Precision Measurement of Muon Neutrino Disappearance by T2K

Erez Reinherz-Aronis

for the T2K Collaboration

Colorado State University





Hamburg, Germany



Outline

- Neutrino Oscillation Introduction
- The T2K experiment
- T2K Results
- T2K Anti-Nu Run
- Summary

Neutrino Oscillation

- Neutrino production and detection is determined by their type/flavor (v_e , v_μ , v_τ) eigenstates
- But propagation through space is determined by their mass (v₁, v₂, v₃) eigenstates



• Flavor eigenstates are related to mass eigenstates via the *PMNS* mixing matrix

The PMNS Matrix

- A unitary 3x3 matrix → 4 degrees of freedom [assume the 3-flavor paradigm]
- Commonly parameterized by 3 mixing angles $(\theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13})$ and 1 phase (δ)



The PMNS Matrix

- A unitary 3x3 matrix → 4 degrees of freedom [assume the 3-flavor paradigm]
- Commonly parameterized by 3 mixing angles $(\theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13})$ and 1 phase (δ)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_{e} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\mu} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\boldsymbol{U}_{PMNS}}_{PMNS} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_{1} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{2} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_{PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{12} & \sin \theta_{12} & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{12} & \cos \theta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{13} & 0 & \sin \theta_{13} e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_{13} e^{i\delta} & 0 & \cos \theta_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{23} & \sin \theta_{23} \\ 0 & -\sin \theta_{23} & \cos \theta_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

"Solar v's"
Solar, Reactor
 $\theta_{12} \approx 34^{0}$
Reactor, Accelerator
 $\theta_{13} \approx 9^{0}$
Reactor, Accelerator
 $\theta_{23} \approx 45^{0}$

• Note:

Large mixing angles compare to the quark section (CKM)

The PMNS Matrix

- A unitary 3x3 matrix → 4 degrees of freedom [assume the 3-flavor paradigm]
- Commonly parameterized by 3 mixing angles $(\theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13})$ and 1 phase (δ)





• In general $P(v_{\alpha} \rightarrow v_{\beta}) = \left| \left\langle v_{\alpha} \left| v_{\beta}(t) \right\rangle \right|^{2} = \left| \sum_{i} U_{i\alpha}^{*} U_{\beta i} e^{\frac{-im_{i}^{2}L}{2E}} \right|^{2}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_e \\ v_\mu \\ v_\tau \end{pmatrix} = U_{PMNS} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}$$





• T2K
$$v_{\mu}$$
 Disappearance

$$P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu}) \cong 1 - 4\cos^{2} \theta_{13} \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \left[1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{13} \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \right] s^{2} \Delta_{32} \begin{pmatrix} v_{e} \\ v_{\mu} \\ v_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = U_{PMNS} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
where

$$s^{2} \Delta_{ij} = \sin^{2} \left(\frac{L}{E_{v}} \frac{m_{i}^{2} - m_{j}^{2}}{\hbar c} \right) = \sin^{2} \left(1.267 \frac{L[km]}{E_{v}[GeV]} \Delta m_{ij}^{2} [eV^{2}] \right)$$
• T2K v_{e} Appearance \rightarrow see Helen's talk

$$P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}) \cong \sin^{2} 2\theta_{23} \sin^{2} \theta_{13} s^{2} \Delta_{32}$$

$$-\sin 2\theta_{23} \sin 2\theta_{13} \cos \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{12} s^{2} \Delta_{13} s^{2} \Delta_{12} \sin \theta_{CP}^{*}$$
+ (CPC terms, matter terms,)

• T2K
$$v_{\mu}$$
 Disappearance
 $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu}) \cong 1 - 4\cos^{2}\theta_{13}\sin^{2}\theta_{23} \left[1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{13}\sin^{2}\theta_{23}\right] \otimes \Delta_{32} \begin{pmatrix} v_{e} \\ v_{\mu} \\ v_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = U_{PMNS} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3} \end{pmatrix}$
where
 $s^{2}\Delta_{ij} = \sin^{2}\left(\frac{L}{E_{v}}\frac{m_{i}^{2} - m_{j}^{2}}{\hbar c}\right) = \sin^{2}\left(1.267\frac{L[km]}{E_{v}[GeV]}\Delta m_{ij}^{2}[eV^{2}]\right)$
• T2K v_{e} Appearance \rightarrow see Helen's talk
 $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}) \cong \sin^{2}2\theta_{23}\sin^{2}\theta_{13} \otimes \Delta_{32}$
 $-\sin 2\theta_{23}\sin 2\theta_{13}\cos\theta_{13}\sin 2\theta_{12} \otimes^{2}\Delta_{13} \otimes^{2}\Delta_{12}\sin\delta_{CP}^{*}$
+ (CPC terms, matter terms,)

• T2K is designed (and optimized) for both Appearance and Disappearance measurements

 $s^{2}\Delta_{23} = \sin^{2}\left(1.267 \frac{L}{E_{v}} \Delta m_{ij}^{2}\right) = 1; \qquad \Delta m_{ij}^{2} \approx 2.4 \times 10^{3} eV^{2}; \quad L^{T2K} \cong 295 \ km \quad \Rightarrow \quad E_{v} \cong 0.6 \ GeV$

Outline

- Neutrino Oscillation Introduction
- The T2K experiment
- T2K Results
- T2K Anti-Nu Run
- Summary

The T2K Experiment

- Tokai-to-Kamioka (T2K): Neutrino Oscillation experiment
 - Location:JapanBeam: J-PARC Lab30 GeV proton beam
 - <u>Baseline</u>: ~295 km

Designed to produce ~0.6 GeV v_{μ}



T2K Main Properties

- Survival probability at T2K Far Detector (FD) Maximum: $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e})$ Minimum: $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu})$
- Off-axis experiment
- Beam is aimed 2.5⁰ off the direction to the Super-K (FD)
 - Narrow-bandv beam
 - Reduce background from high energy tail







T2K Near Detectors



T2K Near Detectors

- On-Axis:
 - INGRID
 - 16 Modules of Iron and Scintillator





T2K Near Detectors

- On-Axis:
 - INGRID
 - 16 Modules of Iron and Scintillator
- Off-Axis (ND280):
 - Pi-Zero Detector (PØD)
 - Tracker
 - 3 Time Projection Chambers (TPC)
 - 2 Fine Grain Detectors (FGD)
 - Surrounded by Electromagnetic Calorimeters
 - Housed inside the Magnet of the UA1







T2K Far Detector



Outline

- Neutrino Oscillation Introduction
- The T2K experiment
- T2K Results
- T2K Anti-Nu Run
- Summary

T2K Results: J-PARC/Beam

• Protons-On-Target (POT) for T2K Runs 1-5



- Integrated nu beam mode: $\sim 6.9 \times 10^{20}$ POT
- Integrated anti-nu beam mode:~0.5x10²⁰ POT

- On-Axis (INGRID):
 - Monitor beam direction stability



- On-Axis (INGRID):
 - Monitor beam direction stability
- Off-Axis (ND280):
 - Constrains Flux ⊗ Cross Section
 - Use different topology samples
 - Measure properties like momentum, angle and position which then are used to constraint our MC simulations to a better degree



See Jon's talk for more details

- On-Axis (INGRID):
 - Monitor beam direction stability
- Off-Axis (ND280):
 - Constrains Flux ⊗ Cross Section
 - Use different topology samples
 - Measure properties like momentum, angle and position which then are used to constraint our MC simulations to a better degree





- SK Event Selection:
 - Fully Contained in Fiducial Volume (FCFV) and in-time with beam
 - 1-Ring
 - Muon-like PID
 - $p_{\mu} > 200 \text{ MeV}$
 - ≤ 1 Decay electron
- <u>Observed</u>: 120 muon-like events





- SK Event Selection:
 - Fully Contained in Fiducial Volume (FCFV) and in-time with beam
 - 1-Ring
 - Muon-like PID
 - $p_{\mu} > 200 \text{ MeV}$
 - ≤ 1 Decay electron
- <u>MC expectation</u>: 446.0 \pm 22.5 events (without oscillations)





26

Shows the power of the off-axis technique, $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu}) \approx 0 @Ev=0.6 \text{GeV}$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 181801 (2014)

- The Oscillation fit
 - Full three-flavor oscillation framework
 - Parameters constrained by 2012-2013 PDG values and errors
 - $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.0251 \pm 0.0035$
 - $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 0.312 \pm 0.016$
 - $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.50 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5} \, eV^2$
 - 45 nuisance fit parameters, uncertainties 0.2%-5.6%

Source of uncertainty (number of parameters)	$\delta n_{ m SK}^{ m exp}/n_{ m SK}^{ m exp}$
ND280-independent cross section (11)	4.9%
Flux and ND280-common cross section (23)	2.7%
SK detector and $FSI + SI$ systematics (7)	5.6%
$\sin^2(\theta_{13}), \sin^2(\theta_{12}), \Delta m^2_{21}, \delta_{CP} (4)$	0.2%
Total (45)	8.1%



Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 181801 (2014)²⁷



- The Oscillation fit
 - Full three-flavor oscillation framework
 - Parameters constrained by 2012-2013 PDG values and errors
 - $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.0251 \pm 0.0035$
 - $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 0.312 \pm 0.016$
 - $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.50 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5} \, eV^2$
 - 45 nuisance fit parameters



mhunhunhu

28



Currently most precise measurement of $\theta_{23}!$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 181801 (2014)

- The Oscillation fit
 - Full three-flavor oscillation framework
 - Parameters constrained by 2012-2013 PDG values and errors
 - $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.0251 \pm 0.0035$
 - $\sin^2\theta_{12} = 0.312 \pm 0.016$
 - $\Delta m_{21}^2 = (7.50 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5} \, eV^2$
 - 45 nuisance fit parameters

The best-fit

NH

IH





Currently most precise measurement of $\theta_{23}!$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 181801 (2014)

29

% (dashed) and 90% (solid) CL Contou

MINOS 3-flavor+atm [NH]

T2K [NH]

 Δm_{32}^{2} (

2.6

SK I-IV [NH]

0.7

 $\sin^2(\theta_{22})$ 30

- <u>Comparison</u> with other disappearance results
 - SK Atmospheric Runs I-IV
 - MINOS 3-flavor+Atm
 - T2K is more sensitive to ϑ_{23} • while MINOS is more sensitive to Δm^2
 - Consistent with maximal mixing •
 - Is it equal or not to maximal?

The best-fit

NH

IH



T2K: Anti-Nu Run

• T2K Run 5: 1st Anti-Nu run

T2K Far Detector

- Anti-nu beam mode $\sim 0.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ POT}$
- Nu beam mode $\sim 0.2 \times 10^{20} \text{ POT}$
- Event displays



T2K Near Detector



• T2K aims to make an anti-nu disappearance measurement



- T2K v_{μ} Disappearance
 - Utilized the full three-flavor framework

- T2K v_{μ} Disappearance
 - Utilized the full three-flavor framework
 - Measured θ_{23} and $\Delta m_{23}^2 (\Delta m_{31}^2)$ for the NH (IH)
 - $\circ \text{ NH} \qquad \theta_{23} = 45.80^{0+3.17^{0}}_{-3.16^{0}} \qquad \Delta m^{2}_{23} [\times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^{-2}] = 2.51 \pm 0.10$
 - IH $\theta_{23} = 45.63^{\circ} \pm 3.16^{\circ} \Delta m_{13}^{2} [\times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^{-2}] = 2.48 \pm 0.10$





- T2K v_{μ} Disappearance
 - Utilized the full three-flavor framework
 - Measured θ_{23} and $\Delta m_{23}^2 (\Delta m_{31}^2)$ for the NH (IH)
 - NH $\theta_{23} = 45.80^{0+3.170} 3.16^{0} \Delta m_{23}^{2} [\times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^{-2}] = 2.51 \pm 0.10$
 - IH $\theta_{23} = 45.63^{\circ} \pm 3.16^{\circ} \Delta m_{13}^{2} [\times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^{-2}] = 2.48 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$





- T2K v_{μ} Disappearance
 - Utilized the full three-flavor framework
 - Measured θ_{23} and $\Delta m_{23}^2 (\Delta m_{31}^2)$ for the NH (IH)
 - NH $\theta_{23} = 45.80^{0+3.170}_{-3.16^{0}} \Delta m_{23}^{2} [\times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^{-2}] = 2.51 \pm 0.10$
 - IH $\theta_{23} = 45.63^{\circ} \pm 3.16^{\circ} \Delta m_{13}^{2} [\times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^{-2}] = 2.48 \pm 0.10$
 - First time that the mixing angle is better constrained by an accelerator experiment than by atmospheric neutrinos!
 - Consistent with maximal mixing





- T2K v_{μ} Disappearance
 - Utilized the full three-flavor framework
 - Measured θ_{23} and $\Delta m_{23}^2 (\Delta m_{31}^2)$ for the NH (IH)
 - NH $\theta_{23} = 45.80^{0+3.170} \Delta m_{23}^2 [\times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^{-2}] = 2.51 \pm 0.10$
 - IH $\theta_{23} = 45.63^{\circ} \pm 3.16^{\circ} \Delta m_{13}^{2} [\times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^{-2}] = 2.48 \pm 0.10$
 - First time that the mixing angle is better constrained by an accelerator experiment than by atmospheric neutrinos!
 - Consistent with maximal mixing
- T2K first Anti-nu run:
 - Recorded $\sim 0.5 \times 10^{20}$ POT of anti-nu Data
 - Goal: Anti-nu disappearance measurement





- T2K v_{μ} Disappearance
 - Utilized the full three-flavor framework
 - Measured θ_{23} and $\Delta m_{23}^2 (\Delta m_{31}^2)$ for the NH (IH)
 - NH $\theta_{23} = 45.80^{0+3.170}_{-3.16^{0}} \Delta m_{23}^{2} [\times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^{-2}] = 2.51 \pm 0.10$
 - IH $\theta_{23} = 45.63^{\circ} \pm 3.16^{\circ} \Delta m_{13}^{2} [\times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^{-2}] = 2.48 \pm 0.10$
 - First time that the mixing angle is better constrained by an accelerator experiment than by atmospheric neutrinos!
 - Consistent with maximal mixing
- T2K first Anti-nu run:
 - Recorded $\sim 0.5 \times 10^{20}$ POT of anti-nu Data
 - Goal: Anti-nu disappearance measurement
- More T2K interesting results:
 - v_e Appearance and joint fits next talk by Helen
 - Near Detectors measurements later talk by Jon





Precision Measurement of Muon Neutrino Disappearance by T2K

Erez Reinherz-Aronis

for the T2K Collaboration

Colorado State University





Hamburg, Germany



Additional material

Additional material

- From where do we have 1.267 in $sin^2(1.267\Delta m^2 L/E_v)$?
 - The full version of the term is $\sin^2(\Delta m^2 L/(4E_v))$
 - To make the sin unitless one needs to add $1/\hbar c$ to the sin: $\sin(\Delta m^2 L/(4E_v \hbar c)) \rightarrow \sin(1.267\Delta m^2 [eV^2]L[km]/E_v[GeV])$

T2K Collaboration

~500 members (337 authers), 59 institutes, 11 counters

Canada U. Alberta U. B. Columbia U. Regina U. Toronto TRIUMF U. Victoria U. Winnipeg York U.

France CEA Saclay **IPN Lyon** LLR E. Poly. LPNHE Paris

Germany U. Aachen Japan ICRR Kamioka ICRR RCCN Kavli IPMU KEK Kobe U. Kyoto U. Miyagi U. Edu. Okayama U. Osaka City U. Tokyo Metro U. U. Tokyo

Spain Russia IFIC, Valencia INR IFAE, Barcelona

Poland USA NCBJ, Warsaw IFJ PAN, Cracow T. U. Warsaw U. Silesia, KatowiceDuke U. U. Warsaw U. Wroklaw Switzerland **FTH Zurich** U. Bern U. Geneva

Boston U. Colorado S. U. U. Colorado U.C. Irvine Louisiana S. U. U. Pittsburgh **U. Rochester** Stony Brook U. **U. Washington**

Italy

INFN, U. Bari INFN, U. Napoli INFN, U. Padova INFN, U. Roma

UK Imperial C. L. Lancaster U. Liverpool U. Queen Mary U.L. Oxford U. Sheffield U. STFC/RAL

STFC/Daresbury Warwick U.





- On-Axis:
 - Monitor beam direction stability
- Off-Axis (ND280):
 - Constrains on Flux ⊗ Cross Section
 - Use different topology samples
 - Measure parameters like momentum, angle and position which then are used to constrain our MC simulations to a better degree



- On-Axis:
 - Monitor beam direction stability
- Off-Axis (ND280):
 - Constrains on Flux ⊗ Cross Section
 - Use different topology samples
 - Measure parameters like momentum, angle and position which then are used to constrain our MC simulations to a better degree



- On-Axis:
 - Monitor beam direction stability
- Off-Axis (ND280):
 - Constrains on Flux ⊗ Cross Section
 - Use different topology samples
 - Measure parameters like momentum, angle and position which then are used to constrain our MC simulations to a better degree
 - Flux constraint, Cross section constraint



Parameter	Prior to ND280 Constraint	After ND280 Constraint
M _A ^{QE} (GeV)	1.21 ± 0.45	1.240 ± 0.072
M_A^{RES} (GeV)	1.41±0.22	0.965±0.068
CCQE Norm. E _v <1.5 GeV	1.00 ± 0.11	0.966 ± 0.076
CCQE Norm. $1.5 < E_v < 3.5 \text{ GeV}$	1.00 ± 0.30	0.93 ± 0.10
CCQE Norm. E _v >3.5 GeV	1.00 ± 0.30	0.85 ± 0.11
CC1 π Norm. E _v <2.5 GeV	1.15 ± 0.32	1.26 ± 0.16
CC1π Norm. E _v >2.5 GeV	1.00 ± 0.40	1.12 ± 0.17
NC1π ⁰ Norm.	0.96 ± 0.33	1.14 ± 0.25

- On-Axis:
 - Monitor beam direction stability
- Off-Axis (ND280):
 - Constrains on Flux ⊗ Cross Section
 - Use different topology samples
 - Measure parameters like momentum, angle and position which then are used to constrain our MC simulations to a better degree
 - Flux constraint, Cross section constraint
 - Total uncertainty reduction @ the FD



θ_{23} Outlook for T2K

• Reminder: T2K v_e Appearance $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_e) \cong \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \sin^2 \theta_{13} s^2 \Delta_{32}$ $-\sin 2\theta_{23} \sin 2\theta_{13} \cos \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{12} s^2 \Delta_{13} s^2 \Delta_{12} \sin \delta_{CP}$ + (CPC terms, matter terms,)



- Effect on future δ_{CP} measurement
- For more details and results please see Helen's talk