Towards the all-loop S-matrix of planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills

Song He

Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik (AEI), Potsdam

Simon Caron-Huot & SH arXiv: 1112.1060.

Amplitudes 2012, DESY, Hamburg March 8th, 2012

Plan of the talk

- Review of the S-matrix in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM
- The S-matrix from symmetries
 - New differential equations
 - Solving the equations
- Jumpstarting amplitudes
 - Two-loop MHV
 - Two-loop NMHV
 - Three-loop MHV
 - Two-dimensional kinematics
- Outline of a derivation
- Summary and outlook

• All the on-shell states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM can be combined into an on-shell superfield,

$$\Phi := G^+ + \eta^A \Gamma_A + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^A \eta^B S_{AB} + \frac{1}{3!} \varepsilon_{ABCD} \eta^A \eta^B \eta^C \bar{\Gamma}^D + \frac{1}{4!} \varepsilon_{ABCD} \eta^A \eta^B \eta^C \eta^D G^-,$$

which depends on the Grassmann variable η^A , and a null momenta $p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \lambda_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}$.

• All color-ordered amplitudes are then packaged into a superamplitude $\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_i, \overline{\lambda}_i, \eta_i\})$, which has an expansion in terms of Grassmann degrees 4k + 8,

$$\mathcal{A}_{n} = \mathcal{A}_{n,\mathrm{MHV}} + \mathcal{A}_{n,\mathrm{NMHV}} + \dots + \mathcal{A}_{n,\overline{\mathrm{MHV}}} = \frac{\delta^{4}(\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}\bar{\lambda}_{i})\delta^{0|8}(\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}\eta_{i})}{\langle 12\rangle\langle 23\rangle\cdots\langle n1\rangle}\sum_{k=0}^{n-3}A_{n,k},$$

where $A_{n,k}$ denotes the N^kMHV amplitude, with MHV tree, $\mathcal{A}_{n,MHV}^{tree}$, stripped off.

N = 4 SYM is a superconformal field theory, which should be reflected in the structure of scattering amplitudes. The tree-level S-matrix is invariant under this psu(2,2|4) symmetry: {q^α_A, q^A_ά, p_{αά}, m_{αβ}, m_{αβ}, s^A_α, s^A_α, s^A_α, t^A_{αά}, t^A_α, t

Review of the S-matrix in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM: dual symmetries

 A dual conformal symmetry has been observed at both weak [Drummond Henn Strong couplings [Alday [Maldacena 2007]]. The symmetry has been generalized to a dual superconformal symmetry [Korchemsky Sokatchev 2008] of the dual chiral superspace,

$$x_i^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - x_{i-1}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \lambda_i^{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \qquad \theta_i^{\alpha A} - \theta_{i-1}^{\alpha A} = \lambda_i^{\alpha}\eta_i^A.$$

The tree-level S-matrix is invariant under the dual psu(2,2|4) symmetry.

• An all-loop, exponentiated ansatz for MHV amplitude in $4-2\epsilon$ dimensions has been proposed, which encodes infrared and collinear behavior [Anastasiou Bern [Bern Dixon Smirnov 2005], [Bern Dixon [Smirnov 2005]]

$$A_{n}^{\text{BDS}} = 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} g^{2\ell} A_{n}^{(\ell)}(\epsilon) := \exp\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} g^{2\ell} \left(\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}^{(\ell)}(\epsilon) A_{n,0}^{(1)}(\ell\epsilon) + C^{(\ell)} + E_{n}^{(\ell)}(\epsilon)\right)\right].$$

• MHV loop amplitudes satisfy an anomalous Ward identity for the dual conformal symmetry [Korchemsky Sokatchev 2007]. For n = 4, 5, the only solution is given by the BDS ansatz, since there is no cross-ratios. A finite remainder function of 3(n-5) cross-ratios is allowed for *n*-point MHV amplitude, e.g. $u_1 = \frac{x_{13}^2 x_{46}^2}{x_{14}^2 x_{26}^2}$ etc. for n = 6.

Review of the S-matrix in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM: Wilson loops

- There is strong evidence for a duality between MHV amplitude and a null polygonal Wilson loop in dual spacetime $\begin{bmatrix} Brandhuber Heslop \\ Travaglini 2007 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Drummond Henn \\ Korchemsky Sokatchev 2007/08 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Bern Dixon Kosower Roiban \\ Spradlin Vergu Volovich 2008 \end{bmatrix}$. On the string side, (fermionic) T-duality maps the original superconformal symmetry of the amplitude to the dual symmetry of the Wilson loop $\begin{bmatrix} Berkovits \\ Maldacena 2008 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Beisert Ricci \\ Tseytlin Wolf 2008 \end{bmatrix}$, and their closure is the Yangian symmetry, $y[psu(2, 2|4)] \begin{bmatrix} Drummond Henn \\ Plefka 2009 \end{bmatrix}$.
- A generalized duality between the superamplitude and a supersymmetric Wilson loop has been derived at the integrand level [Mason 2010][Caron-Huot], although a rigorous UV regularization for the super-loop has not been carried out [Belitzky Korchemsky],

$$A_n(\lambda_i, \bar{\lambda}_i, \eta_i) = W_n(x_i, \theta_i)(1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)), \quad W_n = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \mathrm{Tr} \mathcal{P} e^{-\oint \mathbf{A}(x_i, \theta_i)} \rangle.$$

- The chiral formalism obscures one chiral half of superconformal symmetries. As a natural generalization, Wilson loops in non-chiral $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superspace generally manifest the full symmetry [Caron-Huot] [Vergu 2012] [Schwab Vergu 2012], see Niklas's talk.
- One can obtain amplitudes by setting $\overline{\theta} = 0$, but there is no obvious way to define non-chiral amplitudes dual to non-chiral Wilson loops. They contain additional terms, which can play a role for compensating symmetry anomalies of amplitudes.

Review of the S-matrix in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM: momentum twistors

It is convenient to introduce unconstrained momentum-twistor variables [Hodges],

$$\mathcal{Z}_i = (Z_i^a, \chi_i^A) := (\lambda_i^\alpha, x_i^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{i\alpha}, \theta_i^{\alpha A} \lambda_{i\alpha}),$$

which are twistors of the dual (super)space. Then one can construct invariants,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{four-bracket}: \quad \langle ijkl \rangle &:= \varepsilon_{abcd} Z_i^a Z_j^b Z_k^c Z_l^d, \quad \text{e.g.} \quad u_1 = \frac{\langle 1234 \rangle \langle 4561 \rangle}{\langle 1245 \rangle \langle 3461 \rangle} \\ \text{R-invariant}: \quad [ijklm] &:= \frac{\delta^{0|4} (\chi_i^A \langle jklm \rangle + \text{cyclic})}{\langle ijkl \rangle \langle jklm \rangle \langle klmi \rangle \langle lmij \rangle \langle mijk \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Yangian invariant tree amplitudes and leading singularities are built from (generally shifted) R-invariants, e.g. NMHV tree: $A_{n,1}^{\text{tree}} (= R_{n,1}^{\text{tree}}) = \sum_{1 < i < j < n} [1 \ i \ i + 1 \ j \ j + 1].$

The dual superconformal generators all become first-order differential operators,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_A^a &= (\mathfrak{Q}_A^{\alpha}, \bar{\mathfrak{S}}_A^{\dot{\alpha}}) := \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^a \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_i^A}, \qquad \bar{Q}_a^A &= (\mathfrak{S}_\alpha^A, \bar{\mathfrak{Q}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^A = \bar{s}_{\dot{\alpha}}^A) := \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i^A \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i^a}, \\ K_b^a &= (\mathfrak{P}_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}, \mathfrak{K}_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}, \mathfrak{M}_{\alpha \beta}, \bar{\mathfrak{M}}_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}, \mathfrak{D}) := \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^a \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i^b}, \qquad R_B^A &= \mathfrak{R}_B^A := \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_i^B}. \end{aligned}$$

The S-matrix from symmetries: new differential equations

- We define *BDS-subtracted* S-matrix: $A_{n,k} = A_n^{\text{BDS}} \times R_{n,k}$, which is finite, depends on conformal cross-ratios and R-invariants, and has simple collinear limits: the *k*-preserving limit, $R_{n,k} \rightarrow R_{n-1,k}$, and the *k*-decreasing one, $\frac{\int d^4 \chi_n R_{n,k}}{\int d^4 \chi_n [n-2n-1n12]} \rightarrow R_{n-1,k-1}$. By construction, $R_{4,0} = R_{5,0} = R_{5,1}/R_{5,1}^{\text{tree}} = 1$.
- The BDS-subtracted S-matrix is invariant under Q_A^a, R_B^A, K_b^a , but not for (naive) \bar{Q}_a^A . We propose an all-loop equation in terms of collinear integral (see also [skinner 2011]),

$$\bar{Q}_{a}^{A}R_{n,k} = \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} \operatorname{res}_{\epsilon=0} \int_{\tau=0}^{\tau=\infty} \left(d^{2|3} \mathcal{Z}_{n+1} \right)_{a}^{A} \left[R_{n+1,k+1} - R_{n,k} R_{n+1,1}^{\text{tree}} \right] + \text{cyclic},$$

where the cusp anomalous dimension is known $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}} = g^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{3}g^4 + \frac{11\pi^4}{45}g^6 + \dots$

• For \mathcal{Z}_{n+1} , we integrate over $0 \le \tau < \infty$, and extract the coefficient of $d\epsilon/\epsilon$ as $\epsilon \to 0$,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z}_{n+1} &= \mathcal{Z}_n - \epsilon \mathcal{Z}_{n-1} + \epsilon \tau \frac{\langle n - 1n23 \rangle}{\langle n123 \rangle} \mathcal{Z}_1 + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle n - 2n - 1n1 \rangle}{\langle n - 2n - 121 \rangle} \mathcal{Z}_2, \\ \operatorname{res}_{\epsilon=0} & \int_{\tau=0}^{\tau=\infty} (d^{2|3} \mathcal{Z}_{n+1})_a^A = \frac{\langle n - 1n23 \rangle}{\langle n123 \rangle} (n - 1n1)_a \oint_{\epsilon=0} \epsilon d\epsilon \int_0^\infty d\tau (d^{0|3} \chi_{n+1})^A. \end{split}$$

The S-matrix from symmetries: new differential equations

• Using the discrete parity symmetry, we derive an equivalent equation for level-one generator, $Q_A^{(1)a} = (s_A^{\alpha}, \ldots) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \operatorname{sgn}(j-i) \left(Z_i^a \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i^b} Z_j^b \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_i^A} - Z_i^a \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_i^B} \chi_j^B \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_i^A} \right)$,

$$Q_A^{(1)a} R_{n,k} = \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} Z_n^a \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} (d\eta_{n+1})_A \left(R_{n+1,k} - \sum_{i,j} C_{i,j} \frac{\partial R_{n,k}}{\partial \chi_j} \right) + \text{cyclic.}$$

- The differential equations are finite, regulator independent, and manifest the transcendentality of loop amplitudes. On the RHS, the measures of integrating out a particle carry correct quantum numbers, and 1d integrals reflect that naive generators are violated since they cause asymptotic states to radiate collinearly.
- Given RHS of both equations as linear operators acting on S-matrix, they can be interpreted as *quantum corrections* to the naive generators [Bargheer Beisert Galleas] [Vieira 2009], in which sense the BDS-subtracted S-matrix is Yangian invariant!
- We claim the equations to be valid for any value of the coupling (the explicit dependence is only through Γ_{cusp}), and they determine the all-loop S-matrix.

The S-matrix from symmetries: solving the equations

• The RHS of \bar{Q} equation can be evaluated at the au-integrand level, $X = Z_n \wedge Z_{n+1}$,

$$\int d^{2|3} \mathcal{Z}_{n+1}[i\,j\,k\,n\,n+1]f(\tau,\epsilon) = \bar{Q}\log\frac{\langle \bar{n}j\rangle}{\langle \bar{n}i\rangle} \int_0^\infty d\log\frac{\langle Xij\rangle}{\langle Xjk\rangle}f(\tau,\epsilon\to 0) + (j\leftrightarrow k).$$

This immediately gives \bar{Q} of all one-loop N^kMHV amplitudes (see [McLoughlin Plefka 2010]).

- Generally for computing \bar{Q} of N^kMHV amplitudes, we write $R_{n+1,k+1} R_{n,k}R_{n+1,1}^{\text{tree}}$ in terms of N^{k+1}MHV leading singularities \times pure functions f, and the integral gives a finite set of prefactors as N^kMHV leading singularities $\times \bar{Q} \log(...)$, which can be determined to all loops, and some pure functions $F = \int d \log(...) f(\epsilon \to 0)$.
- For MHV amplitude, since $R_{n,0}$ is independent of Grassmann variables, \bar{Q} equation gives all derivatives, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_i^1} \bar{Q}_a^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i^a}$ for $a = 1, \dots, 4$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, and uniquely determine MHV amplitudes up to a constant, to be fixed by a collinear limit.
- The total derivative of MHV remainder is $dR_{n,0} = \sum_{i,j} F_{i,j} d \log \langle \bar{i}j \rangle$, with $F_{i,j}$ from 1d integrals of pure functions of NMHV, which proves the conjecture of [2011 Caron-Huot].

The S-matrix from symmetries: solving the equations

• Any Q-invariant NMHV expression can be expanded in a basis of R-invariants,

$$C = \sum_{2 \le j < k < l < m \le n} [1 \, j \, k \, l \, m] C_{j,k,l,m}(Z).$$

If it is also invariant under naive \bar{Q} , we extract the component $\chi_i^1 \chi_j^1 \chi_k^2 \chi_l^3 \chi_m^4$ of $Z_j^a \bar{Q}_a^1 C$, which can only arise from [1 j k l m], thus $Z_j^a \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i^a} C_{j,k,l,m} = 0$.

- Repeating for k, l, m and all *i*'s we deduce $C_{j,k,l,m}$ depends only on 1, j, k, l, m. No non-trivial conformal invariant functions of five twistors means $C_{j,k,l,m} = \text{const.}$
- Thus NMHV is uniquely determined by \bar{Q} equation up to a linear combination of R-invariants, which is again fixed by collinear limits. In practice, the crucial step is to complete the arguments of $\bar{Q} \log$ in prefactors into conformal cross-ratios.
- Beyond NMHV level, we also need to use $Q^{(1)}$ equation. It is known [Korchemsky][Drummond] that all invariants under naive generators Q, \bar{Q} and $Q^{(1)}$ are given by Grassmannian residues [Arkani-Hamed Cachazo]. Up to linear combinations of such invariants, all-loop N^kMHV amplitudes are determined by both equations!

• The \bar{Q} of two-loop MHV hexagon is given by the collinear integral of $R_{7,1}^{1\text{-loop}}$,

$$\bar{Q}R_{6,0}^{2\text{-loop}} = (I_{1,1} + I_{1,2})\bar{Q}\log\frac{\langle 5613\rangle}{\langle 5612\rangle} + (I_{2,1} + I_{2,2})\bar{Q}\log\frac{\langle 5614\rangle}{\langle 5612\rangle} + \text{cyclic},$$

where it is of paramount importance to us that upon τ -integral $I_{1,2}$ and $I_{2,2}$ vanish,

$$I_{1,2} = \log \epsilon^2 \times \int_0^\infty d\left(\log \frac{u_3(\tau+1)}{\tau+u_3} \log(\frac{\tau}{\tau+u_3}) + \log(\tau+1) \log \frac{\tau+u_3}{\tau+1}\right) = 0,$$

$$I_{2,2} = \log \epsilon^2 \times \int_0^\infty d\left(\log \frac{\tau+u_3}{\tau} \log \frac{u_3}{\tau+u_3}\right) = 0.$$

It is straightforward to obtain the finite integrals, in terms of 6D hexagon integral,

$$I_{1,1} = \left(\frac{1}{3}\log^2 u_3 + \log u_1 \log u_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \operatorname{Li}_2(1-u_i)\right)\log u_3 - 2\operatorname{Li}_3(1-\frac{1}{u_3}),$$

$$I_{2,1} = -\frac{1}{2}I_6^{6D} + \sum_{i=1}^3(-)^{\delta_{3i}}\operatorname{Li}_3(1-\frac{1}{u_i}) + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{u_2u_3}{u_1}\sum_{i=1}^3\operatorname{Li}_2(1-\frac{1}{u_i}) + \frac{1}{12}\log^3\frac{u_2u_3}{u_1}.$$

• Therefore, we obtain the total differential of $R_{6,0}^{2-\text{loop}}$ in a very compact form,

$$dR_{6,0}^{2\text{-loop}} = I_6^{6D} d\log \frac{x^+}{x^-} + \left(I_{1,1} d\log \frac{1-u_3}{u_3} + \text{two cyclic images}\right),$$

which can be integrated and agrees precisely with [Del Duca Duhr][Goncharov Spradlin], Wergu Volovich 2010], Wergu Volovich 2010],

$$R_{6,0}^{2\text{-loop}} = 4\sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(L_4^+(u_i) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Li}_4(1-\frac{1}{u_i}) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \text{Li}_2(1-\frac{1}{u_i}) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{6}J^4 + \frac{\pi^2}{3}J^2 + \frac{\pi^4}{18}.$$

- There is no qualitative difference between n > 6 cases and the hexagon. The $\log \epsilon^2$ terms integrate to zero, leaving finite, conformal integrals, which can be easily evaluated at the level of symbol. The result agrees with [Caron-Huot] up to n = 10.
- Furthermore, we can choose an integral path connecting a collinear (n-1)-gon to the original *n*-gon, and obtain an integral representation for two-loop *n*-point MHV. We hope to compare [Caron-Huot SH [With numerical results in [Anastasiou Brandhuber Heslop].

Jumpstarting amplitudes: two-loop NMHV

• NMHV hexagon is given by collinear integral of N²MHV heptagon. From its leading singularities, we get 7×6 prefactors, and conformal symmetry removes one,

$$dR_{6,1} = \sum_{i=1}^{41} (\text{R-invariants})_i \times F_i \times d \log(\text{cross-ratios})_i,$$

which holds to all loops! We compute F_i at two-loop and write (see James's talk),

 $R_{6,1}^{2\text{-loop}} = [(1)+(4)]V_3 + [(2)+(5)]V_1 + [(3)+(6)]V_2 + [(1)-(4)]\tilde{V}_3 + [(5)-(2)]\tilde{V}_1 + [(3)-(6)]\tilde{V}_2 + [(3)-(6)]\tilde{V}_2$

where V's and \tilde{V} 's are degree-4 functions, with differentials as follows,

$$\begin{split} dV_3 &= -\frac{1}{2} I_6^{6D} d\log \frac{y_2}{y_3} + (dV_3)_1 d\log \frac{u_1}{(1-u_2)(1-u_3)} + (dV_3)_2 d\log \frac{1-u_1}{u_2 u_3} \\ &+ \left((dV_3)_3 d\log \frac{u_2}{1-u_2} + (u_2 \leftrightarrow u_3) \right), \\ d\tilde{V}_3 &= \frac{1}{2} I_6^{6D} d\log \frac{u_2(1-u_3)}{(1-u_2)u_3} + (d\tilde{V}_3)_1 d\log y_1 + (d\tilde{V}_3)_2 d\log y_2 y_3 + (d\tilde{V}_3)_3 d\log \frac{y_2}{y_3}. \end{split}$$

We find the *function* agrees with the results in [Kosower Roiban] and [Dixon Drummond].

Jumpstarting amplitudes: three-loop MHV

- We can get all-loop predictions and the symbol at two loops for higher-point NMHV amplitudes. For heptagon, there are 288 independent prefactors, and its symbol has been obtained, but the complexity increases rapidly with n [Caron-Huot SH unpublished 2011].
- Based on physical considerations and assumptions on the symbol, an ansatz for the symbol of three-loop MHV hexagon was proposed [Pixon Drummond] (James's talk). From NMHV hexagon we confirm the assumptions, fix the two free parameters,

$$S[R_{6,0}^{3\text{-loop}}] = \left(S[X] - \frac{3}{8}S[f_1] + \frac{7}{32}S[f_2]\right)(u_1, u_2, u_3),$$

- It is possible that by fixing two-loop N²MHV (e.g. N²MHV heptagon is given by the parity conjugate of NMHV, and the octagon is doable.), one could obtain the symbol of three-loop NMHV and even four-loop MHV using \bar{Q} equations.
- Furthermore, one can make all-loop predictions, e.g. determine the final entry of NMHV symbol, which in turn gives the next-to-final entry of MHV symbol. Together with $Q^{(1)}$ equation, we can certainly go on in the higher n, k, ℓ direction.

Jumpstarting amplitudes: two-dimensional kinematics

• It is useful to consider (2n) external momenta embedded in a two dimensional subspace, which reduces the superconformal group SU(2,2|4) to $SL(2|2) \times SL(2|2)$,

 $\mathcal{Z}_{2i-1} = (\lambda_i^{1+}, 0, \lambda_i^{2+}, 0, \chi_i^{1+}, 0, \chi_i^{2+}, 0), \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{2i} = (0, \lambda_i^{1-}, 0, \lambda_i^{2-}, 0, \chi_i^{1-}, 0, \chi_i^{2-}).$

The only non-vanishing four-brackets are $\langle 2i-1 2j-1 2k 2l \rangle = \langle ij \rangle^+ \langle kl \rangle^-$, and we can define odd and even cross-ratios $u_{i,j}^{\pm} := \frac{\langle ij+1 \rangle^{\pm} \langle i+1j \rangle^{\pm}}{\langle ij \rangle^{\pm} \langle i+1j+1 \rangle^{\pm}}$.

- For superamplitude, R-invariants also factorize into odd and even parts, [*2i-12j-12k2l] = (*ij)[*kl] where $(*ij) := \frac{\delta^{0|2}(\langle\langle *ij\rangle\rangle^+)}{\langle *i\rangle^+\langle ij\rangle^+\langle j*\rangle^+}$ and similarly for [*kl], which all satisfy (abc) (abd) + (acd) (bcd) = 0. In this notation, the NMHV tree is $R_{2n,1}^{\text{tree}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (*ij) ([ij-1j] [i-1j-1j])$.
- The natural collinear limit in 2d is a triple-collinear limit, $R_{2n} \rightarrow f(g^2)R_{2n-2}$, and it is convenient to rescale the BDS-subtracted amplitude so that it has natural *k*-preserving and decreasing limits, $R_{2n,k} := e^{(n-2)f_1(g^2) + kf_2(g^2)}\tilde{R}_{2n,k}$, where

$$f_1(g^2) = -\Gamma_{\rm cusp}^2 \frac{\pi^4}{9} + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma_{\rm cusp}^3), \quad f_2(g^2) = -\Gamma_{\rm cusp} \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \Gamma_{\rm cusp}^2 \frac{7\pi^4}{30} + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma_{\rm cusp}^3).$$

Jumpstarting amplitudes: two-dimensional kinematics

• In the even sector, the \bar{Q} equation in 2d is $(\lambda_{n+1}^{\pm} = \lambda_n^{\pm} + \epsilon \lambda_1^{\pm}, \chi_{n+1}^{\pm} = \chi_n^{\pm} + \epsilon \chi_1^{\pm})$,

$$\bar{Q}_{a}^{A}\tilde{R}_{2n,k} = \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} \int d^{1|2}\lambda_{n+1}^{+} \int d^{0|1}\lambda_{n+1}^{-} (\tilde{R}_{2n+2,k+1} - R^{\text{tree}}\tilde{R}_{2n,k}) + \text{cyclic.}$$

• One can easily write down N²MHV tree, including the degenerate terms,

$$R_{2n,2}^{\text{tree}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j < k < l < i} (*ij)(*kl) \left([ij-1j] - [i-1j-1j] \right) \left([kl-1l] - [k-1l-1l] \right) + \dots,$$

from which we can get one-loop NMHV, and the two-loop MHV amplitude,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R}_{2n,0}^{2\text{-loop}} &= -\sum_{i < j < k < l < i} \log\langle ik \rangle \log\langle jl \rangle \log u_{i-1,k-1}^{-} \log u_{j-1,l-1}^{-} - 2\sum_{i < j < k < i} \log\langle ij \rangle \log\langle jk \rangle \\ &\times (\log u_{j-1,k-1}^{-} \log u_{k-1,i,i-1,j}^{-} + \text{cyclic}) - \sum_{i < j < i} \log^2\langle ij \rangle \log u_{i-1,j-1}^{-} \log (1 - u_{i-1,j-1}^{-}). \end{split}$$

Nothing prevents us from getting *n*-point two-loop NMHV and three-loop MHV. Main complexity of loop amplitudes in 2d already hidden inside tree amplitudes?

Outline of a derivation

• A heuristic derivation expresses the RHS of \overline{Q} equation in terms of a fermion excitation inserted on each edge of the Wilson loop (See also Dave's talk [^{Bullimore}_{Skinner 2011}]),

$$\bar{Q}^{A}_{\dot{\alpha}}\langle W_{n}\rangle \propto g^{2} \oint dx_{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \langle (\psi^{A} + F\theta^{A} + \ldots)^{\alpha}W_{n}\rangle,$$

which was calculated in explicit examples by Feynman diagrams [Caron-Huot].

• The key new ingredient: there is only one fermionic excitation of null edges with given quantum numbers. The Operator Product Expansion [Alday Gaiotto Maldacena] allows us to extract the excited *n*-gon Wilson loop from an (n+1)-gon in collinear limit,

$$\frac{1}{A_n^{\text{BDS}}}\bar{Q}\langle W_{n,k}\rangle = \frac{g^2}{F(g^2)}\operatorname{res}_{\epsilon=0}\int_{\tau=0}^{\tau=\infty} d^{2|3}\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}R_{n+1,k+1}(\tau,\epsilon) + \text{cyclic.}$$

Given that BDS ansatz is one-loop exact, we obtain the \bar{Q} of BDS,

$$\langle W_{n,k} \rangle \bar{Q} \frac{1}{A_n^{\text{BDS}}} = -\Gamma_{\text{cusp}} R_{n,k} \operatorname{res}_{\epsilon=0} \int_{\tau=0}^{\tau=\infty} d^{2|3} \mathcal{Z}_{n+1} R_{n+1,1}^{\text{tree}}(\tau,\epsilon) + \text{cyclic.}$$

• Both τ -integrals diverge, and the combination is finite provided $g^2/F(g^2) = \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}$. A crucial test of the above derivation is to check the prefactor is indeed Γ_{cusp} .

• The first non-trivial check of the prefactor Γ_{cusp} is the two-loop NMHV hexagon,

$$R_{6,1}^{\text{tree}} + \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} R_{6,1}^{1-\text{loop}} + \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}^2 R_{6,1}^{2-\text{loop}} + \dots = R_{6,1}^{\prime\text{tree}} + g^2 R_{6,1}^{\prime 1-\text{loop}} + g^4 (R_{6,1}^{\prime 2-\text{loop}} - \frac{\pi^2}{3} R_{6,1}^{\prime 1-\text{loop}}) + \dots$$

The fermion excitation can be labeled by a momentum, p, and we can read off

$$f(\epsilon, p) = \int_0^\infty d\tau \, \tau^{i\frac{p}{2}} \, d^{0|3} \chi_6 \, R_{6,1}(\epsilon, \tau).$$

For twist-one fermion insertion, OPE predicts $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} f(\epsilon, p) = \log \epsilon \times \gamma(p) + C(p)$, where $\gamma(p)$ is the dispersion relation known for any couplings by integrability [Basso].

• From $R_{6,1}^{2\text{-loop}}$, we obtain $\gamma(p)$ to order Γ_{cusp}^2 , and we find agreement including π^2 's!

$$\gamma(p) = \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} \left(\psi_{+} - \psi(1) \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}^{2}}{8} \left(\psi_{+}^{\prime\prime} + 4\psi_{-}^{\prime}(\psi_{-} - \frac{1}{p}) + 6\zeta(3) \right).$$

The cancelation of $\log \epsilon$ in total- τ integral is guaranteed: the zero-momentum fermion is the Goldstone fermion for the symmetry breaking, thus $\gamma(0) = 0$.

Summary and outlook

- The all-loop S-matrix in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is invariant under a suitably deformed Yangian symmetry at the quantum level, and is fully determined by it.
- We derive new, elegant equations based on the quantum-corrected symmetry, and test them extensively against e.g. results of loop amplitudes and OPE.
- We expect the equations to provide a powerful engine for computation of multi-loop amplitudes, and insights into the integrability of the theory.
- Open questions
 - Getting the actual functions, from symbol, or directly from the integral?
 - Corrected Yangian invariants from non-chiral Wilson loops [Schwab Vergu 2012]?
 - Construct quantum-corrected transfer matrices for the Yangian symmetry? Strong coupling tests of the equations? Relations to TBA, Y-system?
 - At least in 2d kinematics, can we imagine to compute certain amplitudes to all loops? Even as functions of the coupling constant?