

NIS -> LDAP migration

UNIX GID consolidation

Christoph Beyer, Yves Kemp
Linux User Meeting, 31.10.2013

Why move away from NIS?

> NIS has intrinsic group definition limit

> ypcat group

beatles::64:john,paul,george,ringo <1024 characters!

> This limit has been reached at DESY for one group. Consequences:

- ANY change in ANY group cannot be pushed to NIS master – and hence to clients
- NIS basically unusable for everyone
- Fortunately, some users in this group do not work under Unix. In agreement with group admins manually remove these users when feeding the NIS master from the registry. Dirty and ugly – but works.

> Splitting the group does not work: Master and clients do not work reliably

> **We have ~2-3 month at current growth of the affected group to find a permanent solution – for passwd and group in a first step**



Alternatives

> Generate /etc/passwd and /etc/group files and distribute e.g. via cron

- E.g. done in Zeuthen
- Only works for centrally managed and controlled systems
- Will not work for commercial systems, e.g. Sonas

> LDAP

- Established since years (actually first RFC 20y old)
- Many sites using it – also some groups at DESY for particular purposes
- Different server products, including commercial ones

> Microsoft AD

- Containing an LDAP service
- Used e.g. at CERN for Linux clients, including huge batch farms
- Current Microsoft license situation does not encourage extension of AD usage



We opt for LDAP

- > Checked that all currently supported Linux distributions support it
 - SL5 and above, Ubuntu 10.04 and above
 - Even some older Linux (unsupported☺) and even Solaris tested
 - Works against a test-LDAP server for passwd and group
- > Currently investigating on best fit for LDAP server
 - Shortlisted two products from an extensive list
 - “389” (RedHat owned) and “OpenDJ” (Fork from codebase of SUN OpenDS product)
 - Test installation currently being setup
 - Gain insight in how to setup production infrastructure



Migration plan - tentative

- > Passwd and group most pressing for some user groups
- > Will change IT owned resources (PAL, BIRD,...) and affected group machines first to LDAP
 - NIS will stay at first, but once we run in “1024”-problem, simply drop the affected group from NIS as affected resources are migrated to LDAP by then
- > NIS currently works well for netgroups.
 - In second step, move netgroups to LDAP
 - Problem: Registry is not only source for netgroups (in contrast to passwd and group)
 - Netgroup composed by registry, WBOOM, group admin tools
 - This needs to be implemented for LDAP
 - ... Once we master LDAP for passwd and group
- > Once LDAP works for passwd, group and netgroup, will announce shutdown plan for NIS service ... which should be in 2014



While we are at it: Get rid of another legacy

- > https://access.redhat.com/site/documentation/en-US/Red_Hat_Enterprise_Linux/4/html/Introduction_To_System_Administration/s1-acctsgroups-rhlspec.html
- > ... GIDs below 500 are reserved for system use. ... GIDs are never to be assigned to a user, as it is likely that some system component either currently uses or will use these UIDs/GIDs at some point in the future...
- > Currently 85 groups with GID < 500 at DESY
 - And conflicts with existing groups exists!
 - This affects vital functions of Linux systems, e.g. sound or removable media
- > Plan: Change groups <1000 to >1000 when migrating to LDAP
 - 1000 instead of 500 to be on safe side



<1000 GID migration. Steps:

- > Current: `beatles::64:john,paul,george,ringo`
- > Step zero: Clean up unused groups and check who can be migrated directly
- > Step one: Two groups:
 - `beatles::1960:john,paul,george,ringo` - Managed via registry
 - `beatles_legacy::64:john,paul,george,ringo` - Created from above while pushing groups into NIS
- > Step two: Change file and directory ownerships
 - Something around `chgrp -R 1960 /path/to/data`
 - No need for big-bang migration, each machine and path can be done when appropriate
 - No data access problems during migration phase
- > Step three: Remove the `_legacy` group
- > ... **LDAP will only contain the >1000 groups – no legacy!!!**



Primary and secondary groups: The correct way

> For simplicity, we dropped the information about primary and secondary groups

> Old:

- Passwd:

```
freddie:....:23:....  
brian:....:23:....  
john:....:23:....  
roger:....:23:....  
paul:....:42:....
```

- Group:

```
queen:....:23:paul  
badcompany:....:42:
```

,

> Intermediate & New:

- Passwd:

```
freddie:....:2323:....  
brian:....:2323:....  
john:....:2323:....  
roger:....:2323:....  
paul:....:4242:....
```

- Group:

```
queen:....:2323:paul  
badcompany:....:4242:  
queen_legacy:....:23:freddie,brian,john,roger,paul  
badcompany_legacy:....:42:paul
```



- > Groups are not used for access (should not)
 - Netgroups are used, and there is no GID clash with them
- > Groups are (to our knowledge) only used for data permissions
 - ... when different people are involved
 - E.g. local filesystem, NFS v3 (AFS uses different mechanisms)
- > The proposed scheme will not work for very large groups:
 - ... remember the 1024 character limit
 - Currently 7 groups are affected. Will contact these groups separately

