Abstract
In this talk I first discuss the experimental evidence for multiple scattering and the properties of the underlying event. The extensive analyses by Rick Field of data from CDF cannot be reconciled with traditional wisdom concerning multiple collisions and the AGK cutting rules. Data seem to imply some kind of color recombination or unexpectedly strong effects from pomeron vertices.

I then discuss theoretical ideas concerning the relation between multiple collisions and unitarity: the AGK rules, pomeron loops, dipole cascade models and diffraction.

1 Experimental overview
1.1 Minijet cross section
In collinear factorization the cross section for a parton-parton subcollision is given by
\[ \frac{d\sigma_{\text{subcoll}}}{dp_{\perp}^2} \sim \int dx_1 dx_2 f(x_1, p_{\perp}^1) f(x_2, p_{\perp}^2) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dp_{\perp}^2}(\hat{s} = x_1 x_2 s, p_{\perp}^2). \] (Note that one hard subcollision corresponds to 2 jets.) The partonic cross section \( d\hat{\sigma}/dp_{\perp}^2 \) behaves like \( 1/p_{\perp}^4 \) for small \( p_{\perp} \), which means that a lower cutoff, \( p_{\perp,\text{min}} \), is needed. The total subcollision cross section is then proportional to \( 1/p_{\perp,\text{min}}^4 \), and for pp-collisions the subcollision cross section becomes equal to the total cross section for \( p_{\perp,\text{min}} \approx 2.5 \text{ GeV} \) at the Tevatron and \( \approx 5 \text{ GeV} \) at LHC [1]. Fits to data give \( p_{\perp,\text{min}} \approx 2 \text{ GeV} \) at the Tevatron and slowly growing with energy [2].

In \( k_{\perp}\text{-factorization} \) there is a dynamic cutoff when the momentum exchange \( k_{\perp} \) is smaller than the virtuality of the two colliding partons, given by \( k_{\perp,1} \) and \( k_{\perp,2} \) [3]. This approach gives a very similar effect. Thus we conclude that at high energies the subcollision cross section is much larger than the total inelastic cross section, which means that on average there must be several hard subcollisions in one event. It was also early suggested that the increase in \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} \) is driven by hard parton-parton subcollisions [4].

1.2 Experimental evidence for multiple collisions
1.2.1 Multijet events
Besides from independent multiple subcollisions, multijet events can also originate from multiple bremsstrahlung from two colliding partons. If we study four-jet events the difference between
these two types of events is that in a double parton scattering the four jets balance each other pairwise in the transverse momentum plane, while such a pairwise balance is not present in the multiple bremsstrahlung events. The Axial Field Spectrometer at the ISR proton-proton collider [5] studied an “imbalance parameter”

\[ J = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (p_{\perp 1} + p_{\perp 2})^2 + (p_{\perp 3} + p_{\perp 4})^2 \right], \tag{2} \]

and found that there is a significant enhancement of events with small values of \( J \), which thus showed a clear evidence for multiple subcollisions.

Similar, but less clear, results for four-jet events have been observed by the CDF [6] and D0 [7] experiments at the Tevatron. A more clear signal for multiple collisions at the Tevatron has instead been seen in events with three jets + \( \gamma \) [8]. Evidence for multiple collisions has also been observed in photoproduction by the ZEUS collaboration at HERA [9].

1.2.2 Underlying event

An important question is whether the hard subcollisions are correlated, or if a high \( p_{\perp} \) event just corresponds to two jets on top of a minimum bias event. If the subcollisions are uncorrelated the probability, \( P(n) \), for having \( n \) subcollisions should be described by a Poisson distribution. This implies that

\[ P(2) = \frac{1}{2} P(1)^2. \tag{3} \]

Here the factor 1/2 is compensating for double counting. Expressed in the cross sections \( \sigma_n = P(n)\sigma_{nd} \) (where \( \sigma_{nd} \) is the inelastic non-diffractive cross section) this gives the relation \( \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2/\sigma_{nd} \). The experimental groups have used the notation

\[ \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}^2/\sigma_{eff} \tag{4} \]

which means that \( \sigma_{eff} = \sigma_{nd} \) corresponds to uncorrelated subcollisions. The experimental results on four-jet events referred to above find, however, that \( \sigma_{eff} \) is much smaller than \( \sigma_{nd} \). Thus at ISR one finds (for jets with \( p_{\perp} > 4 \) GeV) \( \sigma_{eff} \sim 5 \) mb compared to \( \sigma_{nd} \sim 30 \) mb, CDF finds for four-jet events (\( p_{\perp} > 25 \) GeV) and 3 jets+\( \gamma \) the results \( \sigma_{eff} \sim 12 \) mb and \( \sim 14 \) mb respectively, to be compared with \( \sigma_{nd} \sim 50 \) mb. This means that if there is one subcollision there is an enhanced probability to have also another one. A possible interpretation is that in central collisions there are many hard subcollisions, while there are fewer subcollisions in a peripheral collison.

Another sign of correlations is the observation that in events with a high \( p_{\perp} \) jet the underlying event is enhanced, the so called pedestal effect. The UA1 collaboration at the SppS collider studied the \( E_{\perp} \)-distribution in \( \eta \) around a jet [10]. To avoid the recoiling jet they looked in 180° in azimuth on the same side as the jet. The result is that for jets with \( E_{\perp} > 5 \) GeV the background level away from the jet is roughly a factor two above the level in minimum bias events. Similar results have been observed in resolved photoproduction by the H1 collaboration [11].
1.3 CDF analysis and the PYTHIA model

Rick Field has made very extensive studies of the underlying event at the Tevatron (see e.g. ref. [12]). He has here tuned the PYTHIA MC to fit CDF data, and found tunes (e.g. tune A and tune DW) which give very good fits to essentially all data. In particular he has looked at the $E_\perp$-flow, the charged particle density, and $p_\perp$-spectra in angular regions perpendicular to a high-$p_\perp$ jet. One noticeable result is that the charged multiplicity in this “transverse” region grows rapidly with the $p_\perp$ of the trigger jet up to $p_\perp$(charged jet) $\approx$ 6 GeV, and then levels off for higher jet energies at twice the density in minimum bias events. Also the charged particle spectrum has a much higher tail out to large $p_\perp$ in events with a high $p_\perp$ jet, compared to the distribution in minimum bias events. The multiple collisions have a very important effect in the MC simulations, and the data cannot be reproduced if they are not included.

The version of the PYTHIA MC used by Field is an implementation of an early model by Sjöstrand and van Zijl [2]. In this model it is assumed that high energy collisions are dominated by hard parton-parton subcollisions, and also minimum bias events are assumed to have at least one such subcollision. To be able to reproduce the observed pedestal effect, the parton distribution is assumed to have a more dense central region, and is described by a sum of two (three-dimensional) Gaussians. For fixed impact parameter, $b$, the number of subcollisions is assumed to be given by a Poisson distribution, with an average proportional to the overlap between the parton distributions in the two colliding protons. Integrated over the impact parameter this gives a distribution which actually can be well approximated by a geometric distribution, that is a distribution with much larger fluctuations than a Poisson.

The PYTHIA model does not include diffraction, and describes only non-diffractive inelastic collisions. Diffraction is related to the fluctuations via the AGK cutting rules [13]. In QCD a single pomeron exchange can be represented by a gluon ladder. The diagram for double pomeron exchange can be cut through zero, one and two of the exchanged pomerons, with relative weights 1, $-4$, and 2. If we add the contributions to $k$ cut pomerons from diagrams with an arbitrary number of exchanged pomerons, then we get for $k > 1$ with the weights in ref [13] a Poisson distribution. For fixed impact parameter the assumptions in the PYTHIA model are thus in agreement with the AGK rules.

1.4 Relation $E_\perp - n_{ch}$

Although Field’s tunes of the PYTHIA model give good fits to data, there are still problems. The relation between transverse energy and hadron multiplicity is not what has been expected. In the AGK paper a cut pomeron was expected to give a chain of hadrons between the remnants of the two colliding hadrons, and two cut pomerons should give two such chains and therefore doubled particle density. This is in contrast to the CDF data, where $E_\perp$ grows more than the multiplicity in multiple collision events.

The original AGK paper was published before QCD, and based on a multiperipheral model. However, also in QCD the DGLAP or BFKL dynamics gives color-connected chains of gluons. In the hadronization process the gluon exchange ought to give two triplet strings (or cluster chains) stretching between the projectile remnants, and in the spirit of AGK two cut pomerons should give four such triplet strings. Field’s tunes seem instead to indicate some kind
of color recombination which reduces the effective string length. (Similar recombinations have been studied by Ingelman and coworkers [14].)

In the PYTHIA model used by Field different possibilities for the color connection between the partons involved are studied. The most common parton subcollisions are \( gg \rightarrow gg \), and as mentioned above this is expected to give two strings between the projectile remnants. Initial state radiation gives extra gluons, for which the color ordering agrees with the ordering in rapidity. Therefore these emissions do not increase the total string length very much, and as a consequence they increase \( E_\perp \) more than they increase the hadron multiplicity.

From the experimental data it was noted already in ref. [2] that two subcollisions could not give doubled multiplicity, as expected from four strings as discussed above. It was therefore assumed that the second subcollision could give a just single double string connecting the two outgoing gluons. Another option was replacing the gluons by a \( q\bar{q} \) pair, connected by a single triplet string. This reduces the multiplicity even further. A third possibility was to assume that color rearrangement caused the scattered gluons to fit in the color chains of the first collision, in such a way that the total string length was increased as little as possible. This gives a minimal additional multiplicity, and in this case the multiple collisions have an effect on the total \( E_\perp \) and multiplicity similar to the bremsstrahlung gluons (but the jets are balanced pairwise in transverse momentum). The default assumption in ref. [2] was to give each of these possibilities the same probability, \( 1/3 \). In Field’s successful tunes these ratios are changed, such that the last option with color reconnection is chosen in 90% of the cases.

In a more recent study by Sjöstrand and Skands [1] a number of improvements have been added to the old PYTHIA model. The hope was that with these modifications it would be possible to describe data without the extreme color reconnections which have no real theoretical motivation in QCD. Their result is, however, discouraging, as they were not able to tune the new model to give the relation between \( p_\perp \) and multiplicity observed in the data.

2 Theoretical ideas
2.1 Pomeron interactions

We have to conclude that something important is missing in our understanding of high energy collisions. Although, in the AGK paper, pomeron interactions are assumed to give small contributions, pomeron vertices (see e.g. [15]) and pomeron loops may be very important. As indicated in fig. 1a, a pomeron loop can give a bump in the particle density if both branches of the loop are cut, and a gap if the cut passes between the two branches. It is also conceivable that such gaps and bumps have to be included in a "renormalized" pomeron [16].

In QCD a pomeron is formed by two gluons in a color singlet. Two pomeron exchange thus corresponds to four gluons in two singlet pairs. If the pairs \( (1,2) \) and \( (3,4) \) form singlets, then gluon exchange can change the system so that instead the pairs \( (1,3) \) and \( (2,4) \) form color singlets. This corresponds to an effective \( 2\Pi \rightarrow 2\Pi \) coupling (cf. ref. [17]). A cut with gluons 1 and 2 on one side and 3 and 4 on the other can then give an isolated bump in the particle density, as illustrated in fig. 1b. This type of pomeron interactions can also give a bound state [18], which gives a pole in the angular momentum plane and an essential correction to the normal cut from the exchange of two uncorrelated pomerons.
Fig. 1: (a) A pomeron loop can be cut through 0, 1, or 2 of its two branches. This can give gaps and bumps in the particle distribution. (b) Two pomerons can be represented by four gluons in two color singlet pairs. Gluon exchange can switch the pairs (1,2) (3,4) into the singlet pairs (1,3) (2,4). A cut as indicated in the figure gives a localized bump in the rapidity distribution.

Fig. 2: (a) The evolution of the dipole cascade. At each step, a dipole can split into two new dipoles. (b) A symbolic picture of a $\gamma^+\gamma^-$ collision in $y - r_\perp$-space. When two colliding dipoles interact via gluon exchange the color connection between the gluons is modified. The result is dipole chains stretched between the remnants of the colliding systems.

We conclude that there are still many open questions. More experimental information is needed, and to gain insight into the dynamics it is important to go beyond inclusive observables, and study observables related to correlations and fluctuations.

2.2 Dipole cascade models, saturation and pomeron loops

Multiple scattering and rescattering is more easily treated in transverse coordinate space. In Mueller's dipole cascade model [19] a color dipole formed by a $q\bar{q}$ pair in a color singlet is split into two dipoles by gluon emission. Each of these dipoles can split repeatedly into a cascade, see fig. 2a. The probability per unit rapidity for a split is proportional to $\hat{\alpha} = N_c\alpha_s/\pi$. When two dipole chains collide, gluon exchange between two dipoles implies exchange of color and a recoupling of the chains, as shown in fig. 2b. The probability for an interaction between two dipoles $i$ and $j$, $f_{ij}$, is proportional to $\alpha_s^2 = \pi^2\hat{\alpha}^2/N_c^2$, and is thus formally color suppressed.
Fig. 3: (a) If more than one pair of dipoles interact it can result in dipole loops, which correspond to pomeron loops. (b) Schematic picture of a dipole swing. If the two dipoles $a$ and $b$ have the same color, they can be replaced by the dipoles $c$ and $d$. The result is a closed loop formed within an individual dipole cascade.

compared to the dipole splitting process.

In the eikonal approximation the total scattering probability is determined by the expression $1 - \prod_{ij} (1 - f_{ij})$, which is always smaller than 1 and thus satisfies the constraints from unitarity. As seen in fig. 3a, multiple dipole-dipole interactions can imply that the color dipoles form closed loops, which correspond to the pomeron loops in fig. 1a.

Mueller’s model includes those pomeron loops, which correspond to cuts in the particular Lorentz frame used for the calculation, but not loops which are fully inside one of the colliding cascades. This implies that the formalism is not Lorentz frame independent, and different ways have been suggested to achieve a frame independent formulation (see e.g. refs. [20, 21]). However, so far no explicitly frame independent formalism has been presented.

In one approach the evolution is expressed in terms of interacting dipoles. This implies that the number of dipoles can be reduced, and the evolution of the projectile cascade depends on the target. Besides the $1 \rightarrow 2$ dipole vertex there should here also be a $2 \rightarrow 1$ vertex. In another approach the evolution of the projectile is independent of the target, and the non-interacting dipoles are eliminated afterwards. In this approach there is no need to reduce the number of dipoles in the evolution.

**Dipole swing**

A model based on the latter approach is presented in ref. [22]. In this model pomeron loops can be formed with the help of a recoupling of the dipole chains, a “dipole swing”. Just as the dipole-dipole scattering, the pomeron loops in the cascades should be color suppressed. With a finite number of colors we can have not only dipoles but also higher color multipoles. Two charges and two anticharges with the same color may be better approximated by two dipoles formed by nearby charge-anticharge pairs. These pairs may be different from the initially generated dipoles, and the result is a recoupling of the dipole chain, as seen in fig. 3b. The same effect can also be obtained from gluon exchange, which is proportional to $\alpha_s$ and thus also color suppressed cf. to the dipole splitting proportional to $\bar{\alpha}$.

The swing does not result in a reduction of the number of dipoles, but the saturation effect is obtained as the recoupled dipoles are smaller and therefore have smaller cross sections.
Fig. 4: Left: The $\gamma p$ total cross section obtained from the model in The result is plotted as a function of the scaling variable $\tau = Q^2/Q_s^2$, where $Q_s^2 = Q_0^2(x_0/x)^\lambda$ with $Q_0 = 1$GeV, $x_0 = 3 \cdot 10^{-4}$, $\lambda = 0.29$. Right: The total $pp$ scattering cross section. Results are presented for evolution with and without the dipole swing mechanism. The one pomeron result and the result obtained in a frame where one of the protons is almost at rest are also shown.

Inserted in a MC the result is approximately frame independent, and the model describes well both the $F_2$ structure function in DIS and the $pp$ scattering cross section [22, 23], as shown in fig. 4. (For these results also energy conservation and a running $\alpha_s$ are very important [24].) We see here that the $\gamma^* p$ cross section satisfies geometric scaling. The $pp$ cross section is reduced by about a factor 4 cf. to the one pomeron exchange at the Tevatron, and we also see that the result of the model is the same when calculated in the cms as in the rest frame of the target proton, if pomeron loops are included also in the evolution via the dipole swing.

Besides the total cross sections it is also possible to calculate the probability to have pomeron loops formed by multiple collisions in a given frame, or loops formed within the cascades. As examples we find at the Tevatron in the cms on average 2.2 loops from multiple collisions and 0.65 loops in each of the two cascades. In an asymmetric frame, where the total rapidity range is divided in 4.5 + 10.5 units, we find instead 2 loops from multiple collisions, and 0.15 and 1.35 in the two cascades respectively. In both cases this gives in total 3.5 loops. At LHC we obtain in the same way in total an average of 5 loops.

Using the eikonal approximation it is besides total cross sections also possible to calculate elastic scattering and diffractive excitation [25], but so far it has not been possible to calculate exclusive final states. The aim for the future is to bridge the gap between dipole cascades, AGK, and traditional MC generators, and construct an event generator fully compatible with unitarity and the AGK cutting rules.

3 Conclusions

- Multiple collisions are present in data.
- Hard subcollisions are correlated. The underlying event is different from a minimum bias event.
- Rick Field’s tunes of the PYTHIA MC fit Tevatron data well, but the relation between transverse energy and multiplicity is not understood. This may indicate some kind of color
rearrangement, or a "renormalized pomeron".

- Multiple collisions and unitarity constraints are easier treated in transverse coordinate space. The dipole formalism can describe $IP$ loops and diffraction. The application of AGK cutting rules then implies the presence of rapidity gaps.
- For the future we hope to be able to combine the dipole formalism and traditional MC generators to obtain event generators which include diffraction and are compatible with unitarity and AGK.
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