm 2.8$	$\pm 2.8$	$\pm 2.8$		

**Table 2**. Uncertainties, expressed as percentages, on the cross sections measured in the baseline,  $N_{\text{jets}} \ge 1$ ,  $N_{\text{jets}} \ge 2$ ,  $N_{\text{jets}} \ge 3$  and VBF-enhanced fiducial regions. The signal extraction systematic uncertainty contains the effect of the photon energy scale and resolution, the impact of the background modelling on the signal yield and the uncertainty in the fitted peak position from the chosen background parameterisation.

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ : Couplings

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : Couplings

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 10.2.2 Definition of coupling scale factors

In order to take into account the currently best available SM predictions for Higgs cross sections, which include higher-order QCD and EW corrections [13, 14, 409], while at the same time introducing possible deviations from the SM values of the couplings, the predicted SM Higgs cross sections and partial decay widths are dressed with scale factors  $\kappa_i$ . The scale factors  $\kappa_i$  are defined in such a way that the cross sections  $\sigma_{ii}$  or the partial decay widths  $\Gamma_{ii}$  associated with the SM particle *i* scale with the factor  $\kappa_i^2$ 

#### 132

when compared to the corresponding SM prediction. Table 36 lists all relevant cases. Taking the process  $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  as an example, one would use as cross section:

$$(\sigma \cdot BR) (gg \to H \to \gamma \gamma) = \sigma_{SM}(gg \to H) \cdot BR_{SM}(H \to \gamma \gamma) \cdot \frac{\kappa_g^2 \cdot \kappa_\gamma^2}{\kappa_H^2}$$
 (93)

#### SPS Meeting 2014 - Fribourg

### **Couplings combination**

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)