Experience with Optical Inspection

Bob Rimmer

For Jefferson Lab

TTC meeting, October 20-23, 2008





JLab High Resolution Cavity Inspection Apparatus







The Mirror







Inspection of previously processed and tested cavities

In some cases, cavity behaviors are correlated to observed defects





1st Example of Finding Defect in Equator Region

- A15 hard quench limit 17-19 MV/m
- Pass-band measurements pointed to cell #3/7
- T-mapping found hot spots correlated to quench
- Optical inspection found defect near hot spot



Direct Nikon digital camera

Questar QM-1

JL001 (25 MV/m) Equator (BCP only + 1250C)

ICHIRO5 Surface Damage in Iris Region

As received (digital camera image)

Surface locally anodized

After EP + RF test w/ FE limit

AES4 High E Field Region

Cavity FE limited even after repeated EP pass-band measurements suggest field emitters in end cells

AES4 High E Field Region

A8 – 32 MV/m Surface Typical Appearance of Equator Weld

Inspection of an as-built 9-cell cavity

No correlation with cavity behavior is established yet Goal is to understand initial surface conditions

J1 9-cell cavity as-built RF surface

Equator weld overlap typical

Circular feature (cat eye?) in HAZ Many in amount and various in size

J1 9-cell cavity as-built RF surface

Damage caused by contact at iris

Remaining weld imperfection After iris grinding

J2 9-cell cavity as-built RF surface

A13 HAZ equator weld as build

Bulk EP and 600 C furnace heat treatment done, re-inspection next

Additional Inspection of Cavities of Various Sources/Histories

Some results are from LG cavity or BCP etched cavities – they are shown for reference purposes

PKU 9-Cell – As Built

JLab LG1 – BCP surface

HC1 Equator Weld (1) – BCP Etched only

HC1 Equator Weld (2) – BCP Etched only

HG006 (1) – after 340 um BCP

Outstanding irregularity (step) near equatorTwo other cells less pronounced featureEBW overlap of cell#7 from WGFour other cells no recognizable feqture

HG006 (2) – after 340 um BCP

Many "blisters" inside weld somehow no "blister" in some area

Many apparent "deep pits" in heat affected zone

Observations (1)

• Defects of a few hundred micron in diameter in high magnetic field (equator) have been observed and correlated with quench <= 20 MV/m.

- A15, T-mapping + LDM, 200 um pit.
- Also AES1, KEK/Kyoto/FNAL/JLab, 400-600 um pit/bump/"cat-eye".

• Similar defects have been observed in high electric field region and coincide with strong field emission cells.

- AES4,100-200um "cat-eye".
- Similar defects found in as-built cavities before any chemistry (and possibly in BCP etch cavities as well as in large-grain cavity)
 - J1, J2, PKU 9-cell (HC1, HG006, LG1)
- These defect are all close to the EBW
 - HAZ of equator EBW: A15, AES1, AES3, J1, PKU 9-cell, HG006, LG1
 - Inside surface of the stiffening ring EBW: AES4

• Origin of these defect not clear. It seems they are observable at random depth into the bulk. They are observable independent the manufacturer. Fine grain or large grain niobium (next slide for one example). It is possible they have to do with some intrinsic behaviors of electron beam weld of niobium.

Observations (2)

- If indeed this is an intrinsic problem
 - Is it possible to avoid them in the first place by optimized EBW parameters?
 - Is it possible to remove them by chemistry (BCP or EP)?
 - One JLab experiment showed BCP is unable to remove sub-mm pit.
 - Similar JLab samples with controlled pits are sent to KEK to explore the effect of EP.
 - Is it possible to remove them by local repair (guided repair, local barrel polishing, local re-melting)?
- JLab has started to work on niobium re-melting technique, aiming for repair of the known bad 9-cell cavities (such as A15, AES3).
- Proof of principle established with Nb samples.

