Partition Function of Chiral Boson on 2-Torus from Floreanini-Jackiw Lagrangian based on arXiv: 1307.2172 [hep-th]

KHOO Fech Scen

Jacobs University

Nordic String Meeting 2014 AEI, Golm

February 3, 2014

Motivation

To establish a Lagrangian formulation for the quantum theory of a chiral boson, accommodating a wider class of chiral boson theories.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Chiral Boson

- Self-dual gauge theory/chiral boson theory lives in 4k + 2 spacetime dimensions, for integer k.
- There exists a 2k-form field/chiral boson, ϕ .
- Field strength of (2k + 1)-form is self-dual, i.e. $d\phi = *d\phi$.

In 2 dimensions:

- Chiral scalar field, ϕ
- Self-duality: $\dot{\phi} = \phi'$

Outline

Henningson-Nilsson-Salomonson - Holomorphic decomposition

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Witten - Holomorphic line bundle

• Our proposal - Path integral for FJ model

Introduction

In the following discussions, we will be operating with **three** different actions S on a 2-torus Σ , defined by

$$z \sim z + m + n\tau$$
 $(m, n \in \mathbb{Z})$

and a circle target space of the scalar field ϕ obeying the periodic boundary condition

$$\phi(z+m+n\tau,\bar{z}+m+n\bar{\tau})=\phi(z,\bar{z})+2\pi(m\omega_1+n\omega_2),\quad(1)$$

for arbitrary winding numbers $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Introduction

The goal is to compute the partition function $Z (= \int D\phi e^{-S})$ for a chiral boson.

The partition function is a product of zero-mode- and non-zero-mode-,

$$Z[A] = Z_0[A^{(0)}]\tilde{Z}[\tilde{A}].$$

Formula:

General Jacobi ϑ function:

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (y; \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left[\pi i (n + \alpha)^2 \tau \right] \exp \left[2\pi i (n + \alpha) (y + \beta) \right]$$

with real characteristics/spin structures α, β .

Holomorphic decomposition

(Henningson et al., hep-th/9908107)

Idea:

$$Z_{\text{non-chiral}}[A_{\overline{z}}, A_z] = Z_{\text{hol}}[A_{\overline{z}}]Z_{\text{anti-hol}}[A_z]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Holomorphic decomposition

(Henningson et al., hep-th/9908107)

Idea:

$$Z_{\text{non-chiral}}[A_{\overline{z}}, A_z] = Z_{\text{hol}}[A_{\overline{z}}]Z_{\text{anti-hol}}[A_z]$$

However for nontrivial topology,

$$Z_{\mathsf{non-chiral}}[A_{ar{z}},A_z]\sim\sum_s Z_{\mathsf{hol}}^{(s)}[A_{ar{z}}]Z_{\mathsf{anti-hol}}^{(s)}[A_z]$$

where *s* is spin structure.

Holomorphic decomposition

(Henningson et al., hep-th/9908107)

Idea:

$$Z_{\text{non-chiral}}[A_{\bar{z}}, A_z] = Z_{\text{hol}}[A_{\bar{z}}]Z_{\text{anti-hol}}[A_z]$$

However for nontrivial topology,

$$Z_{\mathsf{non-chiral}}[A_{\overline{z}}, A_z] \sim \sum_s Z_{\mathsf{hol}}^{(s)}[A_{\overline{z}}] Z_{\mathsf{anti-hol}}^{(s)}[A_z]$$

where *s* is spin structure.

Action:

$$S[\phi, A] = \frac{1}{\pi g^2} \int dz d\bar{z} \, \partial_z \phi \partial_{\bar{z}} \phi + \frac{1}{\pi g} \int dz d\bar{z} \, (A_{\bar{z}} \partial_z \phi + A_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \phi)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Calculations¹

The non-chiral zero-mode partition function obtained is

$$Z_{0}[y,\bar{y}] = k_{2}^{-1} \mathcal{W}[y,\bar{y}] \sum_{n_{1}=0}^{k_{1}-1} \sum_{n_{2}=0}^{k_{2}-1} \vartheta \left[\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}} \\ \frac{n_{2}}{k_{2}} \right] \left(\sqrt{\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}}y; \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\tau \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial \left[\frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}} \\ \frac{n_{2}}{k_{2}} \right] \left(\pm \sqrt{\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}}y; \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\tau \right), \qquad (2)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

where

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}[y,\bar{y}] &= \sqrt{\frac{g^2 \tau_2}{2}} \, \exp\left[\frac{\pi}{2\tau_2}(y+\bar{y})^2\right],\\ \text{and } y &= \frac{i\tau_2}{\pi} A_{\bar{z}}^{(0)}, \qquad \bar{y} = -\frac{i\tau_2}{\pi} A_{z}^{(0)}.\\ &+ : g = \sqrt{k_1 k_2}, \qquad - : g = \frac{2}{\sqrt{k_1 k_2}}. \end{split}$$

Calculations²

- ► There is a **T-duality** relating the two coupling constants $(g \text{ and } g' = \frac{2}{g})$.
- Self-duality is realized at g² = 2 with the only possibility from k₁ = 1, k₂ = 2.

Calculations²

- ► There is a **T-duality** relating the two coupling constants $(g \text{ and } g' = \frac{2}{g})$.
- Self-duality is realized at g² = 2 with the only possibility from k₁ = 1, k₂ = 2.

The **zero-mode partition function of a chiral boson** should be identified with the holomorphic factor,

$$Z_0^{\text{chiral}}[y] = \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_1}{k_1} \\ \frac{n_2}{k_2} \end{bmatrix} \left(\sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k_2}} y; \frac{k_1}{k_2} \tau \right).$$
(3)

Discussions

Disadvantages in this approach:

- A Lagrangian of a non-chiral boson is assumed.
- An anomalous by-product \mathcal{W} .

Results:

- Modular parameter defining the base space z and base space $A^{(0)}$ can differ by a fraction k_1/k_2 .
- Obtained more general rational spin structures. (Could be lifted to arbitrary real spin structures.)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(Witten, hep-th/9610234)

Idea:

Anti-chiral component decoupled in a Lorentz invariant action.

Action:

$$\begin{split} S[\phi,A] &= \frac{1}{\pi g^2} \int_{\Sigma} dz d\bar{z} \left[(\partial_z \phi + g A_z/2) (\partial_{\bar{z}} \phi + g A_{\bar{z}}/2) - g \phi F_{z\bar{z}}/2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi g^2} \int_{\Sigma} dz d\bar{z} \left(\partial_z \phi \partial_{\bar{z}} \phi + g A_{\bar{z}} \partial_z \phi + g^2 A_z A_{\bar{z}}/4 \right). \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Z satisfies the two differential equations

$$\frac{D}{DA_z}Z[A] = 0,$$

$$\left[\partial_z \frac{D}{DA_z} + \partial_{\overline{z}} \frac{D}{DA_{\overline{z}}} - \frac{F_{z\overline{z}}}{2\pi}\right]Z[A] = 0,$$
(5)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

on which the line bundle is defined with the covariant derivatives

$$\frac{D}{DA_z} = \frac{\delta}{\delta A_z} + \frac{A_{\bar{z}}}{4\pi}, \qquad \frac{D}{DA_{\bar{z}}} = \frac{\delta}{\delta A_{\bar{z}}} - \frac{A_z}{4\pi}.$$

By these transformations,

$$\delta A_{\bar{z}} = -\partial_{\bar{z}} \epsilon_z, \qquad \delta A_z = \lambda,$$

we can get to configurations

$$A_{\overline{z}} = A_{\overline{z}}^{(0)}$$
 and $A_z = A_z^{(0)}$.

The space of $A_{\bar{z}}^{(0)}$ forms a torus, parametrized by

$$A_{\bar{z}}^{(0)} \to A_{\bar{z}}^{(0)} + \frac{2\pi i}{g\tau_2}(m\tau - n) \qquad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$
 (6)

• Z will not be a function of $A_{\overline{z}}^{(0)}$.

The space of $A_{\bar{z}}^{(0)}$ forms a torus, parametrized by

$$A_{\bar{z}}^{(0)} \to A_{\bar{z}}^{(0)} + \frac{2\pi i}{g\tau_2}(m\tau - n) \qquad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$
 (6)

• Z will not be a function of $A_{\overline{z}}^{(0)}$.

Calculations:

Let $y = i\tau_2 A_{\bar{z}}^{(0)}/\pi$ and $\bar{y} = -i\tau_2 A_z^{(0)}/\pi$, the covariant derivatives are

$$D_{\bar{y}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} - \frac{F}{2}y, \qquad D_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{F}{2}\bar{y},$$
 (7)

where field strength $F = -\pi/\tau_2$.

Calculations²

From

$$U_{(m,n)}D_{i}(y;\bar{y})U_{(m,n)}^{-1} = D_{i}\left(y + \frac{2}{g}(m+n\tau);\bar{y} + \frac{2}{g}(m+n\bar{\tau})\right),$$
(8)

we find the transition function $U_{(m,n)}$ is

$$U_{(m,n)} = e^{-\frac{F}{g}(m+n\bar{\tau})y + \frac{F}{g}(m+n\tau)\bar{y} + f(m,n)}.$$

A section Y of the bundle should satisfy

$$U_{(m,n)}Y(y,\bar{y}) = Y\left(y + \frac{2}{g}(m+n\tau), \ \bar{y} + \frac{2}{g}(m+n\bar{\tau})\right).$$
(9)

By further satisfying the self-duality condition: $D_{\overline{y}}Y = 0$, we have

$$Y(y,\bar{y}) = e^{\frac{F}{2}y\bar{y} - \frac{F}{2}y^2}Y_0(y)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Calculations³

Given a choice of f(m, n), we can have

$$Y_0(y) = \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} lpha \\ eta \end{bmatrix} (ay; b au).$$

This is realized from a property of the ϑ function,

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{n}\tau + \mathbf{m}; \tau) = e^{-i2\pi n\mathbf{y} - i\pi n^2 \tau + i2\pi (\mathbf{m}\alpha - \mathbf{n}\beta)} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{y}; \tau).$$

We found that a, b could be parametrized by integers k_1, k_2 ,

$$a=\sqrt{rac{k_1}{k_2}}, \qquad b=rac{k_1}{k_2},$$

where $g = \frac{2}{\sqrt{k_1 k_2}}$. So the **zero-mode partition function for chiral boson** is

$$Z_0^{(s)}[y,\bar{y}] = \mathcal{N}^{(s)} e^{\frac{F}{2}y\bar{y}-\frac{F}{2}y^2} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \left(\sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k_2}} y; \frac{k_1}{k_2} \tau \right), \qquad (10)$$

くしゃ (雪) (雪) (雪) (雪) (雪) (

where spin structure $s = (\alpha, \beta)$.

Discussions

Shortcoming in this approach:

The action

$$S[\phi, A] = \frac{1}{\pi g^2} \int_{\Sigma} dz d\bar{z} \left[(\partial_z \phi + g A_z/2) (\partial_{\bar{z}} \phi + g A_{\bar{z}}/2) - g \phi F_{z\bar{z}}/2 \right]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

was tailor-made.

Result:

Obtained arbitrary real spin structures.

Path integral for FJ model

(Chen et al., hep-th/1307.2172)

Idea: Direct computation by a modified chiral boson Lagrangian.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Path integral for FJ model

(Chen et al., hep-th/1307.2172)

Idea: Direct computation by a modified chiral boson Lagrangian. **Action we propose:**

$$S_{\phi} = S_{0} + S_{A}[A + A_{0}] + S_{B} + S_{\Psi}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi g^{2}} \int dz d\bar{z} (\partial_{z} + \partial_{\bar{z}}) \phi \partial_{\bar{z}} \phi$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi g} \int dz d\bar{z} (\partial_{z} + \partial_{\bar{z}}) \phi (A + A_{0})$$

$$+ i \int d\sigma_{B} \wedge d\sigma_{A} B(\sigma_{B}) \partial_{B} \phi(z, \bar{z})$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2\pi k_{1}} \int (d\phi + d\Gamma) \wedge d\Psi, \qquad (11)$$

to compute the zero-mode partition function.

Notations: $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{-\bar{\tau}z + \tau\bar{z}}{\tau - \bar{\tau}}$, $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{\tau - \bar{\tau}}$

Local physics¹

The original FJ action

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi g^2} \int dz d\bar{z} \; (\partial_{\mathcal{A}} \phi) (\partial_{\bar{z}} \phi) \tag{12}$$

has the equation of motion

$$\partial_{\mathcal{A}}\partial_{\overline{z}}\phi = 0.$$

where $\partial_{\mathcal{A}} = \partial_z + \partial_{\bar{z}}, \partial_{\mathcal{B}} = \tau \partial_z + \bar{\tau} \partial_{\bar{z}}.$ Through gauge transformation

$$\phi \to \phi' = \phi + F(\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}), \tag{13}$$

it is equivalent to the self-duality condition

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi = 0. \tag{14}$$

Local physics²

The source term of action

$$S_{A}[A] = \frac{1}{2\pi g} \int dz d\bar{z} \; (\partial_{\mathcal{A}} \phi) A. \tag{15}$$

When expressing in a new coordinate $y = \frac{i\tau_2}{\pi}A$, we notice the partition function is periodic,

$$Z_0[y+g] = Z_0[y]. (16)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Gauge fixing

- The winding number ω₂ has become gauge-invariant, as a consequence from the symmetry φ → φ' = φ + F(σ_B).
- Consider the gauge-fixing condition

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{B}})} d\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \partial_{\mathcal{B}} \phi(z, \bar{z}) = 2\pi \omega_2 = \text{constant} \qquad \forall \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

- Impose another constraint by introducing a constant Lagrange multiplier a ∈ ℝ.
- We set ω_2 to zero.

This procedure contributes a term in action as

$$S_{B} = i \int d\sigma_{\mathcal{B}} \wedge d\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} B(\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}) \partial_{\mathcal{B}} \phi(z, \bar{z}), \qquad (17)$$

くしゃ (雪) (雪) (雪) (雪) (雪) (

where $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{-\bar{\tau}z + \tau\bar{z}}{\tau - \bar{\tau}}$, $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{\tau - \bar{\tau}}$.

Modifications¹

We introduce topological terms into the action,

$$S_{\Psi} = \frac{i}{2\pi k_1} \int (d\phi + d\Gamma) \wedge d\Psi.$$
 (18)

 Ψ is a scalar auxiliary field, with twisted periodicity

$$\Psi \sim \Psi + 2\pi (N + \beta) \qquad \forall N \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The respective winding modes are

$$(d\phi)^{(0)} = 2\pi\omega_1 \, d\sigma_A + 2\pi\omega_2 \, d\sigma_B \qquad (\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{Z}), \ [\omega_2 \text{ is set to zero}]$$

 $(d\Psi)^{(0)} = 2\pi m \, d\sigma_A + 2\pi n \, d\sigma_B \qquad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$

The constant one-form $d\Gamma$ is defined by

$$d\Gamma = \gamma \, d\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} + \zeta \, d\sigma_{\mathcal{B}},$$

where $\gamma = -2\pi n_1$. We may ignore the effect of ζ which contributes only an overall factor.

Modifications²

[Recall from earlier $Z_0[y + g] = Z_0[y]$.]

The implication of the auxiliary field is to realize the **twisted quotient periodic boundary condition**,

$$Z_0^{new}[y] = e^{-i2\pi n_1/k_1} Z_0^{new}[y + g/k_1].$$
(19)

This is satisfied when we define

$$Z_0^{new}[y] = \sum_{n=0}^{k_1-1} e^{-i2\pi nn_1/k_1} Z_0[y + gn/k_1].$$
 (20)

Or,

$$Z_0^{new}[y] = \frac{1}{(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} 1)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i2\pi n n_1/k_1} Z_0[y + gn/k_1],$$

under gauge symmetry

$$n \to n + rk_1$$
 $\forall r \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Modifications³

The twisting of β in the boundary condition of Ψ introduces a background A_0 , where we define

$$A_0 = \pi g \beta / i \tau_2 k_1$$

in $E_{\mathcal{B}}$ -direction. This appears from a nontrivial topological term $\int d\phi \wedge d\kappa$, where $d\kappa$ is a constant one-form. That is, we have a term in action as

$$S_{A}[A_{0}] = \frac{1}{2\pi g} \int dz d\bar{z} \; (\partial_{\mathcal{A}} \phi) A_{0}. \tag{21}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

This gives a shift in the source term A.

Calculations

The zero-mode partition function is computed to be

$$Z_0[y] = \mathcal{N}\sum_{\omega_1,n} e^{-S_{\phi}^{(0)}} = \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} n_1/k_1 \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{k_1}{g}y; \frac{k_1^2}{g^2}\tau\right).$$
(22)

To derive this result we have decomposed the variables

$$\omega_1 = k_1 p + m, \qquad n = k_1 q + r,$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m, r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, (k_1 - 1)$. To reproduce the former results, $g = \sqrt{k_1 k_2}$.

Discussions

Our proposal:

- For a generic interacting chiral boson Lagrangian, of Lorentz-invariance or -variance, we propose to introduce the correction terms S_A[A₀] + S_Ψ to the "local" action S₀ + S_A[A].
- The quotient condition is the guiding principle. And it involves introducing an auxiliary field.
- All possible topological terms are exhausted and carefully defined.

Discussions

Our proposal:

- For a generic interacting chiral boson Lagrangian, of Lorentz-invariance or -variance, we propose to introduce the correction terms S_A[A₀] + S_Ψ to the "local" action S₀ + S_A[A].
- The quotient condition is the guiding principle. And it involves introducing an auxiliary field.
- All possible topological terms are exhausted and carefully defined.

Results:

- ► Obtained a single ϑ function as a solution for the chiral boson partition function.
- Spin structures can be lifted to be arbitrary real by twisting the boundary condition of φ.

Summary

- Henningson-Nilsson-Salomonson:
 - contains an anomalous piece that makes the decomposition not strictly holomorphic.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- requires a non-chiral boson action to start with.
- Witten:
 - it is a customized action.
- Our approach:
 - clear emergence of a single ϑ function.
 - applicable to other generic actions.

Future works

Interacting chiral boson Lagrangian

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Higher genus
- Higher dimension
- Curved spacetime

THANK YOU for your attention



Formulas¹

General Jacobi ϑ function:

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (y; \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left[\pi i (n + \alpha)^2 \tau \right] \exp \left[2\pi i (n + \alpha) (y + \beta) \right]$$

with real characteristics/spin structures α, β .

Poisson resummation formula:

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\alpha(m+\beta)^2 + \gamma(m+\beta)\right]$$
$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha}} \exp\left[i2\pi n\beta + \frac{1}{4\alpha}(\gamma - i2\pi n)^2\right]$$

Identity:

$$k_{2}^{-1}\sum_{n_{2}=0}^{k_{2}-1}e^{2\pi i(m_{1}-m_{2})n_{2}/k_{2}} = \sum_{\omega_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty}\delta_{m_{1}-m_{2}}^{\omega_{2}k_{2}}$$



Modular transformations of Jacobi ϑ function:

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{y}{\tau}; -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) = \sqrt{-i\tau} e^{i\pi y^2/\tau + 2\pi i\alpha\beta} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ -\alpha \end{bmatrix} (y; \tau)$$
$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \left(y; \tau + 1 \right) = e^{-i\pi\alpha(\alpha+1)} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta + \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} (y; \tau)$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Jacobi ϑ function has the periodicity

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (y + n\tau + m; \tau) = e^{-i2\pi ny - i\pi n^2 \tau + i2\pi (m\alpha - n\beta)} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} (y; \tau).$$